

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2540	Press	<i>Original</i>
B.P. No.		Mis.	
Dated	22. 9.	195	18/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
22nd September 1876. No 2,540*

No. 379. Read the following letter from Captain L CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to Major-General G. W WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Fort St. George, 12th September 1876, No 222-W. —

IN continuation of this office letter, No 198-W, dated 1st September 1876, I have the honor to forward, for the information of Government, copy of a letter received from Lieutenant Romilly, explaining the circumstances under which he overstayed his privilege leave

2 From this it appears that Lieutenant Romilly gave over charge on the afternoon of the 3rd May. His leave thus took effect on the 4th, and he consequently should have resumed charge on the forenoon of the 4th August. He did not, however, resume charge until the 7th August, and he has thus overstayed his leave by three days.

3 Under the strict letter of the Public Works Code V I. n. A, Lieutenant Romilly forfeits all pay and allowances for these three days; but, as the 6th happened to be Sunday, perhaps Government may see fit to remit the forfeiture for this day

From Lieutenant F J ROMILLY, R.E., Assistant Engineer, Negapatam, to Captain R R E BROCKMAN, R.E., District Engineer, Tanjore, dated Negapatam, 4th September 1876, No 263

I HAVE the honor to report to you that I have received a copy of letter, No 92 T J, from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts to the District Engineer, Tanjore, in which it is stated that I overstayed my privilege leave by four days and consequently have forfeited all pay for those days. Regarding this I beg to offer the following explanation with a request that it may be forwarded to the Controller of Public Works Accounts, should you approve

2 I handed over charge of my office *after 12 noon* on 3rd May 1876, and did not quit the district until 4th May, on which date I imagined my privilege leave commenced.

3 Counting in this way I considered myself to be due again in my range on 4th August, and arranged my return journey accordingly, but arrived a day later than I anticipated, viz, on 5th August. I did not know that it was necessary for me to re-assume charge of my office within the three months, but was under the impression that a day or two was allowed for such a transaction, and that the fact of my having reported my return to my range to the District Engineer on 5th August would be equivalent to return to duty, and I made no attempt to *take over* charge on 5th which I could have done had I known it was necessary. The 6th happened to be a Sunday, so that I could not assume charge then, should I be right in assuming that my leave counts from 4th May, my days overstayed would be 4th, 5th and 6th, or three only, and I have the honor to ask whether the fact of the 6th being Sunday, on which day I could not assume charge, may not be considered in my favor

4 Deductions as per the letter above quoted have been made in this month's pay bill

No 380. ORDER THEREON, 22nd September 1876, No 2,540.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 27.

THE forfeiture of pay for Sunday will, under the circumstances, be remitted

(True Extract)

Colonel,

Offg Under Secy. to Govt, P W D

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts

Exd E C Shunker

Spare Copies

No 263

Negapatam Division Office
Negapatam 4th September 1876

From

Leut. F. J. Romilly R. E.
Assistant Engineer

Negapatam

To

Captain R. R. E. Brockman R. E.

District Engineer

Tanjore

Sir

I have the honor to report to you that I have received a copy of letter No 92 T. 8. from the Examiner of P. W. Accounts to the District Engineer Tanjore, in which it is stated that I over-stayed my privilege leave by 4 days and consequently have forfeited all pay for those days. Regarding this I beg to offer the following explanation with a request that it may be forwarded to the Controller of P. W. Accounts should you approve.

I handed over charge of my office after 12 noon on 3rd May 1876, and did not quit the District until 4th May on which date I imagined my privilege leave commenced. Counting in this way I considered myself to be due again in my Range on 1st August and arranged

arranged my return journey accordingly, but arrived a day later than I anticipated viz. on 5th August. I did not know that it was necessary for me to reassume charge of my office within the 3 months, but was under the impression that a day or two was allowed for such a transaction, and that the fact of my having reported my return to my Range to the District Engineer on 5th August would be equivalent to return to duty, and I made no attempt to take over charge on 5th which I could have done had I known it was necessary. The 6th happened to be a Sunday, so that I could not assume charge then; should I be right in assuming that my leave counts from 4th May, my days over stayed would be 4th, 5th and 6th or three only, and I have the honor to ask whether the fact of the 6th being Sunday on which day I could not assume charge may not be considered in my favour.

Deductions as per the letter above quoted have been made in this month's Pay Bill.

I have &c

(Signed) H. J. Romilly, Lieut. R.E.

District Engineer Negapatam

(True Copy)

A. S. Fonseca

Pro Supt. Correspondence Branch
Office of Exr. P.W. Accts.

S. L. M.

11-9-76

Or Wks Form No 1

No 2227

From

THE CONTROLLER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

To

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

FORT SAINT GEORGE, 12th September 1876

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter of 19th Sept, dated September 1st 1876 I have the honor to forward for the information of Government, &c, a letter received from Lieutenant Romilly explaining the circumstances under which he overspent his privilege leave.

From this it appears that Lieutenant Romilly gave over charge on the afternoon of the 3rd May. His leave thus terminated on the 4th, and he consequently should have resumed charge on the morning of the 4th August. He did not however resume charge until the 7th August, and he has thus overstayed his leave by 3 days.

Under the strict letter of the Public Works Act VIIA, Lieutenant Romilly forfeits all pay and allowances for these three days, but as the 6th happened to be Sunday, for that Government may see fit to remit the forfeiture for this day.

I have the honor to be

Very most obedient Servant

Edmund Gordon

copy of standing order No 2540-1871.

P.W.D.

13 SEP 76

From No 662

The Examiner

P.W. Dept.

No 2540 22 Sept/6
The for failure of pay
for Sunday will under
the circumstances be re-
mitted

To
The Secy: to Govt
P.W. Dept

Dated 12th September 1876
12

No 2227r.

Forward copy of letter
concerning the overstay of the
P. leave by Lieutenant
Ranilly.

No 379-380

Sd: P.W. Dept
By: [Signature]

Dated 7/10/76

Cir - 18-9-76 Wd 22-9-76
Dep't to Act 14-9-76

With order

copy of standing order to 25/2/1871

6633

Gobt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Govt. of P. W. A.

Dated

Issued

Recd

Encl

G. O., 22nd / 1876, No. 2520

Nos. 379/80

Overstay of P. W. A. leave
by Mr. P. W. A. R. R.

Permitting the for fee in
of pay for Sunday, in
receipt of the

Let it be
9-10-1876
112