

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2276	(W)	Press
~~B.P. No.~~			~~Mis.~~
Dated	28.8.	1876	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
28th August 1876. No. 2,276.*

No. 623. Read again the following Proceedings in the Public Works Department,
dated 5th July 1876, No. 1,716:—

✓ No. 623-A. Read also the following letter from Captain L. CONWAY-GORDON,
R.E., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to Major-General G. W.
WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department,
dated Fort St. George, 12th August 1876, No. 163-W.

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge the receipt of G.O., No. 1,716, of 5th July 1876, and with reference to paragraph 1 of that order, I beg to point out that although the papers communicated with G.O., No. 1,661, dated 30th June 1876, do, to some extent, furnish the detailed information which is necessary to this office, yet they do not do so in all cases. Thus in the case of the Survapully Channel, the Madras Water Works, the Chembrambaukum Tank, the Pelandorai Anicut, &c., these papers do not show what specific appropriations are affected by the transfers sanctioned. I should add that the information was received so late that no use could be made of it.

2. With reference to the second paragraph of the order under reply, I must in the first place express my regret that my previous letter should have been so wanting in clearness as to have left Government under the impression that I had proposed that the Annual Appropriation and Outlay Accounts should supersede the Statement of Savings and Demands.

3. It is obvious that this could not have been, and in my previous letter I wished merely to point out that, owing to their lateness, the orders on the Statement of Savings and Demands necessarily failed entirely in their real object, and became a simple review comparing the supposed outlay on certain works with the Budget appropriations for those works, thus doing very imperfectly what the Appropriation and Outlay Account does completely and thoroughly.

4. As regards future arrangements, the most important points seem to be—

- (A) That, instead of retaining all applications for modifications of budget credits until all modifications have become useless, orders should be passed promptly on applications made;
- (B) That it should be distinctly understood by District Engineers that the modifications asked for from Government, may possibly be beyond the power of Government to sanction, and that therefore, until orders are passed, it should not be taken for granted that the modifications proposed have been approved of.

5. It also seems desirable that applications for such reappropriations as may be necessary should continue to be made as long as there is any use in making them, as long, that is, as there is any chance of the modifications being sanctioned in time to allow of their being acted upon; the telegraph being used if necessary.

6. It will probably be desirable to introduce a form for such applications somewhat similar to that now used in making similar applications to the Government of India. The applications should, I think, be submitted through this office, so that any points requiring notice—e.g., the necessity of the sanction of the Government of India—may be at once pointed out.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
23th August 1876. No. 2,276.

7. It will also of course be the duty of this office to keep itself informed as to probable lapses and excesses, so that it may always be in a position to point out how any increase asked for may be met, or how a surrender may be made use of.

No. 624. ORDER THEREON, 28th August 1876, No. 2,276.

ACCOUNT, No. 38-A.

In these papers the Examiner has pointed out the inutility of orders on the Statements of Savings and Demands submitted by District Engineers, when such orders are issued too late, to admit of the reappropriations sanctioned being acted upon after the receipt of the orders and within the official year.

2. The Examiner proposes therefore that instead of the submission of the Statements of Savings and Demands which are due from districts on the 31st January under the orders as per margin—

No. 5 of 2nd December 1864, and No. 37 of 23rd June 1866.

1st,—applications for reappropriations should be made from time to time as occasion arises;

2nd,—that such applications should be forwarded through his office;

3rd,—that District Engineers should understand distinctly that the orders of Government on all proposals for modifications of allotments must be awaited.

3. These arrangements are approved and will be introduced at once. In cases in which District Engineers may be able to make surrenders of amounts sufficient to cover either wholly or in part the desired reappropriations, they will show such surrenders, and the Examiner in transmitting the applications will state whether funds are or are not available for meeting the demand not so covered. Similarly District Engineers will transmit through the Examiner all reports of surrenders in order that the latter officer may be in a position to show what funds are available for reappropriation.

4. Ordered that Standing Order No. 22, be printed for distribution to all concerned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) O. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, with S.O.

To District Engineers.

.. Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

.. Consulting Architect.

Ed. E. C. Shunker.

Spere Copies

[Gr. Wks. Form No. 2.]

No. 1637

From

THE CONTROLLER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
FORT SAINT GEORGE, 12th August 1876.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Government Order No. 1716 of 5th July 1876, and with reference to para 1 of that order, I beg to point out that although the papers communicated with Government Order No. 1661 dated 30th June 1876 do to some extent furnish the detailed information which is necessary to this Office; yet they do not do so in all cases. Thus in the case of the Surampalli Kanri, the Madras Water Works, the Chembarambakkam Tank, the Pelaridurai Agricut, etc; these papers do not show what specific appropriations are affected by the transfers sanctioned. I should add that the information was received so late that no use could be made of it.

2 With reference to the second paragraph of the order under reply, I must in the first place, express my regret that my previous

previous letter should have been so working
and clear as to have left Government under
the impression that I had proposed that the
annual Appropriation and Outlay Accounts
should supersede the Statement of Savings
and Demerits

3 It is obvious that this could not
not have been, and in my previous letter
I wished merely to point out that, owing
to their lateness, the orders and the statement
of Savings and Demerits necessarily
failed entirely in their main object, and
became a simple review comparing the sup-
posed outlay and certain works with the
Budget appropriations for those works,
thus doing very imperfectly what the
Appropriation and Outlay Account does
completely and thoroughly.

4 As regards future arrangements
regarding the most important points seem
to be,

(A) That, instead of retaining all ap-
plications for modifications of budget
credits until all modifications have
become useless, orders should be passed
promptly on applications made

That

13
32
(B) That it should be distinctly unde-
stood ^{by Budget Examiners} that the modifications asked
for from Government may possibly
be beyond the power of Government
to sanction, and that therefore until
orders are passed it should not be
taken for granted that the modifi-
cations proposed have been approved
of.

5 It also seems desirable that
applications for such appropriations as
may be necessary should continue to be
made as long as there is any use in making
them, as long, that is, as there is any chance
of the modifications being sanctioned in time
to allow of their being acted upon; - the
telegraphs being used if necessary.

6 It will probably be desirable
to introduce a form for such applications
somewhat similar to that now used in
making similar applications to the Go-
vernment of India. The applications
should, I think, be submitted through this
Office, so that any points requiring
notice, &c. &c. the necessity of the sanction of
the Government of India may be at
once pointed out

It

7 It will also of course be the
 duty of this Office to keep itself informed
 as to probable lapses and excesses, so that
 it may always be in a position to point
 out how any increase asked for may
 be met, or how to surrender, may be
 made use of

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servant

Lowry-Sodon.

Essex House, Pitt Street, London

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

1147 1/2 11-5 30

8830-3-76 No 818
 - 30-6-76 - 1661
 - 5-7-76 - 1716.

P.W.D.
 18876

From
 The Criminal
 P.W. Dept.

To
 The Secy: to Govt.
 P.W. D.

Dated 12th August 1976

No 16317.

Suggests certain modifica-
 tions in the preparations
 and submissions of statement
 of Savings and Demands.

No 6230

Cin - 23-8-76 (Me 28-8-76)
 Despatched 15-8-76
 7/8/76

Office Note

The following addition
 appears to be necessary
 I.A. "in connection with
 estimates which have
 been sanctioned by competent
 authority."
 e.g. the allotments for Floating
 Plant (new) Godavari are
 not at the disposal of D.E.
 until estimates have been
 sanctioned by Government.
 There are therefore more "restrictions"
 than those named in the draft
 Again the Budget estimate until
 passed by the Govt is not a
 final authority for expenditure
 9 - When a timely application
 to surrender the amount
 not required" - It would
 seem "a timely surrender"
 or "an immediate surrender"
 should be made
 12 - It is not clear to what this
 refers. If the application
 is to be made to Government
 the date 8 Feb. is too late -
 by 18/8/76

I think it is an
 understood thing
 that no appropri-
 ations can be
 made except on
 duly sanctioned
 estimates. The
 appropriation of
 part of any allo-
 cation can only
 be made to cover
 an estimate -

They are not final
 until passed, & have
 no force, until
 passed -

15th January would
 be better - as per
 15th February 1976
 15-2

And still

intimate knowledge!

مجلسه اول

[illegible]

في سنة ١٢٨٥ هـ

لایحه و سند تقدیم شد

3

1944-1945

کتابخانه عمومی

35. January - 1944

المستحقين من الخدمة العامة

تفويض

لکھنؤ، ۱۲ مئی ۱۹۴۷ء

1944

استاد سید محمد رفیع

أ- لانسور من مسمى على هذا النمط

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

16-10-1941

[Handwritten signature]

Charles F. Johnson

الحمد لله رب العالمين

1944-1945

100-44388-100

100-443887-100

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1876.

P.W.
DEPT.

CONTROLLER, P.W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras,

August 7th 1876.

OFFICE NOTE

To

W.

WITH REFERENCE TO G.O. NO
1,007 DATED 20TH APRIL 1876, I
PUT UP FOR APPROVAL A DRAFT STAND-
-ING ORDER REGARDING APPROPRIATI-
ONS.

KEEP-WITH.

Genl. No.

dated

187

12. EACH DIST. OF SUFG. ENGR.

WILL SUBMIT TO THE EXAMINER, ON

THE 2ND. OF EACH MONTH A RETURN OF

APPROPRIATIONS MADE BY HIM DURING

THE PRECEDING MONTH IN EX.WKS.FORM

NOS.

Am. L.

5.8.76.

Lowrey-Jordan

7th August 1876.

THO

W. 20/8

Recd - 23.8.76 Omd 28.8.76
Ci - 14.8.76 Mtd 23.8.76
despatched by 8-8-76 Ch. Secy 26 Aug

6

14. PROPOSALS & APPLICATIONS CONNECTED WITH ALLOTMENTS & APPROPRIATIONS SHOULD BE MADE FROM TIME TO TIME AS OCCASION ARISES & ARE ON NO ACCOUNT TO BE PUT OFF TO STATED PERIODS.

15. ALL APPLICATIONS SHOULD BE MADE THROUGH THE EXAMINER WHO WILL INDICATE THE SOURCES FROM WHICH IT IS LIKELY THAT AN INCREASE ASKED FOR MAY BE MET. REPORT WHETHER PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS ARE ADMISSIBLE OR NOT, & POINT OUT WHETHER THE SANCTION OF THE GOVT. OF INDIA IS NECESSARY & C.

16. THE EXAMINER WILL KEEP SUCH REGISTERS AS ARE NECESSARY FOR THE RECORD OF ALLOTMENTS & APPROPRIATIONS MADE, & FOR SHOWING AT ALL TIMES THE STATE OF THE BUDGET-GRANTS.

17. EACH DIST. OR SUPTG. ENGR. WILL KEEP AN APPROPRIATION-REGISTER IN EX. WKS. FORM NO. FOR THE RECORD OF ALLOTMENTS RECEIVED & APPROPRIATIONS MADE BY HIM. THIS REGISTER SHOULD AT ALL TIMES SHOW THE BALANCES UN-APPROPRIATED & THEREFORE AVAILABLE FOR APPROPRIATION UNDER THE DIFFERENT HEADS.

EXCESSES, ACTUAL OR ANTICIPATED, NOT EXCEEDING RS. 50 EACH. THIS RULE IS ABSOLUTE. IT IS BASED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT THE PETTY SAVINGS & THE PETTY EXCESSES WILL ON THE WHOLE BALANCE EACH OTHER. IF THE SAVINGS WERE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT & THE EXCESSES NEGLECTED, CONSIDERABLE FINANCIAL IRREGULARITY MIGHT ENSUE.

11. DIST. OR SUPTG. ENGRS. SHOULD ALSO MAKE A TIMELY SURRENDER OF ANY PORTION OF THEIR ALLOTMENTS WHICH THEY MAY BE UNABLE TO UTILIZE, AND TIMELY APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL ALLOTMENTS WHEN REQUIRED.

12. APPLICATIONS ETC. CONNECTED WITH APPROPRIATIONS, TO BE OF REAL USE, SHOULD BE MADE BEFORE THE 15TH OF FEBRUARY: AFTER THAT DATE THE TELEGRAPH SHOULD BE USED, IF NECESSARY.

13. APPLICATIONS FOR APPROPRIATIONS & PROPOSALS FOR SURRENDERS & APPLICATIONS FOR INCREASE OR REDISTRIBUTION OF APPROPRIATIONS ALREADY MADE SHOULD BE SUBMITTED IN EX. WKS. FORM NO. & ALL APPLICATIONS CONNECTED WITH ALLOTMENTS IN EX. WKS. FORM NO.

17 February

7. IN THE CASES MENTIONED IN
PARA. 4, STEPS SHOULD BE TAKEN AT THE
EARLIEST POSSIBLE MOMENT TO OBTAIN
THE NECESSARY APPROPRIATION OF
FUNDS, & DISTRICT & SUPC. ENGRS.
WILL BEAR IN MIND THAT THESE CASES
HAVE THE FIRST CLAIM ON THEIR AL-
LOTMENTS.

8. EXEC. OFFRS. WHEN APPLYING
FOR APPROPRIATIONS, & DIST. OR SUP.
ENGRS. WHEN APPROPRIATING FOR ANY
WORK, SHOULD ALWAYS CONSIDER THAT
AMOUNT OF THE SANCTIONED ESTIMATE
CAN BE PROFITABLY EXPENDED DURING
THE YEAR, & SHOULD APPLY FOR OR
APPROPRIATE, AS THE CASE MAY BE,
THAT AMOUNT.

9. IN CASES OF INABILITY TO SPE-
ND THE FULL AMOUNT OF AN APPROP-
RIATION, THE EXEC. OFFR. SHOULD
MAKE A TIMELY APPLICATION TO SUR-
RENDER THE AMOUNT NOT REQUIRED;
& IN THE EVENT OF AN ADDITIONAL
APPROPRIATION BEING REQUIRED TIME-
LY APPLICATION SHOULD BE MADE FOR
THE SAME.

10. NO ACTION IS TO BE TAKEN
IN THE CASE OF EITHER SAVINGS OR

CIVIC APPROPRIATIONS WILL BE MA-
DE BY GOVT. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF
BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS & REDIS-
TRIBUTIONS THEREOF.

4. IT IS TO BE DISTINCTLY UN-
DERSTOOD THAT EXCEPT IN CASES OF
A. REAL EMERGENCY, AND
B. INCOMPLETE WORKS OF THE
PREVIOUS YEAR

NO LIABILITIES ARE TO BE INCURRED
UNTIL SUFFICIENT APPROPRIATIONS
HAVE BEEN MADE TO MEET THEM.

A CASE OF REAL EMERGENCY IS
ONE IN WHICH NEGLECT TO CARRY OUT
A CERTAIN WORK WOULD INVOLVE POSI-
TIVE RISK OF DANGER; AND IN THE
ABSENCE OF SUCH RISK, NO WORK HOW-
NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE IT MAY SEEM,
IS TO BE CONSIDERED EMERGENCY.

5. WHEN A WORK IS UNDERTAKEN AS
IMPERATIVE IN ANTICIPATION OF PRE-
LIMINARY SANCTION & APPROPRIATION, THE
WORK MUST BE STRICTLY LIMITED TO
WHAT IS IMMEDIATELY NECESSARY TO
GUARD AGAINST DANGER. ANY ADDI-
TIONAL WORK WHICH MAY BE CONSIDERED
DESIRABLE MUST BE DEFERRED UNTIL
SANCTION HAS BEEN OBTAINED & FUNDS
APPROPRIATED. NO

DRAFT STANDING-ORDER.

APPROPRIATIONS.

1. ALL LUMP-SUM ALLOTMENTS THAT ARE SHOWN IN THE BUDGET-ESTIMATE AS FOR ANY PARTICULAR DISTRICT OR CIRCLE WILL BE ENTIRELY AT THE DISPOSAL OF THE DIST. OR SUPG. ENGR. CONCERNED; SUBJECT ONLY TO THE FOLLOWING RESTRICTIONS:-

A. THE ALLOTMENTS MAY ONLY BE APPROPRIATED FOR OBJECTS OF THE CLASS FOR WHICH THEY ARE PROVIDED; AND

B. ANY UNAPPROPRIATED PORTION OF THE ALLOTMENT MAY AT ANY TIME BE RESUMED BY GOVT., BUT NOT BY ANY LESE AUTHORITY.

2. ALL APPROPRIATIONS OF FUNDS FOR SPECIFIC WORKS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS & REDISTRIBUTIONS OF BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS, WILL BE MADE BY DIST. OR SUPG. ENGRS.

3. ADDITIONAL ALLOTMENTS, IF REQUIRED WILL FROM TIME TO TIME BE MADE BY GOVT. ON APPLICATION

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 28 Aug 76
again
READ the following:-

From the P. W. Dept. (H. E. No. 1716 of 2nd July 1876)

Read also the following:-

From The Examiner of P. W. Accts (H. E. No. 163 of 12th Aug 76)

In these papers the Examiner has pointed out the inutility of orders on the statements of savings and demands submitted by District Engineers, when such orders are issued too late to admit of the reappropriations sanctioned being acted upon after the receipt of the orders and within the official year.

2. The Examiner proposes therefore that instead of the submission of the statements of savings and demands which

which are due from Districts
on the 31st January
under the orders as per margin

No. 5 of 3^d December 1864
and 37 of 23^d June 1866.

1st Applications for re-appropriations should be made
from time to time as occasions
arise;

2^d that such applications
should be forwarded through
his Office;

3^{dly} that District Engineers
should understand distinctly that the orders of
Govt. on all proposals for
modifications of allotments
must be awaited.

3. These arrangements are
approved and will be introduced
at once. In cases in which
District Engineers may be
able to make surrenders of
amounts sufficient to cover
either wholly or in part the
desired reappropriations, they
will show such surrenders,
and the Examiner in

transmitting

transmitting the applications
will state whether funds
are or are not available
for meeting the demand
not so covered. Similarly
District Engineers will
transmit through the Examiner
all reports of surrenders
in order that the latter
officer may be in a position
to show what funds are
available for reappropriation.

4. Ordered that the
following Standing Order
be printed for distribution
to all concerned.

by 22/8

✓
Capt. P. W. Aceto. 131 ✓
District Engineer
Chief Eng. for migration. 5 ✓
Comdg. Architect. 3 ✓

17 Dec 20/9/76

Handwritten text at the top of the left page, mostly illegible.

№ 623/24

5820
Oct. of 1876 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Engineer of P. W. & C.

Detail

Encl.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 28th August 1876, No.

2276

Nos. 121/24

Statement of Savings
& Demands passing
orders in letter from the Engr.
of P. W. & C. suggesting
certain modifications in the
supervision of submission
of —, and forwarding for
approval, draft standing
order regarding Appro-
bations.

21/9/76