

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	187	(w)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis-
Dated	23-1-	1876	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
25th January 1876.*

Read the following —

No. 410 From P. CUNDASAWMY PILLAY, dated 5th January 1876, No. 89.

ABSTRACT—Petition from P. Cundasawmy Pillay praying that he may be re-instated in his former position as Writer and Accountant in the Public Works Department, Madura

No. 411. ORDER THEREON, 25th January 1876, No. 187.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 26

It appears that the only evidence of the petitioner's incapacity is the somewhat vague assertion of the sub-divisional officer,

and the Governor in Council is not therefore satisfied with the justice of the dismissal.

2 It is observed also that no inquiry seems to have been made into the alleged roguery brought to light by the petitioner.

3 The Governor in Council, therefore, having regard to the twelve-years' service of Cundasawmy Pillay, will have no objection to his seeking re-employment in the Public Works Department in some other district

(True Extract.)

Major-General, R E,
Secretary to Government

To P Cundasawmy Pillay
To the District Engineer, Madura
„ Controller of Public Works Accounts

Exd S T Austin
Spare Copies

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

UNDER date the

Read the following —

Petition from P. Comelassamy Pillay.
Sole Writer & Accountant, Madura Dist. } (H.S. d/5 Jan/79)

His Excellency the Governor
in Council declines to interfere
in this case which appears
to have been carefully dis-
-posed of by the Range or
Sub Divisional Officer and
District Engineer

Chm.

Xantho

No. 187

P. W. Department

Proceedings of the Madras Sat

under date 25 Jan 76.

Read the following

Petition from P. Gurusamy

(H. C. No. 89 of 5 Jan 76)

Pillay, praying that

he may be reinstated
in his former position

as Writer and Accountant
in the Public Works
Department, Madras.

It appears that the
only evidence of the

Petitioner's incapacity
is the somewhat vague
assertion of the Sub-
divisional officer,

and the Governor in
Council is not therefore
satisfied with the
justice of the dismissal.

2. It is observed
also that no inquiry
seems to have been
made

G.O. 25th Jan 76 410-411

WM

