

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1697	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	5-7	C876 195

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
5th July 1876 No. 1,697.*

Read the following papers —

No 65 From Major J VERTUE, R E, District Engineer, Trichinopoly, to
Major-General G W. WALKER, R E., Secretary to Government, Public
Works Department, dated Camp Museri, 15th March 1876, No. 983.

With reference to G O, No 70, dated 14th January 1876, I have the honor
to forward a statement of Petty Establishment maintained in each Range of this
district as per the scales sanctioned in G O, No 1,299, of 12th May 1871.

2 Measures are being taken to open Service Registers for these men

TRICHINOPOLY DISTRICT
Statement of Petty Establishment maintained in each Range

Names	Amount of Pay	Remarks
<i>No 1 Range</i>		
Veeramalai .. .	35	Bricklayer maistry in charge, Military Works
Sivakyanam .. .	25	Carpenter maistry do do
Venkatrayalu Naidu .. .	20	In charge of Range Stores.
Punchabacasa Iyer . . .	15	Maistry, Lalgudi Division
Namperumal .. .	15	Do do Kulitalai Division
Lutchmana Sing .. .	9	Moochee, Range Office
Lutchmanan . . .	7	Kavalkarens, Store-yard and Range
Veerasami . . .	6½	Office
Saminada Pillai . . .	7	Maistry, Trichinopoly Division
P Srinivasalu Naidu . . .	10½	In charge of Stores and Records, &c, District Engineer's Office
Narayanan . . .	5	Sweeper of Stores and Records and District Engineer's Office
	155	
<i>No 2 Range</i>		
Subramania Iyer .. .	25	} Maistries in charge of Musiri, Perambalur, and Tureyur Works
Ramachendra Iyer . . .	18	
Royappen .. .	15	
Streenevasa Row .. .	8	
	66	In charge of Stores
<i>No 3 Range</i>		
Meer Gufferdeen .. .	20	Maistry, Udaiyarpoythem Taluq
Total per month	241	

TRICHINOPOLY,
15th March 1876

(Signed) J VERTUE, Major, R E,
District Engineer, Trichinopoly

No 65-A. From Major J VERTUE, R E, District Engineer, Trichinopoly, to
Major-General G W. WALKER, R E, Chief Engineer, Public Works
Department, dated Trichinopoly, 30th March 1876, No 1,020.

With reference to Memorandum, No 549, I have the honor to observe that the
Public Works Code of the Government of India, Chapter I, Section 1, paragraph 9,

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
5th July 1876. No 1,697.

- allows the entertainment on the Petty Establishment of storekeepers, guards, chuprassies, klassies, choukadars, &c

2. The necessity for the employment of the persons regarding whom explanation is required is as follows —

(1.) *Moochee, Range Office* — The amount of works in No 1 Range (Special Range) is such as to render it impossible to dispense with the services of this man, who has for five years been in his present employment. Office work too, instead of being simplified and rendered lighter, seems gradually to be becoming more complicated, and more onerous.

(2.) *Kavalkar* — This man's primary duty is that of watching and guarding the office and stores. Such has been employed time out of mind. I cannot see that his services can possibly be dispensed with.

3. *Store-yard and Range Office Peon* — The duties of this man are to attend the Range Officer, take letters to, and from, the tappal and the various officers in the cantonment, and he is more particularly required when, as is very frequently the case, the Range Officer is on circuit, and has all his lascars with him.

4. *Clerk in charge of Stores and Records, District Engineer's Office* — This is a new appointment, but a very necessary one, to admit of the substitution of the bundle system for that heretofore in use, viz, the *posting* system as it may be called. Having had experience of both, there is, in my opinion, no question as to the superiority of the former, in which all the papers connected with a subject are kept together, and can be produced at a few minutes' notice, saving the hours frequently required to accomplish, and then often imperfectly, the same end under the present system. It seems to me too that the system proposed will very much facilitate the destruction of records, whose retention is no longer required, nor likely to be required. I regard indeed a recordkeeper as indispensable to the speedy transaction of office business, and replies to references.

5. *Sweeper* — If the permanent entertainment of a sweeper to sweep, keep clean, and free from white ants, the offices, store-rooms, and their contents, be objected to, the work must be done from time to time by some one hired for the purpose, and permission must be given to include his hire in the Monthly Contingent Bill. I do not think much would in that way be saved, and certainly the work would not be so well done.

No. 65-B From Captain L CONWAY-GORDON, R E, Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to Major-General G W WALKER, R E, Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Fort St George, 21st April 1876, No 27 W

In returning the papers referred to me with your endorsement, No 139, of the 3rd April 1876, I have the honor to state, that the employment of the men marginally noted as members of the Petty Establishment would, in my opinion, be irregular and contrary to the Public Works Code, Chapter I, Section 1, paragraph 9. They are properly members of the office establishment.

Trichinopoly

	Rs.	A.	P.
1 Moochee	9	0	0
1 Clerk for Stores and Records in District Engineer's Office	10	8	0
1 Sweeper	5	0	0

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
5th July 1876. No 1,697.

2. The employment of a gumastah in the Museri Division is not objectionable on the distinct understanding that the functions he carries out are practically those of a storekeeper.

3. If the duties carried out by the kavalkaren and peon for the store-yard, at the Trichinopoly divisional office, include those of protecting the stores, they may perhaps be considered as watchmen or guards, and their continued employment in that capacity on the Petty Establishment may be permitted. But from the explanation of the District Engineer, the duties of the latter appear to be simply those of an extra peon.

4. The bills submitted to this office up to date by the Executive Officer, Trichinopoly Division, for the Petty Establishment contain no charges for a moochee or sweeper, it would appear therefore that the pay of these men, who seem from the District Engineer's report to have been employed for some time, has been drawn under different designations.

5. The several men for whom pay has been drawn for March 1876 as Petty Establishment in the Trichinopoly District are as follows —

Trichinopoly

	Rs.
Veeramalai Pillay, Maistry	35
Sivakyanam Pillay, do	25
T V Vencatrayalu Naidu, Storekeeper	17½
Punchabacasa Iyen, Maistry	15
Namperumal Iyah, do	15
1 Maistry	8
1 Head Cooly	9
1 Watchman	7
1 Temporary Lascar	6½
Total	138

Museri

	Rs.
Subramania Iyer, Maistry	25
Ramachendra Iyer, do	18
Royappen, do	15
Streenevassa Row, Gumastah	8
Total	66

Udayarpohem

	Rs.
Meer Gufferdeen Sahib, Maistry	23

6. It will be seen from the above list that the establishment actually employed last month in the Trichinopoly Division does not correspond with the particulars given in the statement furnished with the District Engineer's letter to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, No 983, of the 15th March 1876, and that the pay drawn for the maistry in the Udayarpohem Division is Rupees 8 more than the amount entered in the statement.

7. If the pay of the 'Moochee' has been drawn for years under the designation in the pay bill of head cooly, the course taken has been very irregular. I need scarcely

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
5th July 1876. No 1,697.

say that to spend money on one object, and to represent it in the accounts as having been spent on another is simply to cut away the basis on which all financial statistics rest
8. I would earnestly suggest the desirability of sanctioning the scale of Petty Establishment for each division according to its requirements

No 66

ORDER THEREON, 5th July 1876, No 1,697.

ESTABLISHMENT, No 10

HIS GRACE the Governor in Council disallows the entertainment of the store-keeper, moochee, store and extra peon, and sweeper for the Trichinopoly Range Office; of the extra clerk for the District office, and of the store gumashta for the Museri Range; shown in the Petty Establishment list submitted by the District Engineer of Trichinopoly.

2 The Trichinopoly Range Office Establishment is an exceptional one, costing Rupees 213 a-month, in which a clerk and lascar more than allowed for a first-class Range Establishment have been provided with special reference to the peculiarity of the range as including a cantonment, and therefore involving the storage and issue of many articles at head-quarters. Such special provision having been made, additional clerks and peons cannot be allowed. Moochees and sweepers are not allowed for any range office. And no cause has been shown for the entertainment of an extra clerk and gumashta in the District and Museri Range Offices.

3 The attention of the District Engineer is called to paragraph 4 to 7 of the Examiner's letter. His Grace the Governor in Council will view with great displeasure any attempt to draw pay for extra office establishments in this irregular manner; and hold the officer permitting such practice personally responsible for refunding all such charges unauthorizedly incurred.

(True Extract)

Colonel,

Offg Under Secy to Govt, P.W.D.

District Engineer's Office
Trichinopoly
30th March 1876

No 1020

From

The District Engineer
Trichinopoly

To

The Chief Engineer
S. P. O.
Madras

Sir,
With reference to Memo No 549 I have the honor to observe that the Public Work Code of the Government of India Chapter I Section I Para 9 allows the entertainment on the Petty Establishment, of Store keepers, guards, Chuprassies, Kharassies, Chondadars &c.

2 The necessity for the employment of the persons requiring whom explanation is required is as follows.

1. Museri Range office. The amount of work in the Range (Special Range) is such as to render it impossible to dispense with the services of this man who has for 5 years been in his present

4. 1. 1846

172881/96

his share in the monthly Contingent
Bill. I do not think much would
in that way be saved, and certainly
the works would not be so well done.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your Most Obedt Servt.

James Fort Major Q.R.

District Engineer
Trichinopoly

No 139

Referred to the
Examiner, with previous
correspondence, for
opinion as to the
admissibility of the
entries in the Petty
Establishment Lists
made by the District
Engineer Trichinopoly.

Wm. Walker Major Genl R.C.
Secy to Govt P.W.D.

3 April 1846
To the Examiner
No 1299
with 20 17 5 71 70
with 17 5 71 70 70
with 17 5 71 70 70

No 4 ENC

P. W. 1876 Dept
 From No 2409
 To District Engineer
 Trichinopoly
 The Chief Engineer
 S. D. H.
 Madras.

Dated 30th March 1876
 Recd 3rd April
 No 1020

Affords explanation
 regarding the necessity
 of employing storekeepers
 & watchmen from Petty
 Establishment.

To the Honorable
 the 20th 12th 1876
 with the No 5194

Trichinopoly District

nt of Petty Establishment maintained in each Range.

Names	Amount of Pay	Remarks
<u>No 1 Range</u>		
nalai	35	Brick layer Maistry in ch. Military Works
yanam	25	Carpenter Maistry do do
ayalu kaidu	20	In charge of Range Stores
abasa Iyer	15	Maistry Lalqudi Division
rumal	15	do do Kulitalei do
nana Sing	9	Moveki Range Office
manan	7	Kaval Karsu Store yard Range Office
sami	6	
ada Ralai	7	Maistry Trichinopoly Du
irnsalu kaidu	10	In charge of stores & Records to Dis. Engineer Office
yanan	5	Sweeper do do
<u>No 2 Range.</u>		
ania Iyer	25	Maistry in charge of Musiri Prambalai & Pureru Works
kenora Iyer	18	
ben	15	In charge of Stores
vasa Row	8	
<u>No 3 Range.</u>		
Gudferdeen	20	Maistry. Udaigar palaiyam Talug
Total per month	241	

is Office
 koli
 1876

Lance Quarter Master P. S.
 District Engineer Trichinopoly

M 1988/76

17/2/76

Enclosure to letter No.

17/2/76

17/2/76

1983.

District Engineer (Office)

Trichinopoly

Camp Muzer

15th March 1876.

From.

The District Engineer

Trichinopoly

The Secretary to Government.

P. H. Department.

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No 70 dated 14th January 1876, I have the

honor to forward a statement of

the Petty Establishment maintained in

each Range of this District

as per the scales sanctioned in

G.O. No 1299 of 12th May 1871

measures are being

taken to open Service Registers

for these men

I have the honor to be able

Sir, I am, Sir,

your most obedt Servt

James C. V. Major Retd

District Engineer Trich

Improving the papers referred
 to me with your endorsement No. 139 of the
 3^d April 1876, I have the honor to state,
 that the employment of the men marginally

<u>Trichinopoly</u>	Rs. 40 7 1/2	noted as members of the Petty Establishment
1. Mutchi	9 "	
1. Clerk for Stamps & Revenue	10 8 "	
1. Scribe	5 "	

 would in my opinion be irregular and
 contrary to the Public Works Code Chapter
 I, Section 1 para 9. They are properly
 members of the Office Establishment.
 2. The employment of a Gymnast
 in the Mysore Division is not objectionable
 on the distinct understanding that the
 functions he carries out are practically those

last month in the Trichinopoly Division &
does not correspond with the particulars given
in the Statement furnished with the
District Engineer's letter to the Secretary
to Government, Public Works Department,
No 903 of the 15th March 1876, and that the
pay drawn for the Maistry in the
Madras Division is Rupees of more
than the amount entered in the Statement.

7. If the pay of the Muchis has
been drawn for years under the designation
in the pay bill of Head. By the course
taken has been very irregular. I need scarcely
say that to spend money on one object, and to
represent it on the accounts as having been
spent on another is simply to cut away the basis
on which all financial Statistics rest.

8. I would earnestly suggest the desirability of
sanctioning the scale of Petty Establishment for
each Division according to its
its

Requirements.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Yours most Obedt Servt

Lowry Gordon

Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

Ch 1988 into memo: 57,992/3/1. 1896 Dep't
No. 2409
12-12-11 No 1299
11-12-11 No 70
The Examiner
No. 2861
P.W. Accounts

To
The Secretary to Govt
P.W. Department

No 2710.
dated 21
Recd 22 } April 1896.

E. J. V.

Passing certain
remarks about the em-
ployment of certain men
on the Petty Establishment

Ch 1-1-96 P.W. 57,992
Recd to Govt 24-4-96

No 1697
Public Works Department
PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 5th July 1896

Read the following
From the District Engineer (H.C. No 983 of 15 March 1896)
The Engineer of Public Works Accounts (H.C. No 1020 of 30 do)
(H.C. No 27 of 21 April 1896)

His Grace the
Governor in Council
will allow the inter-
-tainment of the Store-
-Receipts, Merchants, Store-
-and Extra Prov. and
-sweepers for the Trichi-
-nopoly Range Office,
-of the extra Clerk for
-the District Officer,
-and of the Store Gov-
--nment for the
-Muzeri Range, shown
-in the Petty Establish-
-ment list submitted
-by the District Engineer
-of Trichinopoly
The Trichinopoly
Range

Range Office Establishment
ment is an exception-
al one, costing
No 213 a month, in
which a Clerk and
have been more than
allowed for a 1st Class
Range Establishment
have been provided
with special reference
to the peculiarity of the
Range as including
a Cantonment, and
therefore involving the
storage and repair of
many articles at West
Dunbar. Such special
provision having been
made, additional Clerk
and peons cannot be
allowed. Messengers
and Sweepers are not
allowed for any Range
Office. And no cause
has been shown for
the

Revised to 1860-213-6-15

the extent of the
extra Clerk and Gos-
manchala in the District
and Muneri Range
Offices

The attention of the
District Engineer is
called to para 4 of
of the Hyamini's letter.
As per the Governor
in Council will view
with great disphasure
any attempt to draw
pay for extra Office
Establishments under
false pretences; and
hold the Officer guilty
of such a practice, per-
sonally responsible
for refunding all such
charges, unauthorizedly
incurred.

In this matter -
measures

1860-213-6-15

D. E. Pichay
En. P. W. J. W.

En. P. W. J. W.

Desd from City July 1866

2861 ✓
2409 ✓ 1988 ✓

Cobl. of 11376: Indras

PUBLIC WORKS.

Indras
reference to
10/10/77
Indras

10/10/77

10/10/77

10/10/77

Entertainment of certain
men on the Petty Establish-
ment in the Indraspol.

8th. Passing orders
on papers relative to
the —

Indras
10/10/77