

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1586	(3)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated		24-6-	1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
24th June 1876. No. 1,586.*

Read the following papers —

No 498. From Colonel C H DICKENS, R A, Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—Irrigation,) to Major-General G. W WALKER, R E, Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, (Irrigation Branch,) dated Simla, 3rd June 1876, No 225 A—I

THE Accountant-General, Public Works Department, has laid before the Government of India the Statement of Objectionable Items in the accounts of Irrigation Works in Madras for November 1875, from which it would appear that an excess outlay of Rupees 16,857 over estimate had, up to that period, been incurred on the Pelandorai Project

2 The attention of the Government of Madras was directed to the excess expenditure over estimate for this work in the orders* of the Government of India

* Paragraph 10, Clause III on the Madras Irrigation Budget-estimate for 1875-76, in which it was remarked that "the probable excess is more than 5 per cent over the estimated cost of Rupees 2,00,555, and the Government of India must, therefore, ask of the Government of Madras to take such steps as will ensure the submission of the revised estimate for the project with the least possible delay. Meanwhile, an immediate report should be submitted of the probable amount of the revised estimate for the work." In reply to

+ No 1,227, dated 1st May 1875, paragraph 5 this inquiry, it was said in the Proceedings† of the Government of Madras, forwarded with letter, No 1,228, dated 1st May 1875, that "it is understood that the revised estimate for the Pelandorai Ancient Project has just been received by the Chief Engineer from the District Engineer, South Arcot, and its submission should be expedited." But the estimate in question has not as yet been received, and I am, therefore, to ask that the Government of Madras will cause steps to be taken for the prompt submission of the same to the Government of India, and that a report may be submitted, as soon as possible, of the probable amount of the revised estimate for the work. If the work has been finished, or nearly so, a Completion Bill instead of a revised estimate should be submitted for the orders of the Government of India; the excess outlay being beyond the competency of the Government of Madras to sanction

—
No. 76 W.

No 498A. *Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation*

THE Pelandorai Ancient revised estimate was received in this Office, and it was found that it could not be submitted to Government without further information as to items on which considerable excess had occurred; also that it was incomplete both as regards provision for works, and in respect of establishment, tools and plant, capitalised assessment of lands taken up, &c. The estimate was returned to the

District Engineer with instructions on the 30th December last, and on the 1st

February that officer reported that there had been found to be difficulties in settling contractors' accounts, and that as soon as these had been closed he would endeavour

Expenditure

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
24th June 1876. No. 1,586

to carry out the instructions given for the revision of the estimate, though he did not hope to be able to do all that was wanted. The cause of delay since that time is not known in this Office

2. The amount of the estimate as submitted last year was Rupees 2,52,435

3. The unsatisfactory management of this project was brought to notice in the Notes of an inspection made in 1874, and is referred to in paragraph 8 of G O, No 3,143, of the 5th November 1874. One result of this mismanagement has been undoubtedly great difficulty in ascertaining what particular works have cost, and in giving the explanations of causes of excess which must accompany the final estimate

OOTACAMUND,
17th June 1876

(Signed) J MULLINS, Lieut-Colonel, R E,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

No 499

ORDER THEREON, 24th June 1876, No 1,586

IRRIGATION, No. 29

THE District Engineer, South Arcot,
will be instructed to report at once when
the Pelandorai Anicut revised estimate
will be ready, and what has caused the delay in its submission

(True Extract)

Colonel,

Offg. Under Secy. to Govt, P W.D

To the District Engineer, South Arcot
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

ACCOUNT

Irrigation

The Secretary to the Government
of Madras, Public Works
Department, Irrigation Branch
Shula, 3rd June 1876
Sir

The Accountant General Public
Works Department has laid before the
Government of India the Statement of
objectionable items in the accounts of
Irrigation works in Madras, for 1875-76,
from which it would appear that
an excess outlay of Rs 16,857 over estimate
had, up to that period been incurred on
the Pelandorai Project.

The attention of the Government
of Madras was directed to the excess
expenditure.

expenditure over estimate for this work
in the orders of the Government of India Para 10 clause III
on the Madras Irrigation Budget
Estimate for 1875-76 in which it was
remarked that "the probable excess is
more than 5 per cent over the estimated
cost of Rs. 2,00,000, and the Government
of India must therefore ask of the
Government of Madras to take such
steps as will ensure the submission
of the revised estimate for the project
with the least possible delay. Meanwhile
an immediate report should be sub-
mitted of the probable amount of the
revised estimate for the work. In reply
to this enquiry it was said in the
Proceedings of the Government of Madras
forwarded with letter No. 1228 dated 1st
May 1875 that it is understood that
the revised estimate for the Palamondore
Ancient Project has just been received
by

No 1227 dated 1st
1875 para 6

by the Chief Engineer from the
District Engineer, South Arcot, and
its submission should be expedited.
But the estimate in question has not
as yet been received and I am therefore
to ask that the Government of Madras
will cause steps to be taken for the
prompt submission of the same to the
Government of India, and that a report
may be submitted as soon as possible
of the probable amount of the revised
estimate for the work. If the work
has been finished or nearly so a completion
bill instead of a revised estimate should
be submitted for the orders of the Govern-
ment of India - the excess outlay being beyond
the competency of the Government of Madras
to sanction.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.

(Dickens) Coll. Secy

Secy to the Govt of India

W. 1876 Department

80-4545

From

The Government of India

Date 5.8.76
Received 25.8.76 } 1876

8-225 A.D.

Remarking on the delay in the submission of the revised estimate for the Palandara ancient project in the South West District.

N. 498

E. I. Form No 18

OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER FOR IRRIGATION,

Port of George, June 1876.

N. 75-W.

Note by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

The Palandara ancient revised estimate was received in this office and it was found that it could not be submitted to Government without further information as to items on which considerable excess had occurred - also that it was incomplete both as regards provision for works, and in respect of Establishment, Tools and Plant, Capitalized assessment of lands taken up &c. The estimate was returned to the District Engineer with instructions on the 30th December last, and on the 1st February that officer reported that there had been found to be difficulties in settling Contractors' accounts

205

and that, as soon as these had been closed he would endeavour to carry out the instructions given for the revision of the estimate, though he did not hope to be able to do all that was wanted. The cause of delay since that time is not known in this office.

2. The amount of the estimate
as submitted last year was
\$2,524.35.

3. The unsatisfactory management of this project was brought to notice in the notes of an inspection made in 1874, and is referred to in para 8 of G O No. 3143, of the 5th November 1874. One result of this mismanagement has been undoubtedly great difficulty in ascertaining what particular works have cost, and in giving

2.18.11. the explanations of causes of excess which must accompany the final estimate.

Volunteers Lt. Colonel,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

L. 1876 Department
Form No 18

—8.1.550A

From
The Chief Engineer for Irrigation,

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Dated 17th June
Despatched
Received 26th June 1876.

ENCLOSURE

No 75 W

Subject

Palandorai ancient
revised estimate - Note
on the delay in the sub-
mission of the —

498a

Cii. 2p-6.76 Ma 24-6 76
of Shet. 26 June

P I Form No 22

No 1586

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 24 June 76.

Read the following:—

From
Secy of India. D.E. No 225 S.I. dt 3rd June 76.
Note by the Chief Eng^r } H.C. No 75 W/17th June 76-
for Engr^g

The District Engineer,
Panth Arcot, will be
instructed to report
at once when the Palan-
dorai ancient revised
estimate will be ready
and what has caused
the delay in its sub-
mission.

2 Ordered that a copy
of these Proceedings be
transmitted for the
information of the Govern-
ment of India.

Sd/-
C.E. for I.

19/6

Vol. of 11376: 2nd ed.
THE WORKS,

The N. E. South Street

5000
27-

1586
24 June 1920

REC. 492/99

Palandorai Amcib
South Creek St

The Dr. Engr. to report
when the revised Estimate
for the — will be ready
the cause of the
delay in its sub-
mission.

8-11-1946

498/99