M.R.O. 1—4,002—16-9-48

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1583 (W) Press	—B.P. No. —Mis.—
Dated 23. 6.	1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
23rd June 1876. *No* 1,583.

No. 490 Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department, dated 2nd May 1876, No. 564 :—

ABSTRACT.—*Petitions* presented to the Collector of Madura and transferred by him to the District Engineer for disposal containing charges against subordinate officers of the Public Works Department, concurring in the opinion of the Board of Revenue relative to the matter of — ; and observing that the conduct of the District Engineer will be noticed in the Public Works Department.

No. 491. ORDER THEREON, 23rd June 1876, No. 1,583.

ESTABLISHMENT, No 23.

THE District Engineer of Madura will use his discretion whether he will take any notice of petitions referred to him for disposal, whether by Government or by other officials. In most cases he will probably have received similar petitions himself, and the duplicates require no special action. But when they bring to his notice matters not otherwise made known to him, they must be attended to like any other call made on him. In any case he will accept them without discussion from whatever quarter they may come, and refrain from making them the ground work of contention with other departments.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
Offg. Under Secy to Govt, P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Madura
„ Revenue Department

Exd E C Shunker
Spare Copies

Required petition

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS
OF INDIA

District Engineers Office
Madura.
26th February 1876

No: 4381

From The District Engineer
of Madura.

To. The Ag President
Local Fund Boards
Madura

Despatched 26th
Rec'd 27th } February 1876.

Enclosure 1.

Sir, In returning the Petition received with your letter of the 23d Instant No 92, I have the honor to point out, that your inability to act, should be intimated by you to the Petitioner who has expressly addressed you and not me to appoint a Commission for enquiry -

Enclosure 1 to letter

From

The Ag President
L. F. Bds
Madura

To

The Ag Secretary
to the Bd of Revenue
Madras

Dated 27th March 76
No. 32

Copy of the Dist Engineers letter No 4381 dated 26th Feby 1876 -

Rec'd 30/76
Rev 1648/76
In Gen 1969/76

Registered petition

COURT FEE OF INDIA
EIGHT ANNAS

Dept 27
76

From
The Ryots of Vilampatte
village
Madura Taluk

Re Mackenzie Anicut

Was on 8th passed by the
Board of Revenue that belissed
that water will have freely
to the Pungah lands of the
Petitioners village through
the Pipe being channels the
fail to let forth in the block
stone in the wing wall of
the Anicut from that
time the water does not
come into their channels
& consequently a greater portion

I beg to decline interfering in a matter not addressed to me at all, and to request such documents be not sent to this Office in future -

I have &c
Signed J. D. Bricher Collr
District Engineer

A true Copy,

Ag. President

P. No. 2469/76
3

P. W. 3469/76

District Engineers Office
Madura
1st November 1875

No 3109

From

The District Engineer of
Madura

To

The Acting Collector of
Madura

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 29th Ultimo, No 2385, I have the honor to observe that the flooring of the work referred to by you and that of the side vents is all on one level, but the dimensions in width are very different - the centre one being 40 feet wide - one side vent 3 feet wide and the other 5 feet - the fall of the channel above, which entirely regulates the flow of the water is direct for the centre portion which from its greater capacity takes off all the water and all freshes the side vents and their channels, leaving very much less fall on their beds than in the main line become silted

Executive Engineer's letter
To the Acting Collector
Dated 18th March 1876
Forwarding Estimate
Engineer's letter
dated 13th March
1876 No 4608 stating
with his Comments
thereon

District Engineer's Office
Madura 13th March 1876

No 4608

From

The District Engineer
of Madura

To

The Collector of Madura

Sir,

In returning the Petition the
received with your endorsement
of the 8th March 1876 No 109 I
have the honor to point out the
petitioners solicit you to inspect
the ancut personally, and I
am therefore unable to interfere
in the matter and I do not con-
sider this is a satisfactory
way of disposing of such matters
by merely transferring the duty
to some one else to perform.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obed Servt
(Signed) J. F. Fischer Lieut R E
District Engineer of
Madura

(True Copy)
[signature]
Ag Collector

O. No. 34-69/1/76

District Engineers Office
Madura
1st November 1875

No 3109

From
The District Engineer of
Madura

To
The Ag Collector of
Madura

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 29th Ultimo, No 2385, I have the honor to observe that the flooring of the work referred to by you and that of the side vents is all on one level, but the dimensions in width are very different - the centre one being 40 feet wide - one side vent 3 feet wide and the other 5 feet - the fall of the channel above, which entirely regulates the flow of the water is direct for the centre portion which from its greater capacity takes off all the water and it frequently the side vents and their channels, have very much less fall in their beds than in the main line, become silted

No 3365 Dated 18th November 1875

From
The Executive Engineer
Coimbatore District

To
The Collector
Madura

Executive Letter
Dated 13th November
1875 No 4608 Forwarding
with his Letter Comm
Estimate &c

silted up, so that the villagers under them cannot by any possibility obtain the share of the water to which they are fully entitled by the decree of the Madura Court. Under these circumstances I propose to raise the centre flooring 10 inches and have ordered the work to be executed as being the fairest arrangement I could devise as below; supposing there is 1 foot of water on the flooring, $\frac{10}{12} \times 8 = 6\frac{2}{3}$ cubic feet for the 2 side vents leaving the balance 33 1/3 to go over the centre vent. It may be objected that by this arrangement the side vents get more than their $\frac{2}{12}^{ths}$ share and the crest should be only 9 inches but as the channels leading from them have much less fall in their beds than the main one I have made a slight deviation in their favor to compensate for this disadvantage and I am only afraid I have not given them enough.

In conclusion I would observe that as this is a Govern-

ment work the ryots and other subjects who are constantly wasting every one's time by their frivolous quarrels about this matter should be dealt with departmentally and punished for interfering with the due flow of the water as provided for by the Government Officers.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servant
(Signed) J. H. Fischer Lieut. R.E.
District Engineer,
Madura

(True Copy)

P. W. Welch

Ag. Collector

P. No. 3469/76

19

To
The Chairman &
Members of the Local Board
of Madras

The Petition of Thomas Oliver
late Master of the Emigrant Ship "Chattan"
now residing at No 2 Pantiah Pillai Street
Madras Presidency.

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That your Petitioner begs to call
that the Municipal Authorities had
an Estimate for the year 1874 & the work
was carried out. Once work was ordered by the Head
of the Department to be done by the Government
Officers & on their refusing to carry on the work
Your Petitioner was ordered to carry on the work
as he had a Contract & accounts in Rupees
Your Petitioner accordingly made ready the Compound
wherein were ready. There were about
one hundred 52,000 & large & small 4000 of the
one hundred 37,000 were found dead the
large ones the Petitioner 14,000 & after the completion
were made in Aug 1875 17,000 which were sent
in a train & the remaining the one hundred 15,000
& the large ones 4000 (are missing).

Certain trees were ordered to be made
into Door frames & windows but the work
Queered, has made the men the Contractors

Enclosure 2 with letter
from
The Assistant
to the Agent
of Madras
dated 18th March
1876

To
The Secretary
Local Board of Public Works
Madras

Sir

I am directed by the Agent to forward
herewith a Petition from Thomas Oliver
late Master of the Emigrant Ship "Chattan"
now residing at No 2 Pantiah Pillai Street
Madras Presidency
praying for payment of Rs 3109 due to him
for Contract work done by him
for the Government
I am Sir
Your obedient Servant
Assistant to the Agent

[illegible] these timber the said frames
& windows are made for the granary work. & and
[illegible] trees are worn & cracked needs. such kind
of timber has been used for the work. &
building has the surface of yard about [illegible]
basement. one thickness of [illegible]
has been used. When the Engineer first
[illegible] came here, he saw the timber
turned to be used in the building, & gave orders
to the Sub Bursar that such timber should
be used. the Sub Bursar showed the Engineer
the said worn & cracked timber in the building, then
Mr Fetto visited the building at and the time
saw the needs timber being used, but could
not [illegible] about the use of such needs timber &
some unknown cause. When digging [illegible] and
the inspection & [illegible] of the [illegible]
Bursar Board, was present there to explain the
circumstances to him & shewed him the down
[illegible] frames & windows. He said to the [illegible]
in charge of the building that such kind of
timber should not be used. He said
that he would [illegible], the new [illegible] to
the notice of the higher authorities & also [illegible]
from the petitioner a copy of the Petition.

3. One Venugopalan [illegible]
the District Engineer, that the [illegible]
& the Contractor Palayattil Moosa [illegible]
together & plan to [illegible]. & to [illegible]
building in some places the new foundation [illegible]
not excavated. Instead of Bricks dug [illegible]
using) wooden pieces [illegible]

[illegible] & foreman [illegible] when two things the said
Venugopalan [illegible] wishes to mention [illegible]
up [illegible] [illegible] & the work & showing the
[illegible]. after words from 5 months and that
respondent made to the [illegible] & engineer, &
matters has been done the petitioner, though
[illegible] were [illegible] & to him.
[illegible] the [illegible]) was passed, the [illegible]
engineer were present. both sides as
[illegible] & the Bursar Board was present who
[illegible], the said timber in use
was inspected, the said needs timber in use
& some [illegible] to the Bursar Board
of [illegible], the needs timber, being used
at the [illegible] building, [illegible] at
the Bursar [illegible] building, [illegible] to
appears that the District Engineer, hearing the
[illegible] of the petitioner to remove from use the said needs
to remove from use the [illegible] & the
[illegible] under
same, & to [illegible] in detail & 27th. of
detail the [illegible] in detail & 27th. of
the respondent [illegible] inspected the matter
& this case the [illegible] about.

3. [illegible] Venugopalan & Bursar Board
had not the [illegible] work done &
[illegible] when the Sub Bursar [illegible] that
[illegible] sent in a [illegible] stating that
the work to be [illegible]. One month was granted
by Kunda Swamy (Govt) Government Bursar
that was ordered to do [illegible] under the [illegible].
this matter was referred to the Sub Bursar
Office by the said Kunda Swamy (Govt). The
District Engineer ordered the Sub Bursar
Office to inspect & report about the [illegible]
letter to the Sub Bursar Office did not
[illegible] the matter was pending
& [illegible] to the [illegible]. He said that
[illegible]

investigated the two important witnesses the Ramnad Assistant Surgeon & the Sub Dy. Collector Ramanjooloo Naidoo. He did so just to favour the Overseer but informed the District Engineer that this false statement is made by the said Kundasawmy Pillay of malice, so that the said Kundasawmy Pillay was dismissed from the Employment of the Contractor Velayutha Moodely, made a complaint against Kundasawmy Pillay in the Ramnad Sub Magistrates Court, that the said Kundasawmy Pillay has detained the work of the building. In this Occasion the Sub Magistrate is fairly apprehensive of the foul play done by the Sub Overseer Kandasawmy Pillay. A copy of the Decision has been forwarded to the District Engineer, who has done nothing till hitherto. If Kundasawmy Pillay, the late Government Clerk employed at Ramnad under the Overseer, be investigated the truth will be out.

4. One Le Tukaraman is employed in the Ramnad Road, his pay is Rs 6 per mensem, he was ordered by the Overseer to do his own house duty, & to get Rs 5 in the Nominal Roll in one month the said Le Tukaraman has signed, in the other months the Overseer has used marks which he is able to read & write. The said Le Tukaraman informed the District Engineer about his grievances, who wrote to the Ramnad Sub Magistrate to investigate the case departmentally, which case is still pending. Now Le Tukaraman is investigated the truth of this para will be evident.

5. The Road from Madura to Ramnad is

[illegible] Post

is the most valuable contract in the Ramnad District. The maintenance of the 63 Roads is a valuable transaction. The main road is given on contract to one Kandasawmy Pillay & the contract deed there is a proviso to send the finished pieces and mile stones dressed &c. Now I was now enquiring the Peon to Ramnad, who daily rides to Ramnad, the Sub Magistrate Cutcherry & the Pleaders Bungalow, about the condition of the Ramnad Roads, the whole truth will be out.

6. The Overseer is to see the Roads the Road Contract is given to Velayutha Moodely, near Alangoody in which the Overseer Kandasawmy Pillay has a part. Instead of Gravel they use stones useless to be used. Kandasawmy Pillay tries his best to complete the works as much as possible & he now is employed Sundaram Pillay and Ramasamy Sundaram Pillay and Subbiah Karuppa Thevar Pillay are the Overseers to supervise the work as it should be done and pressed the work quickly. If they two be investigated the facts & the Overseer, having a part in the Contract will be proved, etc.

7. In the said Road the sand was used to be taken as per Est. but now the sand has been used from the Road, which has been used. Should the Gravel Stones be denied to be used the sand, that is useless. The Overseer is to see the sand which has been used. Sundaram Pillay has taken the statement in the Petition to the District Engineer.

8. The Road from Kadaloor to

to Ramnad Channam stones are used when
ever necessary for roads, which have been used at
Ramnad. If (Adimoolam Pillai) Local Board
Member of Kundgal Circle be investigated the
whole tricks of Sub Overseer Corruption, truth
will be out.

7. Mr Patten the 1st Class Supervisor is
merely appointed to see the Sub Overseers Work.
He is also to detect all false play of the Sub
Overseer, but in the case mentioned, he does not
like to expose him. Although there are 100 Petitions
against the Overseer, he does not pay attention
to them, but slightly passes them away. When
Petitions are sent directly to the District Engineer,
he sends them to Mr Patten who safeguards the
Sub Overseer & thus he escapes in every case that
is brought against him.

10. (Petitioner therefore) humbly requests
your honor to take into consideration all the
false plays of the Sub Overseer Corporation, truth
& to be pleased to send a Commissioner Quick as
possible. If the Commissioner is quickly sent the
(Petitioner & others are ready to prove every point
in the petition. Should there be a delay the
Overseer would try to mend his defects.
So that the (Petitioner) humbly request your honor
to be pleased to send us a Commissioner, as
quick as possible, & render Justice by suspending
the Overseer & further investigating his misconduct.
Likewise that the said Lady Teacher having been appointed for the work of Mangoods
Madura 20 & which act of goodness (Petitioner
10th January 1876 as in duty bound shall ever pray. (Chetty and Pradey)

(Petitioner)

Sale Marks

P.S. A Copy of the same is also forwarded to
Government as well.

3977
Recd 9-2-76

Referred to
engineer to report

Lt Frgl No 49

Petition from Samuel
Sykes, Catalpa Grove
Twp. &c.

Bridge across Pickerel Creek
on Pickerel Creek Road

Dated 10th 1-76

Received

3977
Recd 9-2-76

be assumed to
enquirements to them

L. Fr. Cyl. No. 49

Petition from Sami
Ingen, Catalyn a Mari

Ld 10th 1-76

A. M. 34.69 76

Enclosures as letter
from
The Asst Revd
A. G. Board
Madras
&
The Assistant Adjt Gen
Board of Ecclesiastical
Madras
Dated 1st March 76
No 16

Rec'd 1st March 1876
Ecclesiastical Department
Letter from Revd J.
Cain dated 24th Feb.
& Catechists

Aug 30

in the allegations of the Petitioner he could bring charges of fraud and conspiracy to defraud against the Overseer as a Criminal Court but instead of adopting a course which would necessitate his bringing forward his evidence in Support of his allegations he follows the practice of the District of ennobespeaking as much as possible in the hope something may come of it

2. I hope to be in Bunnard soon and be can there make my representations to Lisbon and Dr Stewart was there lately and he did not find any such fault with the Dispensary building as the native surgeon has often alleged to the Local Board and it is to be regretted this Officer did not bring specially to Dr Stewarts notice the shortcomings of the Overseer who as an independent Officer would have been able to give an impartial judgment in the matter.

3 Trust you will be good enough to accede to the Petitioners request and appoint a commission of Enquiry.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obed[t] Servant
(Signed) F[d] Stocker Lieut Colo
District Engineer

(A True Copy)

[signature]
Ag President

Madras
23-2-76

No 92

From,

Lt Col Hall Engrs
Actg President
Madras

To,

The Secretary to Govt
Military Department
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the information of Government a letter No 16 dated 12th Instant from the Executive Engineer Trichinopoly Division, with enclosures, on the subject of the proposed Railway Station at Trichinopoly.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Lt Col
Actg President

P. W. 3467/76

11

Enclosures to letter
From
The Actg President
L F Board
Madras
To
The Actg Secty to the
Board of Rev
Madras
Dated 12th March 1876
No 16
Rec'd 14/3/76
Rec'd 16/3/76
Copy of letter
dated 14th Feby
1876 No 1216 rec'd
from the District
Engineer
Trichinopoly 13/3/76

P. W. 3469/76

35

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd May 1876.

No 12. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th April 1876, No. 1,007 —

Read the following letters —

From H W BLISS, Esq, Acting President of the Local Fund Boards, Madura, to C. A. GALTON, Esq, Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Dindigul, 1st March 1876, No. 16

I HAVE the honor to report the following circumstances for the consideration and orders of the Board.

2 On the 3rd ultimo I transferred by endorsement to the District Engineer for disposal the enclosed petition which makes several serious charges against a Sub-Overseer of the Department of Public Works.

3. With his letter, No. 4,216, dated the 14th ultimo (copy enclosed), the District Engineer returned the petition, and suggested that I should comply with the petitioner's request that I should appoint a commission of inquiry into the charges made by him.

4. I replied in my letter, No. 92, dated the 23rd idem, that it was not my duty, nor had I the power to institute inquiries into alleged malpractices by the District Engineer's subordinates, and that that duty and power were with him, and therefore retransmitted the petition.

5 The District Engineer answers in his letter, No. 4,381, dated the 26th idem, that my inability to act should be intimated to the petitioner, who expressly addressed me and not him to appoint a commission of inquiry, and that he begs to decline interfering in a matter not addressed to him at all, and requests that such documents be not sent to his office in future.

6 The matter therefore stands thus —

Malpractices in execution of Local Fund works are alleged in a petition addressed to me as President of the Local Fund Boards. It is clearly out of the question, as it seems to me, for reasons which are too obvious to require mention, that I should myself make inquiries into the truth of the alleged malpractices. The District Engineer refuses to do so on what appears to me the inadequate ground that the petition was not addressed to him, and intimates not only his intention to follow the same course in future such cases, but his desire that no complaints made to me against his subordinates may be brought by me to his notice at all. Hence of course there can be no inquiry in any case relating to the Department of Public Works in which people prefer to petition the Collector, whom they recognize as the administrative head of the district, and are accustomed to petition on all subjects, rather than the District Engineer.

7. I cannot think that this is the wish of the Board or Government, and therefore report the matter for orders.

ENCLOSURE No. 1 —Petition

ENCLOSURE No 2 —Copy of letter from District Engineer.

From the same Officer, dated Periacolam, 18th March 1876, No 110

In continuation of my letter marginally noted, I have the honor to submit, for the consideration and orders of the Board of Revenue, a petition (to be returned) with English abstract endorsed by me for the disposal of the District Engineer, and copy of that officer's letter, No 4,608, dated the 13th instant, refusing to take any action thereon, and expressing an opinion as to the way in which I should perform my duty which appears to me uncalled for

No 16, dated 1st March 1876, on the Local Fund file of my office

2 As the Board will perceive, the petition relates to a technical matter which is peculiarly within the province of the District Engineer to dispose of, and which no amount of personal inspection would enable me to come to a decision on which would be worth having I therefore transferred it for that officer's disposal It appears to me that this was the right course to take, especially as the District Engineer is already well acquainted with the anicut or division dam in question, the history of which is given in my letter recorded in the Board's Proceedings, No 3,162, dated 20th November 1875, and as it was under his directions that the centre vent thereof was raised by ten inches, an amount which the petitioners now object to as insufficient This appears from the District Engineer's letter, No 3,109, dated the 1st November 1875, copy of which I enclose, but the calculations contained in which I do not profess to be able to understand

3 I regret to have to trouble the Board again with such a reference as this But it appears to me that there is no other course open to me, for if the District Engineer refuses me his assistance in matters he is specially appointed to attend to, it is impossible that the administration of the district should be conducted to the satisfaction of Government and the benefit of the people

---

Enclosure No 1 —Petition with English Abstract

Enclosures Nos 2 and 3 —Copies of District Engineer's letters

---

From the same Officer, dated Madura, 21st March 1876, No 32

As required in the Board's Official Memorandum, No 397, dated 9th March 1876, I have the honor to forward copies of the correspondence noted in the margin I did not send copies of these two letters before, as they are short and I quoted them almost verbatim in my letter, No 16, dated 1st instant.

To the District Engineer, dated 23rd February 1876, No 92
From the District Engineer, dated 26th February 1876, No 4,381

Enclosure No. 1.—Copy of Collector's letter to District Engineer, dated 23rd February 1876, No 92.

Enclosure No 2.—Copy of District Engineer's letter, dated 26th February 1876, No 4,381

In the letters above recorded, the Collector of Madura brings to the notice of the Board the District Engineer's refusal to take action on certain petitions referred to the latter by the former, and forwards copies of the correspondence which passed between them

2 In one case, charges of malpractices in the execution of certain Local Fund works were brought against a Sub-Overseer in the Department of Public Works, in the other objection was taken by the ryots to the manner in which certain irrigation works had been treated by the Officers of the Department of Public Works for the purpose of regulating the distribution of water to those concerned. Both being matters peculiarly within the province of the District Engineer, the petitions were transferred to him with a view to the requisite inquiries being instituted He has, however, declined to interfere, not in the exercise of his discretion as regards the merits of these individual cases, but on the ground that the petitions were addressed to the Collector

3 The Board consider that as a general rule the Collector is right in forwarding complaints he may receive connected with the Department of Public Works to the District Engineer for disposal, and that the latter officer, whilst of course he must be allowed discretion as regards individual cases, is by no means justified in refusing to take notice of complaints because they are addressed to the Collector and not to himself—the position which Colonel Fischer seems to have assumed The Board have therefore no option but to lay the papers before Government for such orders as they deem fit to pass

(True Copies and Extract)
(Signed) C A GALTON,
Acting Secretary.

No 13 Order thereon, 2nd May 1876, No. 564

Miscellaneous

The Government agree with the Board of Revenue. The conduct of the District Engineer, Madura, will be noticed in the Public Works Department.

(True Extract)

Secretary to Government

To the Board of Revenue
„ the Public Works Department with 7 enclosures (6 bound)

Exd. *J. W. Fischer.*

Public Works Department

No. 1583

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 23 June 76

READ the following —

Proceedings of the Revenue Department } dated No. 564 d/2nd May 1876

The District Engineer of Madura will use his discretion whether he will take any notice of petitions prepared for him for dispersal otherwise by Government or by Officials. In no case he will probably have received similar petitions himself and the duplicate of any special address. But when they bring their matter to notice of otherwise made not to be attended to, unless after call made

P. W. 1876 Department

No. 3469

Govt. of Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c, &c, &c

Dated May, 2nd

Read 18

Entd

G O, 2nd May 1876, No 564 |

MAY

Nos 12-13

Petitions presented to the Collector of Madura and transmitted by him, to the District Engineer for disposal containing charges against subordinate officers of the Public Works Department, concurring in the opinion of the Board of Revenue relative to the matter of —, and observing that the conduct of the District Engineer will be noticed in the Public Works Department

No. 490

Cir. 21-6-76 Date 23-6-76

Despatched 13-5-76

made on him. In any
case he will accept them
without dissension from
whatever quarter they
may come, and refrain
from making them
the ground work of
contention and dispute=
tion

No 490-491

D. C. Madura &
Receipts
Dec 30 1876

made on him. In any
Case he will accept them
without discussion from
whatever quarter they
may come, and refrain
from making them
the ground work of
Contentious disputa-
tion

3469 CH

Govt. of Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

Fort St. George

No. 23 June 1876. No. 1583

Nos. 490 - 491

Petitions - Various orders
on correspondence between
the Collector & the Superin-
tendent, relative to the
disposal of certain

No 490-491

Copy of Petitions