

- (1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1458	(W)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	13-6-	1876	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th June 1876.*

No. 196. Read the following letter from Captain L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Fort St. George, 8th May 1876, No. 45 W. :—

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of Government, No. 976, dated 12th April 1876, on the subject of the salary of the late Mr. May, Superintendent of the Madras Harbour Works.

2. The Proceedings quote the papers recorded with G.O., No. 1,433, of the 25th May 1875, and G.O., No. 1,815, of the 29th June 1875. The Proceedings do not quote the papers recorded with G.O., No. 1,857, of the 2nd July, and lest these should have been overlooked in the case, I venture to call the attention of Government thereto. The only object of this letter is to call attention to these papers, which from not being specifically quoted might peradventure have been lost sight of.

No. 196-A. Read again Proceedings of the Public Works Department, dated 2nd July 1875, No. 1,857.

No. 197. ORDER THEREON, 13th June 1876, No. 1,458.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 10-A.

It appears from the papers above recorded that the salary of Mr. May was fixed at 15,000 Rupees, and that the question raised in the letter of Mr. May and dealt with by the Governor in Council on 12th April* had been already raised and decided in England before Mr. May's departure.

* No. 976.
The salary of Mr. May must therefore remain as fixed by his engagement with the Secretary of State at Rupees 15,000.

2. Should it be shown, however, that the order of 12th April has led Mr. May to make any arrangements based thereon subsequent to its receipt, the Government will recommend that the amount of them be allowed.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

Spare Copies

No. 4510.

From

THE CONTROLLER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.
FORT SAINT GEORGE, 8th May 1876.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of Government No. 976 dated 12th April 1876, on the subject of the salary of the late Mr. May, Superintendent of the Madras Harbour Works.

2. The Proceedings quote the papers recorded with Government Order No. 1133 of the 25th May 1875 and Government Order No. 1815 of the 29th June 1875. The Proceedings do not quote the papers recorded with Government Order No. 1857 of the 2nd July, and lest these should have been overlooked in the case, I venture to call the attention

of

of Government thereto. The only object of
this letter is to call attention to these papers,
which from not being specifically quoted
might per adventure have been lost sight
of.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most Obedt Servt

Samuel Jordan

Examr, P.W. Accounts.

25 May 75 No 1433 & 1434
 - 29 June - 1875
 - 28 July - 1857
 - 12 April 76 - 976. 852-

P. W. 1876 Department
 From No 3357
 The Examiner
 P.W. Accounts

To
 The Secretary to Government
 P.W. Department

No 4510
 Dated 8 May 1876
 Recd 9 May 1876

Informs Government,
 in case it might be overlooked
 that their Proceedings No 976
 dated 12 April 1876, on the
 subject of the salary of the
 late Mr. May Supdt of the
 Madras Harbor Works, do not
 quote the papers recorded
 with G.O. No 1857 of the 2nd July
 1875

No 196

Recd - 10/6/76 P.W. 13.6.76
 Recd - 5/6/76 P.W. 10/6/76
 Recd - 1.6.76 P.W. 5/6/76
 Recd - 22/5/76 P.W. 1-6/76
 Recd - 12/5/76 P.W. 22/5/76
 Dispt 24-9-5-76

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following:—

From the Examiner, P.W. Accounts
 No 45 of 8 May 1876

Recorded, with
 the observation that it
 is not likely that a
 Government order quoted
 in the 1st para of a
 letter should have been
 overlooked in disposing
 of that letter.

whether likely or not. I think
 overlooked the proc. of July
 or which I think was the case they
 were not put up - they show that
 the question was not only beyond doubt
 but that Mr May had raised the
 question before leaving England.
 that Sir L. R. letter 11 May 75 had
 disposed of it. That may come
 out upon a fixed sal of Rs 15000
 Subject too mistake - I agree with

In P.W. D.

to J. C. No. 3450 W

The salary of Mr. May. must
~~therefore~~ ^{with} remain as
 fixed by his engagement with S.O.
 at Rio de Janeiro.

Should it be shown however that the order of 12 April was in
any ^{expressed} arrangement were made. Making arrangements with receipt
~~The order may subsequent to~~
~~The receipt of the order of April~~
12. based upon insured the in
consequence of the balance which
~~which would have been paid thereon.~~

Bv

Sir W^m Morris says much
 This agrees with what I hold to
 be the duties of ~~controllable~~ ^{specimen}
 and which I stated in conversation when
 we were talking over C. J. Gordon on Friday
 Mch 12/6

Rev. The Comptroller that
has occurred in respect to
Mr. May's salary. I suggest that
to inquire whether any inconve-
nience will arise if the trans-
fers of P. W. acct. were required to
exercise the functions now
performed by the Controller ^{of P. W.}
of Indulgent acct. in respect to
matters involving financial
questions. I am not aware of
the orders on the subject - but
the practice seems to be that all
matters connected with finance
in the Indulgent Dept. pass under the

Controller review before coming
 before Gov. & disposed - the Gov.
 has thus the opinion of Gov. the
 Executive & financial Dept. &
 made their resolution -
 I cannot conceal any &
 - aims in consequence arising
 from such course - & when the
 its adoption to be the safe &
 & practical -

The Chief Eng. will perhaps
 kindly note his new input
 this with circulate
 7/6
 W. Johnson

Are there no orders
 the Gov. on this
 subject -

Memo

In circulating the
 accompanying fair copy of
 order drafted by His
 Grace the Governor the
 Secretary begs to point
 out that the order of the
 27 July 1875. No: 1857 was
 put up with Mr. May's
 appeal as noted on the
 back of that document.

Major Genl. R. B.
 Secretary to Gov. P. W. D.

It is further observed
 that in No. 970 of 12th
 April it is correctly
 stated that the under-
 standing between
 Mr. Parkes and the
 Gov. of Madras was
 that the Supt's Salary
 should be £1500 a
 year.

The Gov. has not
 signed the order
 as an original
 was made. W.

In conformity with
the instructions of the
Hon. Sir John Robinson.
The Chief Enquirer, pro-
ceeds to state

1. That reference is
continually made to the
Examiner of P.W. Accounts
in all financial mat-
ters, regarding which
he is the person best
qualified to give an
authoritative opinion
as to the Orders which
should settle the case.

(2) That in the present
case the opinion is

quite uncalled for, the
Chief Engineer, who sub-
mitted Mr Parker's ~~for~~
original proposals for
the acceptance of Govt,
and who discussed the
Indian House Proceed-
ings with Mr Parker
at home, having an
intimate knowledge of
the whole case, which
the Committee could
not possess -

It is not denied that
Mr May was offered
by Sir Lewis Mallett
£1500 a year - in reply
Mr May accepted the

No 1158
Public Works Department.

provision of ~~supp~~ the
"Humber Works" on the con-
ditions specified in Mr
Parker's letter, dated ad-
dress of 26th Decr 1874;
one of which was a sal-
ary of £1500 a year -
Mr May did not then
know the difference;
and as he had not
suggested to leave before
on 14th May he had no
time to resign. The next
day for the in reply
to Sir L. Mallett's letter
of 11th May -

No. 1458

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 13th June 1876.

Read the following:—

From

The Ex^{ts} of P.W. Accounts (H.E. No. 45 W. of 8th May 1876)

Read again

Pro. P.W. Dept. ——— (1857 of 2nd July 1875).

It appears from the papers above recorded that the salary of Mr. May was fixed at 15,000 Rupees, and that the question raised in the letter of Mr. May and dealt with by the Governor in Council on 12th April, had been already raised and decided in England before Mr. May's departure. The salary of

Have no regard to the papers. There can be no doubt as to the salary accepted by Mr. May. The papers are correct. This is the Controller as in the Public Works Dept. The Controller on 7. 1876

976
7/6

of Mr. May must therefore
remain as fixed by his en-
gagement with the Secretary
of State at Rs 15,000.

2. Should it be shown
however that the order of
12th April has led Mr. May
to make any arrangements
based thereon, subsequent
to its receipt, the Govt
will recommend that the
amount of them be allowed.

13196-197

Capt. Madan Lal Sen
C. P. W. S.

3359 ✓

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS. ✓

To

The Supt. Madras Harbour
Works

Index

1-4

Recd

Encl.

G. O. 13 June 1876. No. 1458.

Nos. 196-199

Mr. May-late Supt

Madras Harbour Works

Passing orders on
papers relating to the
salary of ₹

Sub. to P. O.
23.8.76.