

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1405-06 (Ry)	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.-
Dated	6-6-	1876

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
6th June 1876.*

No. 94. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, R.E., Consulting Engineer for Railways, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 2nd June 1876, No. 273:—

With reference to the order of Government, dated 1st April 1876, No. 862, I have the honor to report as follows on the discrepancies noticed by the Government of India in their letter, dated 23rd March 1876, No. 832 R.

2. PARA. 2, TABLE A.—The figures in the synopsis represent the total capital expenditure on the open and unopen lines, while the figures in the analysis represent only the cost of the open line with rolling stock, as provided for in the form. These figures are deduced from the Company's accounts in the following manner:—

	£
Cost of open line as per Form IV	1,362,169
Do. working stock as per do.	116,159
	<u>1,478,328</u>
Deduct approximate cost of metre gauge stock on unopen line ...	10,002
	<u>1,468,326</u>

3. PARA. 4.—The high average mileage of return third-class passengers is explained in this office letter, No. 636, of 22nd October 1874.* Return journey passengers are counted as two passengers.

4. PARA. 5.—The slight discrepancy in the rate per ton mile of third-class goods arises from the ton mileage being taken from the Revenue Account as 294, whereas in the analysis it is given as 293, and from the fraction of a Rupee in receipts being taken into account. An error was made in calculating the average mileage of total general merchandize, and the figures (58.77) quoted by the Government of India appear to be correct.

5. The other points noticed shall have attention in future reviews.

No. 95. ORDER THEREON, 6th June 1876, No. 1,405.

RAILWAY, No. 10.

ORDERED to be forwarded to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

No. 96. (DOCKET No. 1,406, dated 6th June 1876.)

COPY of the above forwarded to the Government of India with reference to the under-mentioned correspondence:—

From Government of India, No. 832 B, dated 23rd March 1876.

To do. „ 3,204, „ 12th November 1874.

(True Extract.)

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

Colonel,
Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

RAILWAY DEPARTMENT.

CONSULTING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
Madras, 2^d June 1876.

No. 273.

From

LIEUT.-COLONEL J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, R.E.,
Consulting Engineer for Railways,

To

MAJOR-GENERAL G. W. WALKER, R.E.,
Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to the
order of Government dated 1st
April 1876 N^o 862, I have the
honour to report as follows on
the discrepancies noticed by the
Government of India in their
letter dated 23^d March 1876, N^o
832 B:—

2. Para. 2. Table A. The figures
in the synopsis represent the total
Capital Expenditure on the open
and unopen lines, while the figures
in the analysis represent only

only the cost of the open line with rolling stock, as provided for in the Form. These figures are deduced from the Company's accounts in the following manner:-

Cost of open line as per Form IV	£ 1,362,169
- 2 ^d - working stock as per 2 ^d	116,159
	<u>1,478,328</u>
Deduct approximate cost of } metre gauge stock on unopen line	10,002
	<u>£ 1,468,326</u>

3. Para. 4. The high average mileage of return third class passengers is explained in this office letter No. 636, of 22nd October 1874. Return journey passengers are counted

Pr. of Govt.
12th November
1874, No. 3203.

counted as two passengers.

4. Para. 5. The slight discrepancy in the rate per ton mile of 3^d class goods arises from the ton mileage being taken from the Revenue Account as 294, whereas in the analysis it is given as 293, and from the fraction of a rupee in receipts being taken into account. An error was made in calculating the average mileage of total general merchandise, and the figures (58.77) quoted by the Government of India appear to be correct.

5. The other points noticed shall have attention in future reviews.

W. 1876 Deph.
No: 2978

Reviews.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servant,
Wm. Mount Hall RC

Cons. Express Railway

No. 1405 of 6 June 76

Ordered to be forwarded
to the Govt. of India.

H.E. No. 1406 of 6 June 76.

Copy of the above for-
warded to the Govt. of India
with reference to the
undermentioned Corres-
pondence -

From Govt. of India - No. 832 of 23rd May 76

do do - No. 320 of 11th June 76

Dated 15/6/76

1294-96

Deph. 2-6-76 1294-96

Referring to the
discrepancies pointed
out by the Govt. of India
in the Review of the
Revenue Department
of the P. W. Dept. for the
half year ended 31st
December 1874.

No. 273.

Received 27 June 1876.

To
The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department,
Fort Saint George.
for Railways,
The Consulting Engineer
RAILWAY DEPARTMENT.

1978 GH.
 Govt. of 1876 India
 PUBLIC WORKS.

To
 The Comr Genl for W^{est}

From

Is

By

For

G. O. 6 June 1876, No. 140524.06

No. 94-96

Forwarding letter from
 the Comr Genl for W^{est} re
 reporting upon certain dis
 crepancies pointed out by
 the Govt of India in the
 Review of the Revenue Accts
 of the Comr Genl for W^{est}
 for the half year ended
 31st Decr/74

Review of the Revenue Accounts
of the Comr Genl for W^{est}
half year ending 31st Decr
1874 Letter from the Comr Genl
 reporting upon certain dis
 crepancies pointed out by
 the Govt of India in the —
 ordered to be forwarded to
 the Govt of India
 9/7/76
 17/7/76