

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1379	(W)	Press
-B.P.-No.			Mis.
Dated	2-6-		1876

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
2nd June 1876.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 47. From Major J. BEATTY, R.E., District Engineer, Godavery, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 19th April 1876; No. 144.

I REGRET having again to trouble Government with a matter on which they have already passed orders, but I feel obliged to do so in order to point out some special circumstances which appear to have been misunderstood. I refer to the case of the Writer Subrahmaniam in which Government declined to interfere.

G.O. No. 144 of 3rd March 1869.
Master Attendant's memorandum No. 2,013 of 5th April 1869.
One Service Register.

2. It is stated in the G.O., No. 716, of 18th March 1876, that Subrahmaniam "refused at first to join" the appointment at Madras; but of this he confidently asserts that there is no proof, that he never did refuse, and that if he had been at any time ordered to join by a fixed date he would have done so. Government appears to have been led to infer that he did refuse from the statement erroneously made, it is believed, of the Master Attendant of Madras in his memorandum, No. 2,013, of 5th April, where that officer was justifying himself for having already filled up the appointment without waiting to hear the result of the writer's appeal.

3. It seems most clear from the reply* that Government gave Subrahmaniam on 3rd March 1869 that it was their intention he should be allowed a further opportunity of joining the appointment; but when he wrote to the Master Attendant asking time to proceed with his family some hundreds of miles, he was told that the appointment had been already filled up; it is not stated when, but most probably long before the decision of Government was communicated to Subrahmaniam.

4. It seems to me with all due respect for the opinions of those better qualified than I am to judge in the matter, that the man was hardly treated by the Marine Department throughout, and I think it but right to bring these facts specially to notice in hopes that Government may yet be induced to reconsider their decision.

No. 47-A. Note by the Hon. R. S. ELLIS, c.b., dated 29th May 1876.

No. 48. ORDER THEREON, 2nd June 1876, No. 1,379.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 2.

ON reconsideration His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to exempt B. V. Subrahmaniam from passing the Uncovenanted Civil Service Examination, sanction to which was declined in G.O., No. 716, dated 18th March 1876.

2. The Examiner is authorized to pass the difference of salary which has been disallowed.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,

Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Godavery.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Educational Department.
Exd. E. C. Shurker.
Spare Copies

B

I have again gone through
these papers, and I think
the 40. 18th March 76 should
be reconsidered.

The facts of the case are
as follows.

B. V. Subrahmaniam was
removed from his appt in the
Office of Master Attendant at
Cochin and ordered to
Madras in August 11/88

He joined at Madras Sept. 26th
was kept waiting there
till about 11. Dec. 1888

Then offered an appointment
of 20 Rs. per month

This offer he refused on 12 Dec.

On the 11 May 89, he again

permanently petitioned Govt &
I was told that unless
he accepted the appt offered
him by the Master Att.
he would not again be
employed in the Marine
Dept. He expressed his willingness
to accept the appt, & made
a not very unreasonable
request to be allowed leave
to move his family from
the north to Madras.
The Master Attendant
at Madras, accordingly at
once filled up the vacancy.
The man seems to have
done good service in
every appt he has held
except Moolanada

He was harshly treated
by the Master Attendant
and I think his request
to be excused from
examination is under
the circumstances reasonable.
I will therefore counsel the
Govt and grant the petition
prayer. R. V.

29. May. 76

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following:—

From

The District Engineer
Godavery

H.E. No. 144 d/19th April 1876

B. V. Subramaniam's
case. —

I have some doubts about this
case. The man was told that
unless he joined the Master
attendants office he would
not otherwise be provided for.

He wrote accepting the app't
but applied for five months
leave upon which the Master
attendants without further
reference filled up the app't.

It cannot be said he refused
the paper come and have
been for the Master attendants
to order him to join forthwith.
I should like to have the
papers back. MM

D. E. Godavery.

E. C. L. S.

The District Engineer
Godavery is informed that
the case referred to by
him was fully investigated
by the aid of every original
document bearing on it,
and that the G.O. referred
to is entirely based on
those documents and
cannot be set aside.

AMM

No 144

16144 District Engro Office Gody Dist
Dowlaisluwaram 19th April 1876
From,

Major J. Peatty R.E.
District Engineer (Ordinary Duty)

109

Major General G. M. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Madras.

Friday 3.

1887-1888

Handbook
Lecture 11th St. City
No. 102, 192
St. John's St.
1 Service Register

I regret having again to trouble Government with a matter on which they have already passed orders, but I feel obliged to do so in order to point out some special circumstances which appear to have been misunderstood. I refer to the case of the writer Subramanyam in which Government declined to interfere.

2. It is stated in the Government order No. 716 of 18th March 1876, that Subramanyam "refused at first to join" the appointment at Madras; but of this he confidently asserts that there is no proof, that

that he never did refuse, and that if he had been at any time ordered to join by a fixed date he would have done so. Government appears to have been led to infer that he did refuse from the statement erroneously made, it is believed, of the Master Attendant of Madras in his Memorandum No 2013 of 5th April, where that Officer was justifying himself for having already filled up the appointment without waiting to hear the result of the Writer's appeal.

3. It seems most clear from the ^{Original here} reply with sent that Government gave Subramanyam on 3rd March 1869, that it was their intention he should be allowed a further opportunity of joining the appointment, but when he wrote to the Master Attendant asking time to proceed with his family, some hundreds of miles, he was told that the appointment had been already filled up; it is not stated when, but most probably long before the decision of Government was communicated.

communicated to Subramanyam. It seems to me, with all due respect for the opinions of those better qualified than I am to judge in the matter, that the man was hardly treated by the Marine Department throughout, and I think it but right to bring these facts specially to notice in hopes that Government may yet be induced to reconsider their decision. I have the honor to be Sir

Your Most Obedt Servant
W. Peatty Major R.E.
District Engineer Godavery Dist.

803 Sept 1888 No 110 Marine Dept
 " 18: March 1876 No 716. Dept.

Dated 11th 1876
 Recd 11th 1876
 x 11th 1876
 C. 11th 1876
 1876
 coming to notice
 some facts relating to
 the Western Submarine
 - again, even in hope
 that Government may
 be induced to reconsider
 - des their recent decision

No 47
 Reli - 87/10/76 Ptd 2/6/76
 Reli - 11.5.76 Ptd 2/1/76
 Fin. 28.4.76
 Def 6004 (Cm) 25-6-76.

2
 13
 No. 1379. Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 2nd June 1876

Read the following:—

From

The Dist. Eng. Godavery (H.E. No. 144 of 19th April 1876)

Note by the Hon. P.S. (H.E. dated 29 May 1876)
 Ellis C.A.

On reconsideration

His Grace the Governor in
 Council is pleased to exempt
 B.V. Subrahmaniam from
 passing the Unconformant
 Civil Service Examination,
 sanction to which was
 declined in G.O. No 716
 dated 18th March 1876.

2. The Examiner is
 authorized to pass the
 difference of salary which
 has been disallowed.

Dist. Eng. Godavery
 Ptd 11th 1876
 Ex. P.W. Acct. 10/1876
 Educational Dept.

En. Eds

Dec 13/6/76

28957A

Gobt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

The N. E. Godavery

For the Govt. of Madras. The N. E. Godavery

dated

at

by

for

G. O. 2nd June 1879. No. 1379

47/8
11-1-46

B. V. Subrahmaniam
Godavery S. D.

Respecting —
from passing the unexamined
Civil Service Examination
and authorizing the S. D. to
pass the difference of
salary which has been
disallowed.

No. 47-48