

- (1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1359	(w)	Press
~~B.P. No.~~			~~Mis.~~
Dated	1-6		1876

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st June 1876.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 5. From Major H. SMALLEY, R.E., Officiating District Engineer, Ganjam, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp at Berhampore, 29th December 1875, No. 44.

With reference to G.O., No. 2,943, dated the 15th October 1875, I have the honor to report on the subject of the works referred to in paragraphs 4 and 7 of the Revenue Board's Proceedings, No. 2,467, dated 2nd September 1875, communicated with the above, viz. :—

I.—Construction of Teckally Ragoonathpore school building, executed by contract, but certified by the Range Officer to have been properly carried out.

II.—Construction of store-shed at Calingapatam, the foundations having been forgotten by the Department of Public Works subordinate in charge.

III.—Repairs to Principal Assistant Collector's office.

IV.—Construction of the Police Station-house at Heeramandalam, completed in July 1874, and stated to require repair in March 1875, and

V.—Want of attention to the Collector's representations in regard to the trunk road.

2. With regard to the first of these works I submit the Range Officer, Lieutenant Lever's, explanation. I do not think Lieutenant Lever ought to have been called upon for a certificate in this case, and if called upon, I think that he ought to have refused to have anything to do with the matter.

3. With regard to the store-shed at Calingapatam, all the Range Officer, Mr. Leggatt, wishes to add to my report, disposed of in G.O., No. 3,034, of 28th October 1875, is that "the Principal Assistant Collector is in error in stating that foundations had been omitted or forgotten by the Public Works Department subordinate in charge—no such thing."

4. With regard to the Principal Assistant Collector's Cutcherry, Mr. Leggatt remarks :—This is a very old building, constructed sixty years ago, it has lasted well. To prevent it from leaking the whole roof must be removed. Several of the walls and pillars have cracked. An estimate for emergent repairs has recently been sanctioned.

This was formerly the Provincial Court-house. It seems to have been repaired in 1872 by the Range Officer, Sub-Engineer A. Ramiah, and not since then. Why this is brought up in a review of the operations of the Public Works Department for 1874-75 I do not understand. I am not aware that the Principal Assistant Collector has brought the state of this building to the notice of this department since I have been in this district. Even had he done so, the annual assignment to this district for repairs of buildings is very limited, and it is impossible to attend to every requisition in full immediately, especially for such large buildings as this ; but an estimate would have been made and carried out as funds were available.

5. With regard to Heeramandalam Police Station-house there has been a long correspondence, of which the following is a summary :—

Police Inspector Gunga Singh, in taking over the building, reported on the 10th June 1874, that it "agrees with the estimate and plan, the only fault to find is that some chunam from the verandah roof has already given way, there are also

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st June 1876.*

three cracks in the roof of the verandah, and the arm rack is very slight." These defects were by my order at once made good by the Overseer at a cost of 4 Annas.

Next, the Assistant Superintendent of Police reported, on the 15th October 1874, that he "found the flooring of the guard-room and verandah had sunk, and the chunam all broken up."

Again the Superintendent of Police, on the 26th March 1875, informed me, as the result of his personal inspection, that "the verandah pillars have been cracked at the top; that about half the flooring of the verandah guard-room and lock-up has sunk some 2 or 3 inches, and is all broken up; that the wall between the windows of the guard-room is cracked across; that there are cracks at the top of the wall on either side of the entrance door of the guard-room; that the front wall of the lock-up is also cracked, and that the front ventilator has only a board at top between it and the roof."

Mr. Leggatt, the Range Officer, on a call from me forwarding the Assistant Superintendent's report quoted above, submitted an estimate for Rupees 7, with the remark that "the floor has sunk from 2 inches to 4 inches owing to the filling in of the basement having been evidently carelessly executed."

Lieutenant Lever, to whom this was communicated when on leave for any remarks he might wish to offer, said in reply, dated 5th December 1874:—"Overseer Rama Row is solely in fault for the bad workmanship of the flooring."

Overseer Rama Row, who was called on for explanation, said in reply, dated 4th May 1875, "the defects complained of are the cause of bad workmanship on the part of the maistry employed."

The building consists of a guard-room and a lock-up with verandah in front, and cost Rupees 800. It was completed by the 31st March 1874, and handed over in May 1874.

The completion certificate was given by Lieutenant Lever in August 1874, who asserts the building was then in good order, which is corroborated by Mr. Leggatt, who was with him at the time taking over charge of the range.

I saw the building in company with Lieutenant Lever on 27th February 1874, when the superstructure had nearly been run up. I considered the work was not very good and not very bad, but the maistry, evidently a bad one, was dismissed soon after.

I next saw the building in company with Mr. Leggatt on 21st August 1875; nothing had been done to repair it purposely till I had seen it. There is no doubt Mr. Leggatt's opinion as to the failure of the flooring quoted before is right. The cracks in the walls are, I think, unimportant.

The verandah roof leaks, and the tops of the pillars have been cracked. There must have been a slight settlement of the foundations of the retaining wall of the verandah and of portions of the main walls too.

I think this must be due to percolation of water through the soil under them, the defects not having been noticed before the rains, but after they had well set in.

Mr. Leggatt thinks there has been more said about this matter than was necessary, and so do I. Lieutenant Lever would have made all defects good had he thought they existed before handing over the building.

He had many more important works to carry on at the same time, and he says it is impossible for a Range Officer to see every part of a building actually laid himself. This of course is true, but I have informed him I cannot allow him to throw all his

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st June 1876.*

responsibility on the Overseer as he has done. As to the Overseer, I should not have hesitated to make him pay for all repairs found necessary, but that he also had many other more important works to look after at the same time; that he is not of long experience, and that he has worked uniformly well.

I have informed him he cannot shirk his responsibility in the way he has done. The maistry I have ordered not to be employed on the Public Works.

6. As regards the trunk road, the part between Ganjam and Rumba is that the condition of which the Collector reports in his 40th paragraph he has repeatedly brought to my notice. I am perfectly aware of the bad condition of the trunk road generally and of this part particularly without the Collector's reports, and I am perfectly aware of what is needed for it. If this road could be brought into perfect order from the maintenance allowance, as he seems to think it ought to be, an estimate for forming it of Rupees 1,500 a-mile would not have been sent in six years ago by the Executive Engineer Mr. Harris. Six-sevenths of that estimate are for earthwork, metalling, &c., and one-seventh for culverts. It was sanctioned in November 1874, but up to this time not one pie has been allotted on it. At last, on the 29th October 1875, the Local Fund Board have passed an allotment of Rupees 2,000 on the above estimate for the remainder of this year, and have provided Rupees 10,000 for next year. I propose to spend half these amounts on the portion between Rumba and Ganjam. I do not think that after the heavy rains there have been every year that I have been in the district, in October 1873, in October 1874, and now in September and October 1875, and considering the heavy traffic, that Mr. Harris' estimate for forming the trunk road will be found sufficient. I believe not less than Rupees 2,000 a-mile will suffice to bring it into first-rate order. I have constantly advocated the claims of the trunk road to be first completed in preference to others, but have been invariably overruled. I have also annually endeavoured to have the maintenance allowance raised in order to effect minor improvements. I was successful in getting the grant raised from Rupees 125 to Rupees 150 in 1873, but have not been successful in getting it raised to Rupees 200 a-mile, as advocated by the Executive Engineers Messrs. Harris, Leggatt, and the Assistant Engineer Lieutenant Lever.

From Lieutenant J. LEVER, Assistant Engineer in charge of No. 1, Berhampore Range, to the District Engineer, Ganjam, dated Berhampore, 26th November 1875, No. 308.

With reference to your foot-note, No. 213, dated 20th instant, of G.O., No. 2,943, of 15th October 1875, I have the honor to inform you with reference to paragraph 4 of Revenue Board's Proceedings therein referred to, while I was in camp at Teekali Ragunathpore I was asked to inspect the school then newly completed there. I examined the school with reference to the estimate and found that the building was in accordance with the estimate produced to me, and therefore granted a certificate. I did not see the work during progress, and I am not answerable for the workmanship of the building. I did not know what was the foundation, or whether the superstructure was well done. It seemed on outer appearance to be all right.

No. 5-A. From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 27th March 1876, No. 795.

No. 5-B. From the District Engineer, Ganjam, dated 8th April 1876, No. 6.
ABSTRACT.—Reporting on Range Officers of the District.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st June 1876.

No. 6. ORDER THEREON, 1st June 1876, No. 1,359.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 2.

It appears that the plan and estimate for a school-house at Teekali Ragunath-pore were signed respectively by Mr. Harris, Executive Engineer, and Sub-Engineer Ramiah; and provided for construction of an inexpensive building, of cutcha materials, such as Public Works Officers are not permitted to construct themselves. These papers, moreover, did not pass through the District Engineer's Office; and the Educational Order, No. 236, of 26th July 1873, shows that the construction of the building and its supervision were to be managed by other than Public Works agency. Under these circumstances a Public Works Officer's certificate should not have been called for, and the department cannot be held answerable for the inferior work.

2. The case of the store-shed at Calingapatam has already been disposed of in G.O., No. 3,034, of 28th October 1875.

3. The case of the Principal Assistant Collector's Cutcherry is one of insufficient repair, possibly owing to insufficient funds, to a rambling old building which would appear to require reconstruction.

4. The Heeramundalum Police Station work appears to have been carelessly finished. But immediate notice of all complaints has been taken, and the defects made good as far as possible. The general impression, however, borne upon the Government by the tenor of the correspondence throughout is that Lieutenant Lever's supervision is slack, which is corroborated by the correspondence recorded in G.O., No. 900 of 5th April. Major Smalley having further made a special report that Lieutenant Lever is in his 57th year, and should be called on to retire, His Grace the Governor in Council resolves that he shall be transferred at once to the Jeypore Ghaut Range, the nature and amount of work in which are more suitable to his present powers.

5. The District Engineer's explanation regarding the state of the trunk road tend to show that the Local Fund Board have not attended sufficiently to the up-keep of this main line. Their attention, and that of Local Fund Boards generally, should be called in the Financial Department to paragraph 13 of the Resolution of the Government of India, communicated with G.O., No. 4 of 6th January 1871, which specially enjoins that these communications shall have a prior claim on their attention.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Ganjam.

" Financial Department.

" Revenue

" Calingapatam.

Exd S. T. Austin

Spare Copies

Lieutenant Lever Assistant
Engineer in Charge Berhampore
Range has regret to say not
realized the expectations was
led to form of him. He has now
been 1 year in Charge of this
Range and previously was 18 months
in Charge of the Cheacole
Range. In the Cheacole Range
Lieutenant Lever's conduct was
most fairly and judiciously
by the Local Fund Board
of that circle and in my opinion
most fairly and justly and
was determined Lieutenant
Lever should have a fair trial
in the Berhampore Range
before condemning him. That
he has now had, and it must
be said he has not given satis-
faction in that Range. It was
expected that from his long ser-
vice in the Guntoor Sub Division
he

he would have no trouble with that portion of the Range and that he could have given all his attention to the Berhampore portion. It was expected from his knowledge of the Oriya language and the people that he would have been able to manage them well. This has not proved to be the case. There is nothing but Complaint about his work on the part of the Local Fund Board of the Circle, Estimates are exceeded, correspondence is delayed and this I cannot help attributing to Lieut. Rivers' want of proper system and management. He is an uneducated man as he himself frankly confesses and finds a difficulty with the correspondence. There necessarily is always going on. I think there is such a thing as being too long in one District and this is the case with Lieutenant Rivers. He

has

has risen from Sergeant and over-see (much to his credit) in the district and sub-ordinates do not pay him the same respect as they do to one who has not risen from the ranks. He himself complains he cannot get his orders carried out by his sub-ordinates. He can be charged with want of activity for he is never more than 3 days at his head quarters at a time during the month and that not more than once or at the outside twice a month.

Lieutenant Rivers is now in the 57th year of his age and should I think seek an honorable retirement for that he has done good work in his day is uncontroversial.

Even now in a smaller range or on special work such as the building of a bridge I think he is capable of valuable service but in a large Range like Berhampore

Berhampore I am reluctantly
forced to the conclusion that
he is out of place.

P. H. 2785/76

Huntley Major R.E.
Off District Engineer
Gauhati

End over to the
306 of 85 of 176
to the Head of the
1250/76

(24)

Mr. G. R. Leggatt Executive
Engineer in Charge Checaole
Range has worked well and
steadily during the last 2 years he
has been in the District first in
the Berhampore Range for 3
months and afterwards in the
Checaole Range. Mr. Leggatt's
forte is road work and he has
succeeded in pleasing the Local
Fund Board of his circle by
the way in which he has kept up
and improved their roads during
the time he has had charge of
them. It is by no means an easy
thing to please a Local Fund
Board in these times and when
an officer does succeed in doing
so I think he deserves credit
and favorable mention.

Huntley Major R.E.
Off District Engineer
Gauhati

Encl to + not used in the
11/10/3 Current Defekt

No 101
Memo

③

The undersigned has the honor to request that the Director of Public Instruction will be good enough to obtain and forward the Plan and Estimate of the J. J. School of Sekh Wali Baghman, mentioned in G. O. No. 236 dated 26th July 1873, Educational Department, which we understood to be with the Inspector of the Division.

101.4.24
17 Aug 1978

1. *Prof. J. H. Muller Mayor of New York.*
Secretary to Government

To The Director of
Public Instruction

①

12	101 d/17-1-76	244
----	---------------	-----

Sta 2785: 103 + 2821

L. f. o. 26 July 73 - B2236

15 Dec / 75. 2943

deli/ro. 2024.

8 Feb/71. 889.

5 April 196. 900-

ବିନୟ ଶ୍ରୀ ପ୍ରସାଦ
ମୁଖ୍ୟ ମନ୍ତ୍ରୀଙ୍କ ସ୍ୱାକ୍ଷର

Ram Chabugina
In-Charge of Range

Constructing of a School house at Seckhally Ragonadapoor
in Chicacole Circle. Ganjam District

Particulars of work	Measurement				Cub Contents
	L	B	H	N ^o	
Foundation to Front and rear walls	54½	2	3	2	654
Do — to End and Cross walls	11½	2	3	4	276
Do — to Front and rear Verandah retaining walls	62½	1½	3	2	658½
Do — to End Verandah retaining walls	19½	1½	3	2	204½
Cub ft Rough stone in Clay or					1791
Cub yards					66½
Basement to Front and rear walls	54½	1½	1½	2	284½
Do — to End and Cross walls	11½	1½	1½	4	123¾
Do — to Front and rear Verandah retaining walls	62½	1½	1½	2	280½
Do — to End Verandah retaining walls	19½	1½	1½	2	88¾
Front and rear walls Above	54	1½	9	2	1458
End and Cross walls Above	12	1½	9	4	648
Verandah Pillars above	1½	1½	6½	20	231½
Gable ends	15	1½	3	2	135
Steps	10	1½	¾	2	22½
Steps	8½	1½	¾	2	19½
Brick work in Clay Cub feet or					2990½
Deduction for doors and windows					306
Cub feet or					2684½
Cub yards					99¾
Flooring with hard gravel with Clay Squares					12
Plastering with Clay Squares					30
Do with Chunar for Steps Squares					1½
White-washing Squares					31½

Beams	15	0-8-0	4	18-0
Breassumers	190	0-4-0	5	26-4 ² / ₃
Ridge pole	40	0-4-0	5	5-6 ² / ₃
Purlins	96	0-3-0	2	8-0
Corner Rafters	17	0-3-0	4	39-11 ¹ / ₂
Carpenter's Dummies wood				85-7 ¹ / ₃
Roofing with Dummies wood rafters Benches				
all plates & nails pan tiles and	24	14	1	336
Shuman Boards & complete				1736
Roofing work square feet or				17 ¹ / ₁₀
Squares				Nº
Pair of Common doors with iron fixtures	4	6	6	6
Complete				Nº
Pair of Common Windows with iron	2 ¹ / ₂	3	8	8
fixtures complete				Nº
Decorative foundation and pillars				
proaches				
conveniences				

Principal Engineer
Sub. Charge & Co. Secy.
 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Office of the Director of
 Public Instruction
 Madras 27th March 1876.

No. 795.
 From
 The Director of Public Instruction.

To
 The Secretary to Government,
 in the Public Works Department,
 Fort Saint George.

Sir,
 I have the honor with reference
 to your Memorandum of the 16th Instant
 No. 101. to enclose the plan and estimate
 of the Tekkali Raghuuathpur School
 houses.

I have the honor to be,
 Sir,
 Your most obed^t. servant,
 R. M. Maslin
 Director of Pub. Instruction

R. M. Maslin
Secy.

1000 (2) 1000
 1000 (2) 1000
 1000 (2) 1000

On 1076. 20/11/76
 From No 2321
 The Director of Public Works
 to the Secy to Govt
 Public Works
 Fort St George
 Date 27/11/76
 Recd 30/11/76
 To the Secy to Govt
 Public Works
 Fort St George
 Date 27/11/76
 Recd 30/11/76

No 5a

Recd 27/5/76. Pwd 1/6/76
 Recd 17/5/76
 Recd 15/5/76. Pwd 17/6/76
 Recd 11/5/76. Pwd 28/8/76
 Despatch to Govt 20-1-76

N^o I Range Office
 Berhampore
 26 November 1875

N^o 308

From
 The Officer in Charge
 N^o I Berhampore Range
 To The District Engineer
 Rajah
 Sir,

With reference to your
 foot note N^o 213 dated 20 instant
 of C. O. N^o 2943 of 15 October 1875,
 I have the honor to inform
 you with reference to para 4
 of Revenue Board Proceedings
 therein referred to while I was
 in Capt. at Seckaly Range
 Madras, I was asked to inspect
 the school then newly completed
 there. I examined the school
 with reference to the estimate
 and found that the building was

was in accordance with the
estimate produced to me, and
therefore granted a certificate.
I did not see the work during
progress, and I am not answer-
able for the workmanship of
the building. I did not know
what was the foundation or
whether the superstructure was
well done. It seemed on
outer appearance to be all
right.

X X X X
I have seen
/s/ James River
Assistant Engineer

/s/ Geo. E. E. E.

Major
Off District Engineer
Gloucester

NK

District Eng's Office
Gaugan
Camp Berrampore 10
29 December 75

13 44

From
The Off District Engineer
Gaugan

To
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort St George

Sir,

Enclosed

With reference to G. O.
No: 2943 dated the 15 October 75
I have the honor to report on the
subject of the works referred to
in paras 4 and 7 of the Revenue
Boards Proceedings No: 2467 dated
2 September 75 Communicated
with the above.

I. Construction of Sirkally
Ragoonathpore School buildings
executed by Contract but stopped
by the Range officer to have
been

Enclosed in a
letter of 44 of 29 Dec
for Mr. Langford

MR 103/16

been properly carried out

II Construction of Store shed at Calingapatam the foundations having been forgotten by the D. P. W. sub. ordinate in Charge.

III Repairs to Principal Assistant Collector's office

IV Construction of the Police Station House at Suramunda - same completed in July 1874 and stated to require repair in March 1875 and

V Want of attention to the Collector's representations in regard to the Truck Road.

2. With regard to the first of these works I submit the Range officer Assistant Quarter Master's explanation. I do not think Assistant Quarter Master ought to have been called upon for a certificate in this case and if called upon

upon I think that he ought to have refused to have anything to do with the matter.

3. With regard to the Store shed at Calingapatam all the Range officer Mr. Leggat wishes to add to my report disposed of in G.O. No. 3034 of 28 October 75 is that "the Principal Assistant Collector is in error in stating that foundations had been omitted or forgotten by the Public Works Department sub. ordinate in Charge - no such thing".

4. With regard to the Principal Assistant Collector's Catchery Mr. Leggat remarks, "This is a very old building constructed 60 years ago. It has lasted well. To prevent it from leaking the whole

whole roof must be removed
several of the walls and pillars
have cracked. An estimate
for emergent repairs has recent-
ly been sanctioned.

This was formerly the Provincial
Court House. It seems to have
been repaired in 1872. by the
Range officer and Engineer
A. Ramiah and not since
then. The Chief is brought up
in a review of the operations of
the P. W. D for 1874-75. I do not
understand. I am not aware
that the Principal Assistant Collector
has brought the state of this
building to the notice of this
Department since I have been
in this District. Even had he
done so, the annual assignment
to this District for repairs of
buildings is very limited and
it is impossible to attend to
even

3.
every requisition in full imme-
diately, especially for such large
buildings as this; but an estimate
would have been made and
carried out, as funds were
available.

5. With regard to Keeran-
dalain Police Station House
there has been a long cor-
respondence of which the follow-
ing is a summary:

Police Inspector Gunga Singh
in taking over the building re-
ported on the 13 June 74 that it
"agrees with the estimate and plan,
the only fault to find is that
some Chumam from the veranda
roof has already given way, there
are also 3 cracks in the roof of
the veranda and the ampak
is very slight. These defects
were by my order at once
made

made good by the Overseer at
a cost of 4 Annas.

Next the Assistant Super-

intendent of Police reported on

the 15th October/74 that he found

the flooring of the guard room and

veranda had sunk and the

Chumam all broken up.

Again the Superintendent of

Police on the 25 March/75 in-

formed me as the result of his

personal inspection that "the

veranda pillars have been cracked

at the top, that about half the

flooring of the veranda Guard

Room and Lock up has sunk

some 2 or 3 inches and is all

broken up, that the wall between

the windows of the guard room

is cracked across, that there

are cracks at the top of the

wall on either side of the

entrance door of the guard room,

that the front wall of the

lock

lock up is also cracked, and that
the front ventilator has only a
board at top between it and the
roof."

Mr. Diggatt the Range

Officer on a call from me for

warding the Assistant Super-

intendent's report cited above

submitted an estimate for Rs. 7

with the remark that the floor

has sunk from 2 to 4 inches to the

falling in of the basement having

been evidently carelessly executed."

Quintana's answer to whom this

was communicated when on leave

for any remarks he might wish

to offer said in reply dated 5th Decem-

ber/74 "Overseer Rama Rao

is solely in fault for the bad

workmanship of the flooring."

Overseer Rama Rao who was

called on for explanation said

in reply dated 4th May/75 "The

defects complained of are the

cause

1 Cause of bad workmanship on
2 the part of the masonry employed?
3 The building consists of a guard
4 room and a lock up with veranda
5 in front and cost \$800. It was
6 completed by the 31st March 1874
7 and handed over in May 1874.
8 The Completion Certificate was
9 given by Lieutenant Allen
10 in August 74 who reports the
11 building was then in good order
12 which is corroborated by Mr Leggatt
13 who was with him at the time
14 taking over Charge of the Range.
15 I saw the building in company
16 with Lieutenant Allen on 27th
17 February 74 when the super-
18 structure had nearly been run
19 up. I considered the work was
20 not very good and not very
21 bad but the masonry evidently
22 a bad one he was dissatisfied
23 soon after.

I next saw the building in
Company with Mr Leggatt on 21st
August 75 nothing had been done
to repair it purposely till I had
seen it. There is no doubt
Mr Leggatt's opinion as to the
failure of the flooring quoted before
is right. The cracks in the walls
are I think unimportant.
The veranda roof leaks and the
tops of the pillars have been
cracked. There must have been
a slight settlement of the
foundations of the retaining
wall of the veranda and of
portions of the main walls too.
I think this must be due to
percolation of water through the
soil under them, the defects
not having been noticed before
the rains but after they had
well set in.

Mr Leggatt thinks there has
been

been more said about this
matter than was necessary and so
do I. Lieutenant never would
have made all defects good
had he thought they existed
before handing over the buildings.
He had many more important
works to carry on at the same
time, and he says it is impossible
for a Range officer to be ever
part of a building actually laid
down. This excuse is true
but I have informed him I
cannot allow him to throw all
his responsibility on the surveyor
as he has done. As to the
Quarry I should not have
hesitated to make him pay for
all repairs found necessary
but that he also had many other
more important works to look
after at the same time, that he
is not of long experience, and that
he has worked uniformly well.

I have informed him he cannot
shirk his responsibility in the
way he has done. The mistake
I have ordered not to be com-
mitted on the Public Works.

As regards the Trunk Road,
the part between Cairn and
Rainaba is that the condition
of which the Collector reports in
his 40th para he has repeatedly
brought to my notice. I
am perfectly aware of the bad
condition of the Trunk Road
generally and of this part par-
ticularly without the Collector's
reports and I am perfectly aware
of what is needed for it. If
this road could be brought into
perfect order from the main-
tenance allowance as he seems
to think it ought to be, an
estimate for forming it of Rupees
1500 a mile would not have
been

but in 6 years ago by the
Executive Engineer Mr Harris -
 $\frac{5}{11}$ of that estimate are for
earth work, metalling in and
for culverts. It was sanctioned
in November 1874 but up to
this time not one pie has been
allotted. At last on the
29th October the Local Fund
Board have passed an allotment
of Rs 2000 on the above estimate
for the remainder of this year
and have provided Rs 10,000
for next year. I propose to spend
half these amounts on the
portion between Pimba and
Caijan. I do not think that
after the heavy rains there
have been every year that I have
been in the District in October/73
in October/74 and now in Septem-
ber and October/75 and considering
the heavy traffic that Mr
Harris

Harris' estimate for forming the
Trunk Road will be found
sufficient. I believe not less
than Rs 2000 a mile will suffice
to bring it to first rate order. I
have constantly advocated the
claim of this Trunk Road
to be first completed in preference
to others but have been in-
variably overruled. I have
also annually endeavored to have
the maintenance allowance
raised in order to effect minor
improvements. I was successful
in getting the grant raised from
Rs 125 to Rs 150 in 1873 but have
not been successful in getting
it raised to Rs 200 a mile as
advocated by the Executive
Engineers Messrs Harris, Leggatt
and the Assistant Engineer
Lieutenant Rivers

I

I have the honor to be
Sir
Yours most obedt^t &c

St Mully Major &c
Off. District Engineer
Punjab

Gaujam Dis: Engrs office
Camp Berhampore
8th April 1876.

rc 6

From
The Off. District Engineer
Gaujam

To The Chief Engineer
Department Public Works
Fort St George.

As I am about to leave
the District I think it a
good opportunity to report
specially on the Range officers
I have therefore the honor to
forward the accompanying
reports.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed^t servant
Hussey Major RE
Off. District Engineer
Gaujam

806-horr/744-65
-15-Actn/75-2943
-28:-3034
Sol RD 26-Jul/734-236
Chs 2321/76-

Submits his explanation
in as per which
reference to in 1855
14-7-9-11-13-51
Proceding 4-24-67
2-11-75-18-11-13-51
15-11-75-2943
15-11-75-

8-11-75
15-11-75
15-11-75
15-11-75

To the Secretary to
Government
P. M. 1876 8 Sept
Army No 103
The District Engr
Gaujam

of 44

Recd 27/5. Oct 1/6/76
Recd 17-5-76
Recd 15-5-76 P.M. 17-5-76
Cin-11-5-76 P.M. 18/5/76
Dep 26-4-20-4-76

Public Works Department.

17

UNDER date the *1st June 1896*—

READ the following:—

From
The District Engineer } (N.E. No. 44 d/29th April/75)
Gangam
Director of Public } (a-a-795-a-27th March/75)
Instruction
District Eng^r Gangam (a-a-6-a-8th April-a)

It appears that the Plan and Estimate for a School house at Teekali Raghanaathpore were signed respectively by Mr Harris, Executive Engineer, and Sub Engineer Ramiiah; and provided for construction of an inexpensive building, of cutcha materials, such

as

Respectfully Robert Adams
regarding the criticism
of the 1879 page offer
under the Impression.

The Chief Engagement
 to Mr. Robertson
 Henry George
 dated 8th 1790
 sent to } April 1791
 Received }
 Entered 5

*Edw. H. 1876 Reparatments
-Hiram
Diedrick Carpenters
8^d-2786
Bangam.
270*

K5B

Reciv 27/5 Octe 1/6/96
 Reciv Reciv 1/8/96 -
 Reciv Reciv - 15/8/96 - Octe 1/8/96
 Reciv Reciv - 15/8/96 - Octe 1/8/96
 Reciv Reciv - 15/8/96 - Octe 1/8/96

as Public Works Officers are not permitted to construct themselves. These papers moreover did not pass through the District Engineer's Office; and the Educational Order No. 236 of 26th July 1873, shews that the construction of the building and its supervision were to be managed by other than Public Works Agency. Under these circumstances a Public Works Officer's certificate should not have been called for, and the Department cannot be held answerable for the inferior work.

2. The case of the Store Shed at Calingapatam has already been disposed

Text written in the left margin of the right page, partially illegible.

Text written in the left margin of the right page, partially illegible.

disposed of in G.O. No. 3034 of 28th October 1875.

3. The case of the Principal Assistant Collector's Butcherry is one of insufficient repair, possibly owing to insufficient funds, to a rambling old building, which would appear to require reconstruction.

4. The Haramunda Police Station work appears to have been carelessly finished. But immediate notice of all complaints has been taken, and the defects made good as far as possible. The general impression however borne upon the Govt. by the tenour of

of the correspondence throughout is, that Lieutenant Lever's supervision is slack, which is corroborated by the correspondence recorded in G.O. No. 900 of 5th April. Major Smalley having further made a special report under date the 8th April, that Lieutenant Lever is in the 57th year of his age and should be called upon to retire, but Officers will be asked to Grace the Governor called on to show concern in Council directs that when the 3rd of April his Pension papers be submitted to the proper authorities.

5. The District Engineer's explanation regarding the state of the Trunk Road.

I should think that suitable work can be found for Lieut Lever in a smaller range or on a single big work as stated by Major Smalley. Lieut Lever is said to have done very good work and to be still active. Compulsory retirement to a man in his position is a known misfortune. - M.H.

It is reported that the work is moderate and not too much to make a man of 58 go every where. But it is not being done. His estimate for the specifications are very poor - 1/11/11

Lever is unfit for a Ramp - He has failed to do that in the face of strong prejudice in his favor. He is first transferred to Berhampur.

I have known Mr. R.O. Long. He is a hard working man of the Serpent class - but not an Engineer. Mr. Chief Insp. has a work that properly he supervised a man on 350 a mo. I was not object - But he is old retire from the Ramp 1875 - M.H.

This officer appears to be that these communications have been followed by a delay in which previous communications shall have a prior claim on their attention. Education had not felt the same. Well, possibly superior very well any one work or small area but is unusual. To his present time of 10 years. From which he should be removed. D. E. Jayaram & Co. Repose. D. E. Jayaram & Co. Dated 13/6/76

1875
Road tend to show that the Local Fund Board have not attended sufficiently to the up-keep of this Main Line. Their attention, and that of Local Fund Boards generally, should be called in the Financial Department to para 13 of the Resolution of the Govt of India communicated with G.O. No. 4 of 6th January 1871, which specially enjoins

With reference to
instructions in the
margin of the re-
sponding Draft
Order, it is sub-
mitted that, ex-
cepting the In-
spection Works of
the Geological &
Historical, and the
East Coast Canal,
there are no
single works on
which an Asst.
Engineer can be
afforded; & the
works above men-
tioned are beyond
his competence
to supervise -

It is accordingly
proposed to
transfer him to
the smaller range
in Vengaypatan
which involves

[Faint handwritten notes, possibly a signature or initials, and some illegible text.]

1031/11
232/2783
Dept. of US701
PUBLIC WORKS

W. B. Sanjano

SC

C. D. 1st June 1905

5-8
18-8

Enclosure of Buildings can
inspected by po officers in
Sanjano R. Pasos
views on the _____ notes
Collection Report for
transferring to
to the defense House
No 25-101000