

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1100	(W)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	3-5-		1876

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd May 1876.*

No. 36. Read the following letter from JAMES MAY, Esq., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 28th March 1876, No. 48 W. :—

REFERRING to what fell from His Grace the Governor yesterday morning on the question of obtaining some portion of the stone for the Harbour Works from Palaveram, I have the honor to report that there must have been some understanding with the Madras Railway Company two or three years ago to bring the stone for the works along their line.

2. Mr. Parkes in his printed report of 4th November 1873 refers to this, and he informed me, before I came to this country, that the stone would be conveyed by the Madras Railway Company at the rate of 6 Pies per ton per mile, the laterite from Ambatoor 10 miles from Madras, and the granite from Tirutany 51 miles from Madras.

3. The accompanying G.O., No. 2,036, dated 17th July 1875, refers to a meeting between the Railway authorities and me on 19th June last, at which the requirements of stone for the Harbour were more clearly defined from the information then obtained respecting them.

4. The printed Proceedings of Government, No. 3,324, dated 22nd November 1875, contain further information on this subject. On 4th October I wrote to the Agent and Manager of the Railway Company, that I had reason to believe in the existence of hard stone suitable for concrete in the neighbourhood of Arconum, some 40 miles from Madras, and that this would obviate the necessity of drawing supplies from Tirutany. The Agent's letter of 5th October 1875, included in the G.O. No. 3,324, states the view which the Railway Company take on any modification of the first understanding with them.

No. 37. ORDER THEREON, 3rd May 1876, No. 1,100.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 1.

HIS GRACE the Governor in Council cannot in the public interest, admit any obligation to take *all* material for the construction of the Harbour by the Madras Railway if other modes of conveyance should prove more advantageous, or other sources than those selected supply a cheaper or better material.

2. There is nothing to prevent the South Indian Railway being utilized, should it be convenient to supply the south pier or other works with laterite or granite from Palaveram, if the sources of supply on the Madras line fail either as to quantity or quality, or if it be found that the progress of the work could be accelerated thereby.

3. The ultimate decision of 20th December 1875, No. 3,575, having been to sanction doubling of line from Perambore to Ambatoor only, and not to Avady, the letter

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd May 1876.

of Mr. May of 30th October 1875, recorded with G.O., No. 3,324, of 22nd November 1875, that a compensatory rate should be given to the Railway Company if called on to carry granite from a nearer quarry than Tirutany, has no further application.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
„ Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

Spare Copies

3
With reference to the last
part of the revised Draft
Order, the ~~Chief Engineer~~ ^{Chief Engineer} desires
to record the fact that
Mr. May consulted him
before answering Mr. Blain's
letter N^o 3557 of 5th October
1875 - and informed him
that he was of opinion that
the proposal to give a
compensatory rate was
fair - and asked whether
there was any ob-
jection to his expressing
such opinion -

The ~~Chief Engineer~~ ^{Chief Engineer} expressed
his surprise that Mr. Bl.
should have made
such a claim in such a
manner; and stated
that he did not concur
in Mr. May's opinion -
But had no objection to
its being made known
to Mr. Blain, because it
did not bind the Govt.
in any way -

No notice was taken
of the claim for a compensa-
tion rate, insinuated
rather than made in Mr
Elevins letter, 11/27 of
9th Nov; either by the Com-
missioner, Sir C. Colman, to
whom it was addressed;
or in the Govt. Proceedings
recording it - It was sim-
ply ignored, & the Chief
Commissioner considers it would
be better, ^{simply} to ignore it,
and not to discuss the
subject -

At the same time, he con-
sidered it right that he
should place on record
his opinion that the
Govt are only entitled to
employ other means of
conveyance than the
London Railway for the
time for the present, if the
material to be found at
Ambleton should be

but - g. as to
accumulation of
work?

not deficient either as to
quantity or quality - Not
on the ground of its being
cheaper or more convenient
to ~~allow~~ carry it by other
agency - The agreement
to double the line was based
upon a monopoly of the
traffic -

Wm. Miller Major Genl.
Chief Engineer R.P.R.

Order in Council
27th April 1872

I could only judge of the written
transactions placed before me. I could
not & cannot trace anything as to monopoly

Paragraph 4. of draft should be
omitted - or modified as on draft
in the last lines -

Recirculate draft with this
memo 1/5.
J. B. C.

Committee of all,
 in the year 1875
 at one of the
 meetings of the
 committee of all
 in the year 1875

Committee

144 Sir John Lubbock
 144 Sir John Lubbock

1-28-4-76
 148-4-76
 Cir 3-4-76

No. 48 W.
 FROM
 THE SUPERINTENDENT
 MADRAS HARBOR WORKS,
 To - The Secretary to Government,
 Public Works Department,
 Fort Saint George,
 MADRAS, 28 March 1876.

Sir,
 Referring to what fell from His Grace, the
 Governor, yesterday morning on the question of
 obtaining some portion of the stone for the Harbour
 works from Palaverani, I have the honour to
 report, that there must have been some under-
 standing with the Madras Railway Company,
 two or three years ago, to bring the stone for
 the works along their line.
 2. Mr. Parker in his printed report of
 4th November 1873, refers to this and he informed
 me before I came to this country that the
 stone would be conveyed by the Madras
 Railway Company at the rate of 6 pies per ton
 per mile, - the laterite from Ambatoor 10
 miles from Madras, and the granite from
 Tintany 51 miles from Madras.

3. The accompanying Government
 Order N^o 2036, dated 17th July 1875, refers to
 a meeting between the Railway Authorities
 and me on 19th June last, at which, the

Received
 20/10/50 B of
 10th April 1875
 17/10/50 B of
 10th June 1875
 10/2/11 B of

1873 No 2725 B & 2725 C
with report

15: April 75 .. 10504
 30: 1196
 16: May .. 1371 & 1372
 10: June .. 1642
 25: 1780.
 17: July .. 2036.
 24: 2112 & 2113.
 2: Sept .. 2506
 29: 2796
 22: Nov .. 3324 & 3325
 20: Dec .. 3575

PW 1876 Despatch
 From No 2271
 The Superintendent
 Madras Harbour Works
 To The Secretary to Government
 Public Works Department
 Fort Saint George

Dated 28th
 Recd 29 } March 1876

X

now

Submitting report re-
 garding stone for the works

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following:—

From The Superintendent Madras Harbour Works } No. 18 W of 28 March 76

I have altered the draft 1.
 I think paragraph 2 is well
 framed on the correspondence.
 I did not like the 1st as it stood
 as Govt. did not take exception
 in Govt. when they first became
 aware of May's letter—

See previous Nov 22/75
 letter 3325. same date
 and 3575 - Dec 20/75
 B.L.

Transpose & insert paragraph
 4. in this place

B.L.

This Government
 Governor in Council
 cannot, in the public
 interest, admit any ob-
 ligation to take all
 for the construction of the Harbour
 material by the Madras
 Railway if other modes
 of conveyance should
 prove more advantageous
 or other sources than
 those selected supply
 a cheaper material.
 The opinion of the
 Mr. May's letter is not

No 36

Recd. 29.4.76 (U.A. 3.5.76)
 Cii-22-4-76 (No. 28.4.76)
 A.L. 2.2.2.2.2.2.
 18-4-76
 Cii-3-4-76

recorded
with G.O. No. 3324 of
22nd November 1875 that
a compensatory rate
should be given to the
Railway Company if
called on to carry granite
~~stone~~ from a nearer quarry
than Tritany ~~has no~~ further application -
~~application by the~~
~~it might be~~
Railway is called on
to haul from a greater
distance at the same
cost. 4 There is nothing
to prevent the South
Indian Railway being
utilized, should it be
convenient to supply
the South Pier ^{or other} works
with Latite or granite
from Palaveram, if the
sources of supply on
the

2 The ultimate decision
of December 20, 1875. No 3575
having been to ~~sanction~~ sanction
doubling of line from Perambore
to Aombatour ^{only} and not to ~~Perambore~~
The letter of Mr May of 30 Oct.
1875 ~~sanctioned~~ ~~the~~ ~~application~~ ~~suggesting~~
application suggesting

3 Mr May's attention should be drawn
to the fact of this letter. Profusely
making a very mature evaluation
in the cost & duration of the arrangement
In carrying out ~~the~~ ~~sanction~~ ~~the~~
Sanction of Government, which should
have been obtained before it could have
been made binding -

~~There is nothing to prevent~~
~~the use of the South Indian Railway~~
~~for the purpose of~~
~~the use of the South Indian~~
Railway for carrying Latite
from Palaveram would
expedite the completion of the
Harbour in ~~the~~ ~~the~~
consequence of the ~~the~~ ~~the~~

the Madras Line
failant either as to
quantity or quality, or
if ~~it be~~ found that
the progress ^{of the works} could
be accelerated thereby.

Supt. Madras Harbour Works
Cons. Eng. for Ry.

UNDER date the 3rd May/6.
 READ the following:—

From
 The Supt. Madras
 Harbour Works } *Reg. No. 118 of 1875*

His Grace the
 Governor in Council cannot,
 in the public interest,
 admit any obligation to
 use all material for
 the construction of the
 Harbour by the Madras
 Railway if other modes
 of conveyance should
 prove more advantageous,
 or other sources than
 those situated, supply
 a cheaper or better article.
 2. There is nothing to
 prevent the South Indian
 Railway

Boe

22/5/6

22/5/6

Ch. 22/5/6
Reg. No. 118 of 1875

*Information
 and
 to the
 Government*

22/5/6
22/5/6

*This will be a
 the question. I
 rather in the
 of the railway*

22/5/6

Received

22/5/6
22/5/6

Railway being utilized,
should it be convenient
to supply the Sixth Pier
or other works with Lignite
or granite from Palaveram,
if the sources of supply
on the Madras Line
fail either as to quantity
or quality, or if it be found
that the progress of the
works could be accelerated
thereby.

3. The ultimate decision
of December 20th 1875
No. 3575 having been to
sanction doubling of line
from Perambore to Amba-
toor only, and not to do by
the letter of Mr. May
of 30th October 1875 accorded
with G.O. No. 3324 of
22nd November 1875 that
a compensatory rate
should be given to the
Railway.

Railway Company if
called on to carry granite
from a nearer quarry than
Triturary has no further
application.

4. ~~Mr. May's attention~~
should be drawn to the
fact of this letter, possibly
making a very material
variation in the cost and
conditions of the arrangement
for carriage, having been
written without the sanction
of Government, which
should have been ^{first} obtained
~~by the railway authorities~~
in writing.

G.M.

Supt. Madras Harbour Works
Comptroller for Ry.

Decd 16/5/76

X¹ ~~Attd~~

2271

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

*to Mr. Madras Harbour
Works*

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 3rd May 1876, No. 1000

Nos. 36/3

Sent to Mr. P. R. 17-5-76.

Material for the con-

struction of the Madras

Harbour. Declining to

admit any obligation

on the part of Govt. to take

all ——— by the Madras

By should other modes

of conveyance prove ^{more} ~~more~~

advantageous or other sources

supply a cheaper or better material.