

M.R.O. 1-1002

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1099	(w)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	3-5-		1876

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd May 1876.*

Read the following papers :—

- No. 34. Proceedings of Kistna District Joint Magistrate's Court, Guntour, dated 5th July 1876, No. 34.
- No. 34-A. Petition from Soliman Beg, dated 1st February 1876.
- No. 34-B. Chief Engineer's Memorandum, „ 21st „ „ No. 354.
- No. 34-C. Do. do. „ 29th March „ „ 592.
- No. 34-D. From Suptg. Engineer, Kistna, „ 4th April „ „ 2.

No. 35. ORDER THEREON, 3rd May 1876, No. 1,099.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 5.

HIS GRACE the Governor in Council learns from these Proceedings that Lieutenant Rawson, R.E., Assistant Engineer, 1st Grade, in the Kistna District, was convicted and fined Rupees 10 for assaulting a native lock goomastah, who on the day of the assault resigned his appointment, being unwilling to serve under Lieutenant Rawson. The Chief Engineer has directed the re-employment of the petitioner, but no notice has been taken of the misconduct of Lieutenant Rawson which was not reported to Government. The facts appear to be that Lieutenant Rawson annoyed by either the negligence or dilatoriness of the lock goomastah lost his temper, and in a moment of irritation assaulted him in the presence of both European and native subordinates. An Officer who so commits himself must lose the respect of inferiors and the confidence of superiors. Happily such cases have been very rare indeed in this Presidency; and His Grace the Governor in Council feels therefore justified in dealing more leniently with the Officer in question.

2. Lieutenant Rawson will be reprimanded and removed from his present post. A special report as to Lieutenant Rawson's conduct and management of subordinate staff will be required at the end of twelve months from the officer under whom he may be placed. Should it become Lieutenant Rawson's turn to be promoted from his present grade during the twelve months, his promotion will not be confirmed until such report is received.

3. The Chief Engineer will submit proposals for the employment of Lieutenant Rawson elsewhere, and for replacing him in the Kistna. The Chief Engineer in conveying this decision to Lieutenant Rawson will draw that officer's attention to the stringent orders upon the subject of ill-treatment of natives which have been at various times issued :—

G.O., 18th September 1813, (162.)

G.O., 13th October 1830, (206.)

Adjutant-General's Circular, 27th July 1864.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,

Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Kistna.
„ Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.
Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

the late by elone at Masulihata

June 1st 1876

Signature

Self

33

Siluman Beg has served under me for 5 years
I have always found him energetic as he is
worthy of promotion to the permanent establishment
on the first opportunity.

Bez wada }
26th September 70 }

/Signed/ A. C. Smith Esq.
Executive Engineer

Sillayman Beg was employed under me as a
Gumastha since December 1866, and has at all times
given me the utmost satisfaction. He is willing and
attentive and I believe perfectly honest and trust
worthy.

Nemdoor }
1st January 1871 }

/Signed/ D. Carlier
Superintendent

This is to certify that Selamanbeg late Duffadar,
of Chinna Gangam Salt Kotar, served under me as
Division Peon at Mangalapudi Kotar for 2 years during
which time I have had every reason to be satisfied with
the nice way he has performed all the work entrusted to him.
He is an honest and hard working man. He left the Salt
at his own request. He can read and write Hindustani
Telugu, I believe Persian too. He had an excellent Testimonial
from Lieut Tullock of the Engineers, which he unfortunately lost
the late byelone at Masulhatam.

/Signed/ John Crispwell
Salt Superintendent

Chinna Gangam June 14 1871

Mr. 2575/76.

No. 2. Supg. Engrs Office N.E.
 Miscellaneous
 4th Apr 1876. This

From,

Major J. A. Hasted M.E.
 Superintending Engineer Victoria

Endorsement of the
 Lt. Engineer, brings to notice
 that he is a poor and
 helpless man; and prays
 that orders may be passed
 for his restoration in
 a different Range so as
 to save his large family
 from perishing from
 want of necessities
 of life.

N.E.

to Parks

5th

Altimo

for

to 354

have

I have

he will

may

or the

to send

N.E.

Supg. Engineer Victoria

Mr. 2575/76
 forwarded to the
 Chief Secretary to
 Government

Mr. 2575/76
 forwarded to the
 Chief Secretary to
 Government

Mr. 2575/76
 forwarded to the
 Chief Secretary to
 Government

M. 2575/76.

spot on his character;
and holds several good
testimonials; that in
consequence of the very
bad treatment which
he suffered at the hands
of the Lieutenant Revenue,
the present Range Officer,
a charge had to be pre-
ferred against that Officer
which resulted in his
conviction with a fine
of Rs 10, (vide Calendar
submitted); that being
afraid of the gentleman,
who is very powerful
in his Range, he resign-
ed his place under him,
and applied to the Sd
Engineer for an appoint-
ment elsewhere.

Ci. 26. p. 1 Submitting the
M. 2575/76. endorsement

M. 2575/76.
Government of Madras.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 353 of 1876.

From Solomon Beg
Sahib

Occupation Ex-Lock Co-
master of the P.

Village P. W. revisionat

Talook Gunton

District Chittra

Dated 1st }
Received 9th } February 1876.

Enclosures. 6

ABSTRACT.

States that he served
the Govt for nearly
31 years without any
spot.

No. 2.

Supg. Engrs Office No. 2
Madras
4th April 1876.

From,

Major J. O. Hastell M.E.
Superintending Engineer District

To, Major-General G. H. Walker M.E.
Chief Engineer Public Works
Department

Sir

Your No. 592 dated 29th ultimo.

In returning the papers for-
warded with your Memo No. 354
dated 21st February 1876. I have
the honor to report that I have
informed Solomon Beg, that he will
be re-employed on a vacancy
occurring.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedt Servt.

M. 2575/76. Major M.E.

Supg. Engineer District

OW 98-3 May/76
1099

P.W. Dep.
Oct. 353 of 1876

The petition declares
the fact that St. Leonard
N. E. Assistant Engineer
1st Grade in the Western
district - has been
convicted of assault
upon a Native subordinate
and fined 10 Rs
by the Joint Magistrate.

The Chief Engineer
has properly decided
the reemployment

I fully agree with
the decision of the
Joint Magistrate as to the
necessity of taking
Bannons, by means of
affairs that is he has been
found to be in the
position of a subordinate
of the Government & as
such he is liable to be
punished for any offence
committed by him. The
Joint Magistrate has
properly decided that
he is liable to be
punished for the assault
committed upon the
Native subordinate. The
Chief Engineer has
properly decided that
he is liable to be
punished for the assault
committed upon the
Native subordinate. The
Joint Magistrate has
properly decided that
he is liable to be
punished for the assault
committed upon the
Native subordinate.

The Joint
Magistrate has
properly decided
the reemployment
of the petitioner. But
the Native has been
taken into custody.

St. Leonard
N. E. Assistant Engineer
1st Grade in the Western
district

The Chief Engineer
has properly decided

Dated 4 April 1876
Respectfully

St. Leonard
N. E. Assistant Engineer
1st Grade in the Western
district - has been
convicted of assault
upon a Native subordinate
and fined 10 Rs
by the Joint Magistrate.

OW 98-3 May/76-1099

N-24-E-
Cii-24-76 P.W. 3.5.76
L. Shub. 27 abt
Cii 11-4-76.

of the petitioner. but
 no notice appears to
 have been taken of
 St. Maur's conduct
 of his ~~superior~~ or any
 report addressed to
 him of his Superior
 Officers.
 I think it impossible
 that Govt. can grasp the
 case without serious
 notice. St. Maur's
 influence ~~is~~
 immense. but he
 depends in the district

N-24-D-

Cii-24-76 OMA 3.5.76
 L. Shubert 7/2/76
 Cii-4-76.

by the conviction to
 the reinstatement
 of the man assaulted.

The assault was
 committed in public
 before natives & Europeans.

There is not much
 dispute as to the vic:
 of the assault.

Complainant says "He
 Maur on struck me on the head &
 so knocked off my head cloth"

"He then seized hold of my
 hair & pulled me on to the back
 about 1/4 mile off."

"He also gave me a kick on the
 back"

St. Maur says
 "I caught hold of him & the back was
 of the back & pushed him in front
 of me. The turban fell off. He
 as I caught hold of his back
 I do not quite recollect whether
 it fell off or not."
 "I caught hold of him by
 the neck in showing him and
 his hair being long & I pulled
 my finger."

"Not to my knowledge I
 might have done so immediately
 the very first employment
 divided the reinstatement
 of the Petitioner. but the
 Justice has been taken of the

O.W.G. - 3 May/76
 1099
 11B

"He was walking in front of
me and I was following
and in this way I might
have touched him"

There is a discrepancy as to
the distance. complainant
states of it as about 1/4 mile
St. Nauman in one place calls
it 200 yards. in another
20. But as the European
overseer Mr. Tiedeman who
was present was not called
to disprove any part of
complainant's evidence. I
inquire there was no difference
of importance in the hearing
that there is some error in
copying or translating.

I think St. Nauman
has shown that he is
a yet deficient in

the habits of self
control especially
necessary for an officer
placed in charge of
duties to be carried
out by native assistance
that the Govt. would
not be justified in
keeping him in the
Govt. He occupies a
that probably a
little longer military
training would

the only
desired the reemployment
of the Native in the
Native has been taken

24-B-
Cii-26 p. 76 OMA 3.5.76
L. Shubert 37 abt
Cii 11-4-76.

OMA 3 May 76
1099

to of ultimate
 advantage to the
 young officer —
 and I suggest
 the for the
 consideration of my
 colleagues whether
 he should not be
 returned to his
 military duty for a
 time J.M. '74

N 24 - D -

Cii - 25 p. 76 OMA 3.5.76
 2. Shub. 87 abt
 Cir 11-4-76.

OMA 3 May 76
 1099

The Chief Engineer has
 advised the reemployment
 of the Police - but also
 that has been taken of the

Do not know to
what military duty
L. R. can be ordered
If it can be arranged
it should be ordered; if not
removed to another Det-
achment is indispensable
17/4 MB

I think there may be con-
-siderable difficulty in remanding
debt-ransom to military duty
with the Sappers. - Turned
upstairs him and remove
him to some other district
The worst feature in the case
is the dangerous defection
18/4/76 RLB

Cir - 24 p. 76 PMA 3.5.76
2. Sheet 37 abt
Cir 11-4.76.

10. *Ad. B. 1000*
 11. *Ad. B. 1000*

On 9th May/76
12/099

Rec'd from Ostry 20/4/76
Forwarded inc. cert.
to the Compt. } - 80 -

Petition from
Soliman Beg Sahib

also
Proceedings - of Krishna
Dist. Court Mag. Court at
Suntion 5th July 1875 case
No 34.

That the Gov. in Council
 learn from the proceedings
 that St. Nauson N. &
 Chief Engineer, of grade
 in the District was
 convicted of perjury N. 10. 1/2
 assaulting a water boy?
 Goonastah - who on
 the day of the assault signed
 the service disengagement - being
 unwilling to serve under whom?
 The Chief Engineer has
 decided the reemployment
 of the Police - but no
 action has been taken of the

Miscellaneous of St. Nauman
which was not reported to Government -
The ~~case~~ facts appear to be that

St. Nauman annoyed by
with the negligence and
dilatoriness of the both
Soomastah lost his temper

And in a moment of
irritation assaulted him in
the presence of both European
& native subordinates -

An officer who so commits
himself must lose the
respect of inferiors and
the confidence of superiors

Happily such cases
have been very rare indeed
in this Presidency and
the Govt. feels therefore
justified in dealing
severely with the officer
in question -

St. Nauman will be
reprimanded and
dismissed from his

present post -
A special report as to
St. Nauman's conduct
& management of subordinates
at the end of 12 months
Staff will be required from
the office under whom
he may be placed at
that time to be submitted to
the Government
His present grade

Should it become St. Nauman's
time to be promoted from his present
grade during the 12 months
his promotion will not be confirmed
until such report is received
The Chief Surgeon will submit
proposals for the employment of
St. N. elsewhere & for replacing
him in the Kistrah -

The Chief Surgeon in
announcing this decision to
St. Nauman will draw
that officer's attention to the
stringent orders upon the
subject of ill-treatment of
natives ~~contained in~~ which
have been at various times
issued -

G.O. Sept. 18. 1813. (162)
S.O. Oct. 13. 1830 (206)
Aspirant Gen. circ. July 27. 1864

Br. 27/4.

J.H.B. 21B

Petition from Solomon Beg Salih
dated 1st February 1876.

Chief Engineer's Memo No. 354 dated 21st
February 1876.

do do 592 of 29th March 1876.
Superintending Engineer's Report No. 2
dated 4th April 1876.

Submitted to His
Grace the Governor.

C. M. Mather Major General
Secretary Government
J.H.B.

+ with copy of Mr. Sney's
disposal of the subject.

[Signature]

(100) - 21B - 21B
100, 000 - 21B - 21B

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following:—

Petition from

Selimian Beg Sahib (H.C. 1st July 1876.)

His Grace the
Governor in Council dis-
approves of the notice
taken by the Chief Engineer
of this Petition, as in-
complete. The restoration
of the Petitioner to his
former employment, or an
equivalent of it, is
provided for, but no
notice ^{has been taken} of Sirdar
Lawson's conduct; ^{and}
~~and~~ ^{is} ~~not~~ ^{being}
~~referred~~ ^{referred} ~~to~~ ^{to}

It

2. It is not right that the case should be so imperfectly disposed of; and His Grace the Governor in Council must take more serious notice of it by the reprimand and removal of Lieutenant Rawson from a District in which his influence cannot but be lessened by the conviction and fine for assault, which he has undergone, and by the reinstatement of the petitioner.

3. The Chief Eng^s will submit to Gov^t proposals for the employment of Lieutenant Rawson elsewhere, and

for

St. Rawson has been convicted of an assault upon a native official

for replacing him in the Kistna.

273

E. Krishna
E. A. W. S.

No 1099

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 3rd May 1876.

Read the following:—

Proc. of Kistna Dist. Magistrate's Court, Guntur (No. 354 of 15th July - 1876.)
Sentence from Solomon Beg (No. 1st February 1876).

Chief Engr. Moore (No. 354 of 21st March -)

Do Do (No. 592 of 29th March -)

Supy Engr. Kistna (No. 2 of 14th April -)

His Grace the Governor in Council learns from these proceedings that Lieutenant Rawson, B.E. Assistant Engineer 1st Grade in the Kistna District was convicted and fined Rs. 10 for assaulting a Native Sakh Gormastah, who on the day of the assault resigned his appointment, being unwilling to serve under Lieutenant

M 7
2/1

M 3

Disfranchisement
26.1
What is the result

no further

Lieutenant Rawson. The
Chief Engineer has directed
the re-employment of the
Petitioner, but no notice
has been taken of the
misconduct of Lieut. Rawson
which was not reported
to Govt. The facts appear
to be that Lieut. Rawson
annoyed by either the
negligence or dilatoriness
of the Lock Gornastah
lost his temper, and in
a moment of irritation
assaulted him in the
presence of both European
and Native subordinates.
An officer who so commits
himself must lose the
respect of inferiors and
the confidence of superiors.
Happily such cases have
been

313
been very rare indeed in this
Presidency; and His Grace
the Governor in Council
feels therefore justified
in dealing more leniently
with the officer in question.

Lieut. Rawson will
be reprimanded and
removed from his present
post. A special report
as to Lieut. Rawson's
conduct and management
of subordinate staff
will be required at the
end of 12 months from
the officer under whom
he may be placed. Should
it become Lieut. Rawson's
turn to be promoted from
his present grade during
the 12 months, his promo-
tion will not be confirmed

until

until such report is received.

The Chief Engineer
will submit proposals
for the employment of
Lieut. Rawson elsewhere
and replacing him in
the Kistna. The Chief
Engineer in conveying this
decision to Lieut. Rawson
will draw that officers
attention to the stringent
orders upon the subject
of illtreatment of Natives
which have been at
various times issued.

G.O. Sept^r 15th 1813 (162)

G.O. Oct^r 13th 1830 (206)

Adjutant Genl's circular July 27. 1864.

N. 34/5

MAH.

Dist. Engr. Kistna ✓
Chief Engr. P.W.D. ✓

Del^d 15/5/64

until such report is received.

The Chief Engineer will submit proposals for the employment of Lieut. Rawson elsewhere and replacing him in the Kistna. The Chief Engineer in conveying this decision to Lieut. Rawson will draw that Officer's attention to the stringent orders upon the subject of illtreatment of Natives which have been at various times issued.

G.O. Sept 15th 1813 (162)

G.O. Oct 15th 1830 (206)

Adjutant Genl's circular July 27. 1861.

No 34/5

2575

Govt. of Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

No R. B. Kistna

6-5-7

G. O. 31st May 1899

Nov. 34 5
Lieut. Rawson
15-5-96

Lieutenant Rawson R.E.
Assistant Engineer
Kistna Dist.

Passing orders on
the subject of the con-
-viction and fining
of — for assaulting
a Native Look Goimas.