

(1) Number of pages \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Date of repair \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

## Government of Madras

*Public Works* DEPARTMENT

|          |        |       |
|----------|--------|-------|
| G.O. No. | 1087   | Press |
| B.P. No. |        | Mis.  |
| Dated    | 1-5-76 | 1876  |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
1st May 1876.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 12. From Captain L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Fort St. George, 6th April 1876, No. 10 W.

With reference to the Proceedings of Government, No. 647, dated 13th March 1876, I have to inquire on what date the 'Streeviguntum Anicut' works are to be considered to have come into operation.

From the Proceedings of Government it would appear that they are to be considered to have come into operation sometime during the Fusli year 1281, which commenced on the 1st July 1871, and, on the other hand, an office note received from the Joint Secretary to Government for Irrigation states that the date is to be considered as the 1st April 1870.

2. In this case, as in others, I venture to point out how much the chances of being misunderstood would be decreased by all communications being expressed in English terms.

No. 12-A. Telegram from District Engineer, Tinnevely, dated 26th April 1876.

No. 13. ORDER THEREON, 1st May 1876, No. 1,087.

IRRIGATION, No. 2.

THE date communicated to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation in February last is correct. In paragraph 2 of the letter from the District Engineer, Tinnevely, printed in Proceedings of the Madras Government of the 13th March 1876, No. 647, Fusli 1281 is entered as corresponding to 1870-71, whereas Fusli 1280 is the year which should have been quoted. For the purposes of the Capital and Revenue Accounts therefore the Streeviguntum Anicut Works will be deemed to have come into operation from the 1st April 1870.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,  
Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.  
" District Engineer, Tinnevely.  
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Exd. S. T. Austin.  
Spare Copies

1853  
The Examiner  
The Controller has  
been informed by this  
office that the three  
regimental Ammunition  
units were to be con-  
sidered to have come  
into operation on the  
1st April 1870. Vide  
No. 209 dated 16th

July 76  
Article 1281 does  
not commence as  
the Ser states on 1st  
July 76 but on  
the 1st July 77

Probably the Ser  
wishes an order of  
cost to be passed  
in the matter of

so it is suggested  
at the following  
to be issued -

The Examiner will  
be informed that  
the Shurgim  
amendment units are  
to be considered  
to have ~~any~~ time  
operation on  
the 1<sup>st</sup> April 1870.

Please see if para  
27 her letter requires no.  
see ~~it~~ ~~is~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~letter~~  
20/4/76

5x

Traffic Code Form CL

|              |        |                                                   |    |    |                         |                                    |  |
|--------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------|----|----|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Class        |        | INDIAN TELEGRAPH.                                 |    |    |                         | Local No.<br><b>587</b>            |  |
| TO (Station) |        | OOTACAMOND                                        |    |    |                         | FROM (Station)<br>Salem Cottah     |  |
| No.          | Words. | Time tendered for transmission.<br>(Madras time.) |    |    | OFFICIAL INSTRUCTIONS.— |                                    |  |
|              |        | Day.                                              | H. | M. |                         |                                    |  |
|              | 10     | 26                                                | 14 | 43 |                         |                                    |  |
| TO (Person)  |        | Works Irrigation                                  |    |    |                         | FROM (Person)<br>District<br>Supt. |  |

|            |             |               |
|------------|-------------|---------------|
| 1<br>Year  | 2<br>right  | 3<br>Fusli    |
| 4<br>wrong | 5<br>Letter | 6<br>follows. |
| 7          | 8           | 9             |
| 10         | 11          | 12            |
| 13         | 14          | 15            |
| 16         | 17          | 18            |
| 19         | 20          | 21            |
| 22         | 23          | 24            |
| 25         | 26          | 27            |
| 28         | 29          | 30            |
| 31         | 32          | 33            |
| 34         | 35          | 36            |

|                                     |  |            |  |         |  |                   |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------|--|---------|--|-------------------|--|
| RECD. FROM                          |  | (L. L.)    |  | SENT TO |  | (L. L.)           |  |
| H.                                  |  | M., on the |  | AT      |  | H. M., on the     |  |
|                                     |  |            |  | By      |  | Telegraph Master. |  |
| <b>OOTACAMOND 26-4-76</b>           |  |            |  |         |  |                   |  |
| (P. 1) — Govt. Tel. Form, — 2-4-75. |  |            |  |         |  |                   |  |

FORMER CASES.

Current No. of 187

" " " " " "

1876 Sep  
M. WORKS FORM No. 3.

Current No. 2531

From

The Examiner,  
P.W. Accts.

No. 10W

Dated

Recd.

6 April 1876

Subject:— Enquires on what date the Shevignatum Ancient works are to be considered as having come into operation.

LATER CASES.

Current No. of 187

ENCLOSURES.

From

to

Orders and Proceedings in file.

| Department. | No. | Date.   | ENDORSEMENTS. |     |       |
|-------------|-----|---------|---------------|-----|-------|
|             |     |         | Department.   | No. | Dated |
| P.W.D.      | 148 | 13/5/76 |               |     |       |

[Cn. Wks. Form No. 1]

No. 10 W.

FROM

THE CONTROLLER,  
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

TO

The Secretary to Government,  
Public Works Department,  
6 April 1876.  
FORT SAINT GEORGE,

Sir,  
With reference to the Proceedings of Government No. 617 dated 13<sup>th</sup> March 1876, I have to enquire on what date the Shevignatum Ancient works are to be considered to have come into operation.

From the Proceedings of Government it would appear that they are to be considered to have come into operation sometime during the Sudi year 1281 which commenced on the 1<sup>st</sup> July 1871, and on the other hand, an official note received from the Joint Secretary to Government for Larigation states that the date is to be considered as the 1<sup>st</sup> April 1870.

In this case, as in others, I venture to point out how much the chances of being misunderstood would be decreased by all communications being expressed in English terms.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant,

Thomas Gordon

Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

17/12

cc. 28-1176 12/15/76  
of 12/15/76

W. 1876 Sept.  
From No. 2531  
The Examiner

P.W. Accounts  
The Secretary to Govt.  
P.W. Department

N<sup>o</sup> 1010.

Dated 10  
Recd. 7 } April 1876

Inquires on what  
date the Sreevishnu  
Anicut works are to be  
considered as having  
come into operation

L. Form No. 21.

No 1087 Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 1<sup>st</sup> May 1876.

READ the following:—

From Examiner of P.W.A. No. 1010 of 6<sup>th</sup> April 76  
Telegram from Dist. Engr. { H.H. of 26<sup>th</sup> April 1876  
Tinnevely }

The date com-  
municated to the  
Examiner of P.W.  
Accounts by the Chief  
Engineer for Irrigation  
in February last is  
correct. In para 2  
of the letter from the  
District Engineer  
Tinnevely printed in  
Proceedings of the  
Madras Government  
of the 13<sup>th</sup> March 1876,  
No 647, Entry 1281 is  
entered as corresponding



to 1870-71, whereas for 1280 is the year which should have been quoted. For the purposes of the Capital and Revenue accounts therefore the Street-cum-ancient work will be deemed to have come into operation from the 1st April 1870.

*[Faint handwritten notes, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side]*

253/  
 Govt. of 1870 Madras.  
 PUBLIC WORKS.

To  
 Dated  
 Issued  
 Recd.

*Recd. 5 May*

G. O., 1<sup>st</sup> May 1870, No. 1087

Nos. 12-12.8 & 13

Street-cum-ancient  
Works - Immovably

Passing orders on letter from the Dy. Secy Accts enjoining on that date the — are to be considered as having come into operation.

12-13