

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1068	(2)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	28-4-	1876	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
28th April 1876.*

No. 422. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel R. F. OAKES, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, Tanjore, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Tanjore, 13th
April 1876, No. 620 :—

I HAVE the honor to forward the papers noted in the margin, and to solicit the
orders of Government relative to the
Mr. Littlewood's letter, No. 642, of 18th Decem-
ber 1875.* payment of a sum of Rupees 201 to con-
tractor Kistrien under a contract executed
Letter No. 909, of 26th February 1876, with
two enclosures and translation of contract.* by Mr. Supervisor Littlewood when in
charge of Range No. 4, and which the
1 Copy of memorandum, No. 371, of 8th March
1876, to Mr. Littlewood. contractor claims under his contract,
* To be returned.

though the proper value of the portion of work executed by him, and for which the
contract allows Rupees 201, is Rupees 47-4-0.

2. The circumstances connected with the repair of the Yadayaur tank are
briefly as follows :—

The original estimate* was submitted by Mr. Hamnett, then the Range Officer
of No. 4, on 12th May 1874; the rate for
* Rupees 1,320. earthwork being entered at 1 Anna per
cubic yard. The estimate was sanctioned on 8th July 1874, the rate for earthwork
having been reduced by Major Mead, then District Engineer, to 10 Pies per cubic yard.
The work was given to one Yagambra Vunnee Pillay in May 1874, for execution in
anticipation of the sanction of the estimate, but no contract agreement was taken
from him. Rupees 580 were paid out of Rupees 682-7-2, the value of work done up
to 29th July 1874 at the rate of 10 Pies per cubic yard, and a further sum of
Rupees 220 was paid on 5th October 1874, reserving Rupees 140-0-5 to be paid after
examination by Range Officer. In October Mr. Hamnett remonstrated at the reduc-
tion of the rate by the District Engineer, and the rate was again increased to 1 Anna
per cubic yard by Mr. Leggatt, then in charge of the office in February 1875.
In November 1874. Mr. Littlewood having in the meantime
succeeded to the charge of the Range
from November 1874 to 14th August 1875,
never visited the tank, nor did he make
any payments for work executed, but on
the 3rd August 1875 he gave a contract to
one Kistrien without satisfying himself as to the quantity of work remaining to be
done. In this contract he states that
2,610 cubic yards, remaining to complete
the estimate, are to be executed at 1 Anna per cubic yard, and allows Rupees 201 for
sectioning, tamping, sloping, clearing jungle, &c., this in fact being the balance of the
estimate (Rupees 15 having been charged for a maistry), the first contractor having
been paid only 10 Pies per cubic yard, but having failed to section or trim up the
bank. It now appears that the original contractor had executed 20,232 cubic yards
of work out of 20,658 provided for in the estimate, leaving 426 cubic yards to complete
the work and not 2,610 as stated in the second contract; the second contractor has
executed 481 1/2 cubic yards of work and may be paid for them at 1 Anna per cubic
yard, but Rupees 201 having been allowed for sectioning, tamping, clearing jungle, &c.,

*Vide his explanation, No. 642 of 18th Decem-
ber 1875.*

Vide No. 909, 26th February 1876.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
28th April 1876.*

without any rate having been allowed for specific items, his claims for Rupees 201 cannot be legally resisted.

3. In making the second contract Mr. Littlewood supposed that 18,048½ cubic yards of work had been executed out of 20,658, and hence must have been aware that far the larger portion of the bank must have been repaired, and that the jungle on that portion, if cleared at all, must already have been cleared, and yet he includes the item for jungle clearing in the contract with the second contractor.

4. Mr. Littlewood in his No. 909, of 26th February 1876, endeavours to throw the blame for his own ignorance of what had been done to the tank, and for the execution of the second contract, on Sub-Overseer Agneswamy Pillay, but, as stated in my No. 371, in reply, it is quite impossible that Mr. Littlewood could have acted upon anything so vague as the Sub-Overseer's report, and it is quite clear from the dates of the documents that Mr. Littlewood could not have received the Sub-Overseer's letter

No. 99, 2nd August.

when he made the contract with Kistnien on the 3rd August. I am of opinion that

Mr. Littlewood having for ten months entirely neglected the tank should not, a month

3rd July 1875, No. 1,146.

after he had been informed of his contemplated relief of the charge of the range,

have entered into a contract for the completion of the work with a new contractor, and certainly not such a contract as that enclosed.

5. I must further add, in connection with this work, that on the receipt of a bill for the work executed by the second contractor in the Range Office, the second Accountant, to whom the bill was given to be checked, made certain alterations and entries in it in black ink in favor of the contractor, with a view to deceive the Sub-Engineer, and to make it appear that the Sub-Overseer had made the entries in the bill. I have degraded him, and reduced his salary from Rupees 25 to Rupees 15, and would have dismissed him but that I was not satisfied that he had not consulted the Accountant of the range, though the latter denied that he had been consulted relative to the alteration made.

No. 423.

ORDER THEREON, 28th April 1876, No. 1,068.

IRRIGATION, No. 35.

Account.

THE payment of Rupees 210 to Kistnien, a contractor, in connection with the repair of the Yadayaur tank is sanctioned, but as it appears that the value of the work done is stated by the Superintending

Engineer to be Rupees 47-4-0 only, the Government consider that the contractor should be offered the option of accepting the amount fairly due, or of being debarred from future employment.

2. The proceedings of Mr. Supervisor Littlewood in entering into a fresh contract for an unfinished work without satisfying himself as to the extent of work actually performed by the former contractor are very reprehensible, and his omission to inspect and check the work done is a neglect of duty which should be considered by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, in deciding hereafter whether Mr. Littlewood should be promoted. There is one point, moreover, referred to in the

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
28th April 1876.*

correspondence which requires to be more fully explained. Mr. Littlewood wrote on the 29th July 1875 to Local Fund Overseer Agnesawmy Pillay to know whether any work had been done to the tank by the former contractor after the 5th October 1874. The Overseer's answer is dated 2nd August, and the new contract was entered into on the 3rd August. Mr. Littlewood who it may be noticed should not have entered into a definite contract on indefinite information, states that he was misled by the Overseer's letter as to the quantity of work remaining to be done, while the Superintending Engineer shows that from the dates and places the Supervisor must have entered into the agreement before receiving a reply to his letter of the 29th July. Arantanghy is about 56 miles from Needamungalum, and the question whether greater blame than mere carelessness would involve should be attributed depends upon whether the discrepancy between Mr. Littlewood's representations and the probable facts is capable of satisfactory explanation.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,

Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Tanjore.

" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

No. 371

Superintending Engineer's Office
Bangalore 8 March 1876

Memorandum

With reference to Mr. Littlewood's No. 909 of 26th Oct. in which he endeavours to shift the blame for giving the Contract for the Yadeyapur tank to Sub-Overseer Agneswamy Pillay and forwards a copy of the latter's letter on which he states he acted, the Superintending Engineer has only to observe that Mr. Littlewood could not have acted upon any thing so vague as the Sub-Overseer's Statement had he seen that letter before he made the Contract, but the fact is he made the Contract before that letter could possibly have reached him in as much as it was written at Srirangapatna on the 2nd August and the Contract was made on the 3rd, it is presumed at Needamangalam, in as much as Mr. Littlewood's Inspection Report of 3rd August shows that on that day he proceeded to Needamangalam.

and returned to Hunnaguti

Mr. Littlewood had been warned on the 3rd July 1875 that he was about to be relieved and hence he must have been aware that his relief would take place almost immediately when he gave the Contract to Victoria Drew.

With regard to the little
woods statement that Rs. 201
were not to be paid solely for
tamping sectioning & clearing
jungle but to place earth here
and there where required, the
Superintending Engineer observes
that had the Contract not shown
that earth work was to be paid for
at one anna per cubic yard
this clause might have
appeared to be plausible but
under the actual circumstances
it cannot be accepted.

1 signed / N. F. Drake, Junr 1862
Capt Engineer 1st Regt

2) Run of Littlewood thro' the Officer in charge
of No 1 Range.

1 True Copy / W. F. Drake Limited & Co. 1887

2. 27/8/76

Copy of Memo No 371
dated 8 March 76
Sir William

Enclosure in a letter
from the Deputy Engineer
Major & the Secretary
to Govt. No. 620.
dated 13 April 1876.

No. 642

Pondicherry
18th December 1875

The Superintending Engineer
Tanjore

Sir,

With reference to your Memo
No. 2235 dated 1st Decr. and its enclosure
Closures (Lore with reference) I beg to
state that I have carefully perused
the Inspections reports and find
from my own report that I was
Camped at Arumthangy in the
Range on the 23rd & 24th March last,
and that I was again on a tour of
Inspection in the Puttocotah Range
from the 7th to 24th June.

On the 1st of the above mentioned
dates I inspected the repairs to the
Shiroopetty Tank and Road No 19,
the Sidam Tank does not however
appear to have been inspected by
me, the cause of which I am unable
to explain unless it is owing to an
error.

and there by which statement is made
that

Can say next tour of inspection
(7th to 24th June) the Gadagur Duck
is again omitted in my inspection
list, while all other ducks appear
to have been examined the omission
on this occasion I attribute to the
fact of having been called to meet
the Asst District Engineer at Siccar
Penttoo in the evening and being
unable to arrive there in
time I was obliged to travel
as shown in my inspection Report
for June viz: 20 miles on the 24th
20 miles on the 25th & 12 miles on the
26th, I was thus precluded from
re-

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obed^t serv^t

forwarded -
Aug.
 7-1-76

and there, by which statement is meant

P. H. 2768/76

Forward letter from
the Supr. & Titlms
returning, with the
Monetary Certificate
& amount on the
subject of Quabary
bank and repairs

Recd 27/1/76
8:30 Jan 1/76

W.C.

W. L. R. R. R.
Jan 1/76

Letter No 642 of
18 Dec 1875
from Mr Pittswood

Enclosure in letter
from the Supr. Engineer
Supt. & Titlms
Secretary
13 April 1876.

No 909.

Camp. Tadema, Ind. 1/76
26: February 1876.

The Superintending Engineer
of the

No 97

29: July 1875

To Suboversee Aguesamy Pile

Aguesamy Pile is directed
to report by return of Post, whether
the Contractor for the Edam
Tank Bank has executed any work
since last payment viz: on 5: October 1874, and whether he has
sectioned the bank to the
& sent of work done by him, if so, and
the quantity of work done, the
length of bank sectioned should
be reported to this office, so as
to reach this office by the 2: August
at latest.

(Signed) C. J. Littlewood
to his Secy
No 10 Range Officer in hand
(True Copy).

as it will be observed on a perusal of
the Contract bond that another item of
work is included in the Agreement, i.e.
to place earth found to be required here,
and there by which statement is meant

No 909.

Camp Tadumagandy.
26th February 1876.

The Superintending Engineer
Tanjore

B

No 99
1875-76

11/2/76
Sir

The officer in charge
N 4 Range

With reference to your Memo No 99 dated
29th July 1875, I beg to report that Yadiar
contractor has since last payment few
cubits of earthenwork and not sectioning & I shall
send the same as soon as the work is completed

Memorandum, I beg
to inform
therein,
the repairs
had been
original
I
about and
with his
provisions
- he regard
to his
in hand
only for

2/8/75
Arboudanghy

(True copy.)

Signed M. Agnesammy
A. J. O. O. O.

Superintendent
Arboudanghy

as it will be observed on a perusal of
the Contract bond that another item of
work is included in the Agreement, i.e.
to place earth found to be required here,
and there by which statement is made

P. H. 27
2

No. 909.

Camp Tadmara, Ind. 9
26th February 1876.

To,

The Superintending Engineer
Tanjore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Memorandum No. 69 under date 17th ultimo, I beg most respectfully to state, that when the Contract bond attended to therein, was taken from Kishna Eyre, the repairs to the Ezdayam Tank bund had been unnecessarily delayed by the original Contractor (Ezdayam Pillay) & accordingly cancelled his Contract and entered into an agreement with Kishna Eyre for completing the repairs needed in accordance with the provisions of the sanctioned Estimate - In regard to the sum of Rs. 201. allowed to Kishna Eyre, I beg to state that I never intended to pay that amount solely for Stationing, Camping, and Clearing Jungle, as it will be observed on a perusal of the Contract bond that another item of work is included in the Agreement, i.e. to place earth found to be required here and there by which statement is meant

Subject of Ezdayam
Tank bund repairs

P. H. 27

26th Feb. 1876

P. H. 27

2/8/76

2.6.76

in Secretary

very respectfully

that Kishore Dey, should, in addition
to the other items described in the agree-
-ment fill up all gullies with fresh spoil,
trim up the former Contractor's work &
Complete it in accordance with the
Estimated measurements; on fulfil-
-ling these conditions the Contractor
would be entitled to receive the full
amount viz: Rupees 201 —

In reply to the second part of
the above quoted memorandum I have
to state that our award per Cubic Yard
for Earthwork was allowed, because the
Contractor would not undertake to ex-
-cute the balance work for a less rate
which I trust will not be found too high,
Especially when it is considered that
the agreement had reference to a work
left & posed to the action of our season's
monsoon, in an incomplete condition
and one ^{the} greater portion of which had been
Carried out by a former Contractor, I have
also to mention that the original Contractor
promised undertaking the repairs for
one pie per Cubic Yard, but our Dro
Supervisor Hanne to the then Range
officer promising to have the rate in-
-creased to our award per Cubic Yard

13
the Contractor commenced the work
fully expecting to receive the increased
rate, which would have been paid
to him had he pushed on the work and
Completed it at a favorable, & within a
reasonable time —

In January 1875 the increased
rate of one anna per Cubic Yard was
sanctioned when the original Contractor
- for appeared and claimed the
difference of rate for work performed.
The bill was made out by Sub-assessors
Jaganatha Rao and submitted for
payment which I refused to adjust
as I ascertained from the accounts re-
-ferred that the Contractor had done
nothing during a period of six months
and that the Reclamation required to the
work already paid for, had not been
Carried out. I accordingly allowed him
an extension of time viz: from January
to the close of July 1875 at the same
time warning him that unless the
work was fully Completed by that time
another Contractor would be tendered
for the incomplete portions and the
balance of Estimate paid to the latter.

With regard to the statement
that the first Contractor had actually
done 20090½ Cubic Yards in July 1875

Translations

Contract agreement executed on 3rd August 1875 by Kistnien, son of Nayanarivu residing at Paravooru, Puttucotta Talug to Mr C. J. Littlewood Officer in Charge of the Madamargudi Range on behalf of Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

Having agreed to carry out the balance of the estimate of Rs 1320 of 1874-75 sanctioned for repairing Yediyaur Tank bund in Puttucotta Talug, viz, 2610 Cubic Yards of Earth work at 1 anna per Cubic Yard Rs 163-2-0 Sectioning, tamping, sloping and clearing/mugling Rs 201, amounting in all to Rs 364-2-0 after deducting 18048 1/2 Cubic Yards of Earth work executed by the previous Contractor Yagambra Munia Pillay, and having obtained copy of the estimate for the same, I bind myself to

To Mr. C. J. Littlewood
No 11 of 8-3-76
P. H. 27/18/76

Mr. C. J. Littlewood
The 1st Range Officer
Sampore
No -

Recd 29 Feb 76
No 14 March 1876

Forward letter No 909
dated 26 February 76
to the 2nd Range Officer
Sampore giving
certain explanation
regarding the
Tank repairs.

Enclosure in letter
from the Deputy Registrar,
Madras to the Secretary
to Govt. No. 690, dated
13 April 1876.

Letter No 909 dated
26 February 1876
with 2 enclosures from
Mr Littlewood -

to commence the work from this date
and complete it within the
31st October next, and receive the
amount due to me on the work
being examined. I further bind
myself to complete the earth
work fully as per Estimate
also to put earth wherever it
may be required and to execute
the Sectioning, Sloping, Raining
and clearing jungle as
per instructions of the Range
Officer and Sub Oversee^r
and to receive payment, which
may be due to me after the
work has been examined
and to redo the rejected portion
of work which may not be
done according to instructions,
at my own expense, and
also to receive payment
for work done which may
be passed by the Range Officer
according to the rate above
given

13
given If the work is not completed
within the fixed time, I bind myself
to pay a fine of Rs 35 and forfeit
my Contract and will make
good all loss that may happen
by having the work completed by
another Contractor or department.
I will not excavate pits for the
above work within 10 yards from
the bank, and will not sublet
the work. If there may be any
discrepancy in the measurement
of the work, I shall finally accept
the measurement and decision
of the Range Officer, and shall not
appeal to higher authority. I make
this agreement with my Consent.

(Signed) Kistnu
Witnesses.

(Signed) Saminadu Pillay
Range Officer Writer
and another
Signed in my presence - 3rd August 1875
(Signed) C. J. Littlewood
Range Officer

Translated by

Mr. S. S. S. S. S.
Manager District
Engineer's Office

Translation
Lieut. Col. R.
S. S. S. S. S.
Superintendent Engineer

No. 620

Superintendent's Office
Tanjore 13th April 1876

From

Lieut Colonel R. F. Cates M.E.
Superintending Engineer Tanjore

To

Major General G. W. Walker M.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward
the papers noted in the margin and to
solicit the orders of Government
relative to the payment of a
sum of Rs. 201 to Contractor
Kistner under a contract executed
by Mr Supervisor Littlewood who
is in Charge of Range W. 4 and
which the Contractor claims
under his Contract, tho' the proper
value of the portion of work executed
by him and for which the Contract
allows Rs. 201 is Rs. 47.4-0

The circumstances connected
with the repair of the Yedayalur tank
are briefly as follows.

The

P. N. 2768/76

From Mr. R. F. Cates
Superintending Engineer
Tanjore. By G. W. Walker
13th April 1876

Enclosure of
Contract.

The original estimate was submitted by Mr Hammett, then the Range Officer of No. 4, on 12 May 1874, the rate for Earth work being entered at 1 Anna per Cubic Yard. The estimate was sanctioned on 8 July 1874 the rate for Earth work having been reduced by Major Read, then District Engineer, to 10 pice per Cubic Yard. The work was given to one Yagambra Vunnee Pillay in May 1874 for execution in anticipation of the sanction of the Estimate, but no contract agreement was taken from him. Rupees 580 were paid out of Rs 682.7.2 the value of work done up to 29 July 1874 at the rate of 10 pice per Cubic Yard and a further sum of Rs 220 was paid on 5 October 1874, reserving Rs 140-0.5 to be paid after examination by Range Officer. In October Mr Hammett demonstrated at the reduction of the rate by the District Engineer and the rate was again increased to 1 Anna per Cubic Yard by Mr Leggatt, then in charge of the Office, in February 1875, Mr Littlewood having in the meantime succeeded to the charge of the Range.

Mr Littlewood while in charge of the Range from November 1874 to 14 August 1875 never visited the Tank nor did he make any payments for work executed but on the 3rd August 1875 he gave a contract to one Kistner without satisfying himself as to the quantity of work remaining to be done. In this contract he states that 2610 Cubic Yards remaining to complete the estimate are to be executed at 1 Anna per Cubic Yard and allows Rs 201 for sectioning, tamping sloping clearing jungle &c. this in fact being the balance of the estimate (Rs 15 having been charged for a Maistry) the first contractor having been paid only 10 pice per Cubic Yard but having failed to section or trim up the bank. It now appears that the original Contractor had executed 20232 Cubic Yards of work out of 20658 provided for in the estimate leaving 426 Cubic Yards to complete the work and not 2610 as stated in the 2nd contract; the second Contractor has executed 481 7/8 Cubic Yards of work and may be paid for them at 1 Anna per Cubic Yard but

but Rs 201 having been allowed for sectioning
tamping clearing jungle &c without
any rate having been allowed for
specific items his claim for Rs 201
cannot be legally resisted.

In making the 2nd Contract
Mr Littlewood supposed that 15045½
Cubic Yards of work had been
executed out of 20,658 and hence
must have been aware that far
the larger portion of the bank must
have been repaired & that the jungle
on that portion, if cleared at all,
must already have been cleared
and yet he included the item for
Jungle Clearing in the contract
with the 2nd Contractor.

Mr Littlewood in his No. 909
of 26 February 1876 endeavours to throw
the blame for his own ignorance
of what had been done to the Tank
& for the execution of the 2nd Contract
on Sub Overseer Agriaswamy Pillay
but as stated in my No. 341 in
reply, it is quite impossible that
Mr Littlewood could have acted
upon any thing so vague as the
Sub

15
Sub Overseers report and it is quite
clear from the dates of the document
that Mr Littlewood could not have
received the Sub Overseers letter when
he made the Contract with Kistner
on the 3rd August. I am of opinion
that Mr Littlewood having for
10 months entirely neglected the tank
should not, a month after he had
been informed of his contemplated
relief of the Charge of the Range, have
entered into a Contract for the
completion of the work with a new Contractor
and certainly not such a Contract
as that enclosed.

I must further add in
connection with this work that on
the receipt of a Bill for the work
executed by the 2nd Contractor in
the Range Office the 2nd Accountant,
to whom the Bill was given to
be checked, made certain alterations
and entries in it in black ink
in favor of the Contractor with
a view to deceive the Sub Engineer
and

and to make it appear that the Sub
Overseer had made the entries in
the Bill. I have degraded him
and reduced his salary from
Rupees 25 to Rs 15 and would
have dismissed him but
that I was not satisfied that
he had not consulted the account-
ant of the Naing tho the latter
denied that he had been consulted
relative to the alteration made

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient Servt

J. F. Drake Gentl Collr

Supy Magr in Tanjore

N^o 1068 *W. J.*
Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 28th April/76.

Read the following:—

From the Superintending Engineer 2 H.R. No. 620 of 13th April/76.
Tanjore 3

The payment of Rupees 210 to Kistnirn, a Contractor, in connection with the repair of the Gadayanur tank is sanctioned, but as it appears that the value of the work done is stated by the Superintending Engineer to be Rupees 47-4-0 only, the Government consider that the Contractor

S. M. 1871 *Ref. at hand*
From *28-2-76*
The Superintending
Engineer
Tanjore
To the Secretary to Govt.

No 620

Dated 13th April 1976
Recd *19th April*
Encl^y 1/1

Soliciting orders of
cost relative to the
payment of a sum
of Rs. 200 to Contractor
Kistnirn for work
done by him in
repairing Gadayanur
tank.

N^o 422

Cir. 26.476

Recd 26-7-76 15-4-76

should be offered
the option of accepting
the amount fairly
due, or of being
debarred from
future employment.

2. The proceedings
of Mr. Supervisor
Littlewood in enter-
ing into a fresh
contract for an
unfinished work
without satisfying
himself as to the
extent of work
actually performed
by the former Contractor
are very reprehensible,
and his omission to
inspect and check the
work done is a
neglect of duty which
should be considered
by the Chief Engineer

¹⁹ hereafter
P. W. D. in deciding
whether Mr. Littlewood
should be promoted.
There is one point
moreover referred
to in the correspondence
which requires to be
more fully explained.
Mr. Littlewood wrote
on the 29th July 1875
to Local Engineer
overseer Agnesawmy
Pillay to know
whether any work
had been done to
the tank by the
former contractor
after the 5th October
1874. The overseer's
answer is dated
2nd August and the
new contract was
entered into on
the 3rd August. Mr.

Littlewood, who it
may be noticed should
not have entered into
a definite contract
on indefinite in-
formation, states
that he was misled
by the Overseer's letter
as to the quantity
of work remaining
to be done, while the
Superintending Engineer
shows that from the
dates and places
the Supervisor must
have entered into
the agreement before
receiving a reply
to his letter of the
29th July. Avantanghy
is about 5.6 miles
from Nedamangalam
and the question
whether greater blame

than mere carelessness
would involve should
be attributed depends
upon whether the dis-
crepancy between
Mr Littlewood's re-
presentations and the
probable facts is
capable of satisfactory
explanation.

Aug. 25/14

G.M.M.

Note - It is the in-
tent of the M.
Prof. to induce Mr.
Littlewood from
the 1st to the 2nd.
Grade of Supervision
if not to Overseer
1st Grade - The
Quarterly Reports
concerning him
have long been
most interesting
-

D.E. Sanjiv ✓
En. T. W. ✓
C. E. P. W. ✓
C. E. for S. ✓

Sept 10/5/16

2768

Govt. of 1876 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd

Encl.

G. O. 28th Dec 1876, No.

Nos. 1, 2, 2/23-

Gas-lamp Tank -

Simon St. - Passes

orders on the claim pre-
ferred by a Contractor for
the repair of the —

under a contract ex-
ecuted by Mr. Superior
Littlewood -