

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th April 1875.*

No. 247. Read the following letter from Major J. BEATTY, R.E., District Engineer, Godavery, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 18th March 1875, No. 3,945:—

WITH reference to the remark in paragraph 2 of G. O., No. 263-AA, of 1st ultimo, that the "completion of the southern groyne" at Cocanada had "progressed very slowly," I have the honor to forward the Executive Engineer's explanation.

2. Greater progress could no doubt have been made by offering high rates for stone, but this is inexpedient, and the remark in question was possibly made in reference to the expenditure which, for reasons given, has necessarily been small.

From Captain R. R. E. BROCKMAN, R.E., Executive Engineer, No. 1 or Eastern Delta Range, Godavery District, to Major J. BEATTY, R.E., District Engineer, Godavery, dated Camp at Dowlaishweram, 15th March 1875, No. 612.

WITH reference to paragraph 2 of G. O., No. 263-AA, of 1st February last, relative to the slow progress of the Cocanada south groyne, I have the honor to report that the progress of the work should not be judged from the expenditure incurred, as the rates at which the work hitherto done has been carried out are much below those allowed in the sanctioned estimate. The earthwork for backing the groynes for instance is allowed for in the estimate at 4 Annas per cubic yard, but owing to the spoil from the river dredging having been utilized for this item the cost has not exceeded 1 Anna 6 Pies per cubic yard. In the item of rough stone there has also been a small saving on the rate, but this on the extent of work done and remaining to be done will finally amount to a pretty good sum.

No. 248. ORDER THEREON, 13th April 1875, No. 975.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 16.

RECORDED.

2. The explanation given is most satisfactory.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, District Engineer, Godavery.
,, Marine Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE, GODAVERY.

DOWLAISHWARUM, 18th March 1875.

No. 3945

From

Major J. Beatty, R. E.

District Engineer

Godavery District

To

Colonel G. W. Walker R. E.

Secretary to Government D. P. W.

MADRAS

SIR,

With reference to the remark in para 2 of G. O. No. 263 A. S. of 1st ultimo, that the "completion of the southern groyne" at Cocanada had "progressed very slowly", I have the honor to forward the Executive Engineer's explanation.

2. Greater progress could, no doubt, have been made by offering high rates for stone; but this is inexpedient, and the remark in question was possibly made in reference to the expenditure which, for reasons given, has necessarily been small.

I have the honor to be,

Your most ^{Sir,} obedient servant.

J. Beatty, Major R. E.

District Engineer Godavery.

Recorded 7/25
The explanation given is most
satisfactory

1947
Govt. of 1875 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Chief Engineer

Dated

Irrigation

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 13 April 1875, No. 978

Nos. 247-248.

Southern Province, Coimbatore

Letter from the St. Engineer,
Subsidiary, submitting
explanation with
reference to the remark
in G. O. No. 3145 of 1874
on the progress of the
work, recorded; ex-
planation considered
most satisfactory.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th April 1875.

No. 249. Read the following endorsement of the Chief Engineer for Irriga-
tion, dated Fort St. George, 20th March 1875, No. 316:—

From W. A. GOODCHAP, Esq., District Engineer, Kurnool, to Lieutenant-Colonel
J. MULLINS, R.E., Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Camp at Kurnool,
27th February 1875, No. 9.

I HAVE the honor to report that an estimate, amounting to Rupees 695, for
repairs to the Kanchipalle Rungareddy tank, situated in the Cumbum Taluq,
Cumbum Range, was sanctioned by the Collector in October 1873.

2. It appears from a letter, No. 107, dated 30th May 1874, and a comparative
statement received from the Cumbum Range Office, that the estimate was exceeded
by Rupees 558-7-8.

3. As I was under the impression that G. O., No. 3,145, dated 5th November
1874, disposed of the revised estimates for the Kanchipalle Rungareddy tank, no
action was taken in this office with reference to this portion of the work.

4. On receipt, however, of a completion certificate* from the Overseer in
charge of the range, and on referring
to old records in this office, it was found
that that portion of the estimate for closing breaches had no reference with the
Government Order recorded above.

5. I request you will be good enough to sanction the excess, Rupees 558-7-8,
as Mr. Bell reports that "in closing the breach and carrying out the repairs the
excess in quantity was unavoidable, being due to the breach becoming just double
the length of the old one and the revetment of tank itself in many places had
tumbled down."

SUBMITTED to Government.

In G. O., No. 3,145, of the 5th November 1874, the bad management attend-
ing the construction of a sluice at Rungareddy tank was noticed, and it now
appears that at the same time great excess expenditure on repairs was taking
place. It is considered that some of the rates shown in the comparative statement
are very high, e. g., 3 Annas for earth work. Again, new-stone revetment in
excess of the sanctioned quantity has been executed, and this is inexcusable without
the sanction of the District Engineer on explanation given.

2. The present District Engineer has already had his attention called to
the need of thorough reform, and as the work was done before he took charge it
is not necessary to make further remark upon it.

(Signed) JOHN MULLINS, Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation,

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th April 1875.

No. 250.

ORDER THEREON, 13th April 1875, No. 976.

IRRIGATION, No. 29.

THE excess expenditure, amounting
to Rupees 558-7-8, on the Rungareddy
tank, Kurnool District, may, under the
circumstances brought to notice, be passed.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Kurnool.
,, Controller of Public Works Accounts.
,, Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

No. 9

District Engineer's Office,
Kurnool

The 27 February 1875

From,

The District Engineer,

Kurnool

To,

The Chief Engineer

for Irrigation

Madras

enclosures,

Subject: Excess over a sanctioned estimate

Sir,

I have the honor to report that
an estimate amounting to Rs. 695 for
repairs to the Ranchipalli Rungareddy
Tank situated in the Cumbum Taluk
Cumbum Range was sanctioned by
the Collector in October 1873.

2 It appears from a letter No. 107
dated 30 May 1874 and a Comparative
Statement received from the Cumbum
Range Office that the estimate was exceeded
by Rupees 558-7-8.

3 As I was under the impression
that G. O. No. 3145 dated 5 November 1874
disposed of the revised estimates for the

Kanchepalle Rungareddy Sanku, no action
was taken, in this Office with reference to
this portion of the work.

4 On receipt however of a Completion ^{& herewith}
Certificate from the Overseer in charge of ^{forwarded}
the Range, and on referring to old records ^{to be returned}
in this Office, it was found that, that
portion of the estimate for closing breaches
had no reference with the G. O. ^{provided above}.

5 I request you will be good enough
to sanction the excess Rs. 558-7-8, as
Mr. Bell reports that "In closing the
breach and carrying out the repairs the
excess in quantity was unavoidable
being due to the breach becoming just
double the length of the old one and the
revetment of tank itself in many places
had tumbled down."

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

W. H. Goodchap
District Engineer.

Compd. G. S.

To Govt No 3163 20th March
1875

Dist No 116
1874-75 (Reg)

From,
The District Engineer
Kurnool.

To,
The Chief Engineer
for
Irrigation

No. 9 In 9/3
Dated 27th February 1875.
Received 3rd March
ENCLOSURE 2.

Subject. Kanchipalle
Rangareddi Tank

Submits for
sanction a longitu-
dinal section and
Comparative State-
ment of Repairs
executed.

P.N. 2073/75

90-130 July 75
in B.N. 249

No. 316

Submitted to Government.

In G.O. No 3145 of the 5th November
1874 the local management attending
the construction of a liner at Ranga-
reddy Tank was noticed, & it now
appears that at the same time great
excess expenditure on repairs was
taking place. It is considered that
some of the rates shown in the Com-
parative Statement are very high
e.g. 3 annas for earth-work. Again
new stone revetment in excess of the
sanctioned quantity has been executed
& this is inexplicable without the sanction
of the District Engineer on explanation
given.

2. The present District Engineer
has already had his attention called
to the need of thorough reform, & as
the work was done before he took
charge it is not necessary to

make

make further remarks upon it.

Fort St George 2^d Lt. Col. R.R. }
20th March 1852. Chief Engr. for Engrs. }

To
The Secretary to Government
P.W. Department

P.N. 1875 Dplc.
No. 2073.

No 316 13

From
The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

To The Secretary to Govt.
P.W.D.

Dated 20th March 1875
Received 29th 3

1 Encl. 3-

Submits for sanction
a comparative statement
for repair works expended
to Rengareddy Tank
Kurnool District -

G.O. No. 946. 13-4-75

605 Nov. 74 No 3145
75 Oct. 73 " 2515

N-249

631-3-75 R 2-4-75

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

Read the following

From

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

97h
(H. E. No 316 of 20 March 1875)

The excess expenditure
amounting to Rs. 55-8-7, on
the Rengareddy Tank,
Kurnool District, may
under the circumstances
brought to notice be passed.

Yrs. 24/3/75

[Signature]

32
4
D. Eng. Kurnool
Controller of Accounts
Chief Inspr. Secy. with 2 Enclosures

5
5
5
Propd. - 14-4-75

20th 3
G.O. of 1875 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Int Engineer
Hurwarth

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 13 April 1875, No. 576

Nos. 249-250.

Rungareddy Tank, Kurnool

Dist. - The excep ex-
penditure on the —,
passed —