

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd March 1875.*

No 93 Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J F FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Colonel G W WALKER, R E, Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp at Cumbum, 1st February 1875, No. 4,476 —

In continuation of my letter No. 4,080, under date the 24th December 1874,

- 1 Letter from the Executive Engineer, Dindigul Range, No 1,232, dated 12th January 1875
1 Copy of Mr Hawksworth's letter No 184-306, dated 15th July 1873, with the District Engineer's endorsement thereon, No 1,643, of the 19th idem

affording the information required in G O, No 2,786, of the 2nd October 1874, remarking on the Inspection Report of the Deputy Controller of Public Works Accounts in connection with the accounts of the Madura and

Dindigul Ranges, I have the honor to submit, for the information of Government, the accompanying explanation from the Executive Engineer, Dindigul Range, regarding the employment of a Local Fund Overseer's writer in his Range.

2 It will be observed from the enclosed copy of Colonel Roberts' endorsement to Mr Hawksworth, No 1,643, of the 19th July 1873, that the appointment of a Local Fund writer has not been sanctioned, although the Range Officer had applied for the same

3 Moreover, Mr. Hawksworth is perfectly aware of the Proceedings* of the

* Published as second supplement to the *Madura District Gazette*, dated 27th September 1873. Local Fund Board, Dindigul Circle, No 84, dated 26th August 1873, declining to sanction the entertainment of a writer to the Local Fund Overseer attached to the Dindigul Range

4. Under these circumstances, Mr. Hawksworth was not justified in having retained the services of the writer after the receipt of such distinct orders on the subject

5 I regret to add Mr. Hawksworth is not very careful in referring to orders sent to him for guidance, and he does not obey these without giving a great deal of very unnecessary trouble in correspondence and waste of time His records also are not kept complete though he has been repeatedly ordered to make these up.

No 94 ORDER THEREON, 3rd March 1875, No. 607.

ACCOUNT, No 10.

THE Order in paragraph 2 of G. O., No 233, of 26th January, regarding recovery from Mr. Hawksworth of the pay of the Local Fund writer referred to will be enforced.

(True Extract)

Colonel, R E,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Madura
,, Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Ltd S. T Austin.

Spare Copies

District Engineers Office
 (Camp) Cumbum 18 February 1875

From

Lieutenant Colonel J. Y. Fisher R.E.
 District Engineer of Madras

To

Colonel C. W. Walker R.E.
 Secretary to Government D.P.P.
 Madras.

Sir,

2. Enclosures viz

1. Letter

from the Executive

Engineer Dindigul No 4080

dated 12 January 75

1 copy of the Memo-

rial No 3786, of the 23 October 74, remarking on

with the District

Engineer Dindigul

memo thereon

No 1643 of the 19th

Sept

In continuation of my letter,
 under date the 24 December 74, ref.
 Range No 12321 forwarding the information required in C.O.
 the Inspection Report of the Deputy Com-
 piler of P.W. Accounts in connection
 with the Accounts of the Madras & Cen-
 tral Ranges, I have the honor to sub-
 mit for the information of Government
 the accompanying Explanation from the
 Executive Engineer Dindigul Range re-
 garding the employment of a Local Fund
 Overseer's Writer in his Range

2. It will be observed from the enclosed copy of Col Roberts Endorsements to Mr Standenworth, No 43 of the 19th July 178, that the appointment of a Local Funds Writer has not been sanctioned altho' the Range officer had applied for the same

3 Moreover Mr. Frankworth is per-
fectly aware of the Proceedings of the Local
Fund Board, Dindigul Circle, No 84, dated
26 August 73, declining to sanction the
entertainments of a wife to the Local Fund
overseer attached to the Dindigul Range -
H. J. M.

Under these circumstances Mr. Staikeworth was not justified in having retained the services of the Worker after the receipt of such distinct orders on the Subject.

5 I regret to add Mr. Hawthornthill
is not very careful in referring to orders
sent to him for guidance and he does not
obey them without giving a great deal
of very unnecessary trouble in correspondence
and

and waste of time. His views also
are not kept complete tho' he has
been repeatedly I have the honor to be
introduced to me Sir
Yours &c
Thos. A. S.

Your most obedt. Son
Wm. T. Tucker ^{Leut. Col.} 68

District Engineer
Maine

P. W. Departe

Proceedings of the Madras East.

Read the following

From
 The S. Engineer
 Madras } (H. E. Departe 4476 of 14
 607 July: 1875.)

The Order in para 2
 of G. O. No. 233 of 26 Janu-
 -ary regarding recovery
 from Mr. Ward Roworth
 of the pay of the Local
 Land Writer referred to
 will be enforced.

To The H. E. Madras East 2 Encls. 1
 - Controller of P. W. A.

Departe 4-8-75

P. W. Departe
 1875
 from 4476 of 14
 607

The District Engineer
 of Madras

Reports regarding
 the Madras East
 No 4476 of 14
 607

Order 1st of January 75
 Para 2

Reports regarding
 the Madras East
 No 4476 of 14
 607

in service of a number
 of the Local Land
 Writer referred to
 will be enforced.

To The H. E. Madras East 2 Encls. 1
 - Controller of P. W. A.

810

Gobt. of 1875 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Dist Engineer
Madras

Date

Recd

Encl

6 0.3 Mar 1875, No

607

Nos. 92-94

Mr. Hawksworth - P. Esq.
Madras

The order in para 2
of No 22233 of 26th
January regarding re-
covery from Mr Hawksworth
of the pay of the Local
Sundb Worker to be
enforced —