

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	3500	Press	Original
B.P. No.		Mis.	
Dated	10 - 12 -	1875	-195-

Not to be folded or roughly handled

FROM

THE CONTROLLER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS.

To

*The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.*FORT SAINT GEORGE, 1st October 1875.*Sir*

With reference to G.O. N^o. 2919 dated October 15th 1875 I have the honor to point out that the present Local Fund Establishment of the Overseers in the Ilacadura District is as follows.

	1 st grade	2 nd grade	3 rd grade
Employed in Zamindaris —	2	0	0
— Do. — Generally —	1	0	6
Total —	3	0	6
		0	9

o Vide List printed
with the Rev. B^o
Proceedings N^o. 5870
27th August 1875.

2. The District Engineer in his letter N^o. 2623 dated October 1st 1875 apparently suggested that the Establishment should consist as follows.

	1 st grade.	2 nd grade.	3 rd grade.
Employed in Zamindaris —	2	0	0
— Do. — Generally —	6	3	6
Total —	8	3	6

17

Ins

3. In the order above quoted, Government state that six Sub. Overseers are allowed one for each talug of the Madura District, two of the 1st, two of the 2nd and two of the 3^d grade and though not specifically mentioned I conclude that this Establishment is intended as in the former order to be exclusive of the two Local Fund Sub. overseers employed in Zimindaris, and inclusive of the Overseer now employed in the Peracolum Talug.

4. I have now to inquire whether the Establishment now stated as allowed is intended to be in addition to the number already entertained thus bringing the total Establishment to the following.

	1 st Grade	2 nd Grade	3 ^d Grade
Present Establishment			
as in para 1	3	0	6
Now Sanctioned	1	2	2
Total	4	2	8

14

The District Engineer, from a communication lately received from him, evidently considers this Establishment last sanctioned as additional and I was inclined from G.O. N^o. 2619 to agree

with him but as this point is not altogether clear from the wording of the last order N^o. 2949 I think it better to refer the point. If additional, the expenditure involved will fall entirely on Provincial Funds.

5. To remove all doubt on points such as is now referred to Government, I would suggest that in all future cases in which Establishment is sanctioned, the total scale sanctioned be shown in the orders contrasted with the previous Scale.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servant
Lowry-Jordon
Controller P. W. Accts.

P.W. 1875 Sept
No 7031

From
The Controller of P.W. Accounts
To
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort St. George

Dated 1st
Recd. 2nd } November 1875

No 120 W.

Remarking on the Local
and Establishment of the Overseers
in the Madras District

G.O. No 3500. 10.12.75.

No 3186 District Engineer's office
Madras 6 November 1875

From,

Lieut. Col. J. Fischer R.E.
District Engineer

To
Major General G.W. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government
P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir,

The Controller having sent me a
copy of his letter to Government No 120 W. 1st
November 1875, I have the honor to observe
that the Establishment I had suggested
is not exactly as given in para 2 of his
letter viz:—

Employed in zemindaries	1 st Grade	2 nd	3 rd
— Do — Generally —	2	—	—
but as below			17.
Employed in zemindaries	1 st G.	2 nd G.	3 rd G.
— Do — Generally —	2	—	—
	6	3	—

I would gladly omit all the 3rd grade as their
pay is only that of ordinary Maistries but
3 men of the 2nd grade would be very useful
in the larger taluqs of the District and on

No 176

P.W. 1875 Sept
No 7031

From
The Controller of P.W. Accounts
To
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort St. George

Dated 1st
Recd. 2nd } November 1875

No 120 W.

Remarking on the Local
and Establishment of the Overseers
in the Madras District

G.O. No 3500. 10.12.75.

No 3186 District Engineer's office
Madras 6 November 1875

From,

Lieut. Col. J. Fischer R.E.
District Engineer
Madras

To
Major General G.W. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government
P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir,

The Controller having sent me a
copy of his letter to Government No 120 W. 1st
November 1875, I have the honor to observe
that the Establishment I had suggested
is not exactly as given in para 2 of his
letter viz:—

Employed in zemindaries	1 st Grade	2 nd Grade	3 rd Grade
— Do — Generally	2	3	6
but as below			
Employed in zemindaries	1 st Grade	2 nd Grade	3 rd Grade
— Do — Generally	2	3	6

I would gladly omit all the 3rd grade as their
pay is only that of ordinary Maistries but
3 men of the 2nd grade would be very useful
in the larger taluqs of the District and on

No 176

the important road to the coast to
 Keelakuray, this establishment I asked
 for in order to equalize the work and
 duties as much as possible and as already
 pointed out in my letter of the 20th ultimo
 to the group of the Chief Sup.
 No 2952 that if we can make the roads
 sufficiently good so as to reduce the cost
 of transport to the coast 1 anna a ton
 a mile we shall be saving Rs. 1.20 a ton
 on all exports and imports at St. Pauls
 of Rupees a year on 100,000 tons carried
 on our District roads no great trade
 for so large fertile and populous a
 District as Madurai to carry on.

1850
 Transport
 Feb-28/1850

1850
 1850/1851
 1851/1852

3. I beg to add to have suspended
 the order appointing the new establish-
 -ment as requested by the Controller (D.O.P.).

I have the honor to be
 Sir
 Your most obedt servt
 Wm. T. French Esq. with Coll. A. G.
 District Engineer Madurai.

Cib-12-75

Depts already
Local Funds
Exchequer

Printed & bound
Received 19

to Secretary to
Government

The District Engineer
Madras

From
No 3186
No 7182

P.W. 1875-76

G.O. No 3500. 10.12.75

No 19600

No 3500

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 10 Decr 75

Read the following:-

From
The Controller of P.W. (No 1204 d/11 Nov 75)
a District Engineer Madras (No 3186 - b -)

It is observed that the
confusion and uncertainty
brought to notice by the
Controller are attributable
solely to the District Engineer
inattention to Government
orders. He was told in G.O.
No 1018 of 16 April 1875 that
he had not laid the re-
quirements of his District
clearly before Government,
and was called on to submit
a statement showing the
allotment of Upper and Lower
Subordinates to each Taluk.
His statement accorded
with

with G.O. No 1365 of 13th May
mentioned only 3 Sub-Officers
1st grade as having been in-
terained in Madras District,
omitting notice of 6 Sub-Officers of a lower (i.e. 3rd grade) interained in accord-
ance with Revenue Dept
Order No 2155 of 23rd July
1869, although it had been
distinctly intimated by Rev
Dept Order No 1038 of 20 June
that all Local Fund Officers
and Masters were thence-
forward to be styled Sub-
Officers.

The upshot of the matter
is that the Madras District
has long been fully supplied
with a lower subordinate

to numbers of that sanctioned
in G.O. No 2619 of 13th September,
and no alteration of existing
arrangements can now be
allowed until orders shall
have been passed on the
information called for in
G.O. No 3094 of 1st Nov/75
which must be complete and
correct.

G.M.

At Madras
Controller

22-12-75

5
Dec 17

12th Pro: No 5870 9/10 Aug 1869
 28th 11th - 1000/24 108 1000
 24th April 202
 13th July 107
 14th April 175 330-331
 13th May 293-294
 13th Sept 269-272
 15th Oct 247-248
 14th Nov 180

1031 / 1182
 Govt. of 1875 Madras.
 PUBLIC WORKS
 T. G. E. Madura
 1/2/11

Date:
 Issued:
 Recd. E. &
 G. O. 8/10th Dec 1875. No. 3500

Nos. /

Local Fund Establishment
of the Porters in the Madras
Dist ——— Submitting the
Allocation of the Existing Arrangements
Front in ——— Can be made
 until orders have been passed
 on the information called for
 in f.O. No 3094 of 1st Nov 1875

No 176-177