M.R.O. 1—10,006—28-2-50.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	3468-69.	Press / Mis.	Original
Dated	7-12-1875	195 .	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 109. Read the following letter from Captain L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Controller of Public Works Accounts, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Fort St. George, 26th November 1875, No. 154 W:—

WITH reference to Government Order, No. 3,062, of 28th October 1875, I have the honor to state that there is only one range in this Presidency which can be regarded as a strictly Irrigation Range, namely, the Pennair Anicut Range. That is to say, there is only one range in which so much of the rules laid down by the Government of India as prescribe any change in the present system could be made applicable.

2. It would seem from paragraph 5 of the Resolution by the Government of India, that the arrangement under which all Imperial Works are to be charged 25 per cent., still holds good in *mixed divisions*; and, as it is recognized that all the divisions in Madras are *mixed* divisions, it does not seem necessary to disturb the existing system of apportioning establishment charges in this one range.

3. If these rules are accepted, there are two points which should be definitely settled:—

(*a.*) Are the percentages to be calculated, as at present, on the Budget Grants in the event of the latter being greater than the outlay?

(*b.*) Is the cost of land to be included in the outlay on which percentages are calculated?

Rule No. VIII. may be intended to anticipate both these questions, and to answer them in the negative, but this is by no means clear; for *land* in the prescribed classification is a sub-head of *works*, and the omission of any reference to *grants* may be an oversight.

Nos. 110 & 111. ORDER THEREON, 7th December 1875, No. 3,468.

IRRIGATION, No. 4-A.
Establishment.

FOR the reasons given by the Controller it does not seem necessary to disturb existing arrangements for apportioning establishment charges.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council observes that in accepting the proposals of the Government of India in regard to the distribution of establishment charges it was distinctly stipulated* that the percentage payable by Imperial Funds should be calculated on the grant or outlay *whichever may be greater*. And there seems nothing in the rules promulgated with G.O., No. 3,062, dated 28th October 1875, which can be considered as making any change in this arrangement.

*G.O., No. 3,583, dated 19th December 1874.

3. In respect to the second point (*b*) upon which the Controller requests orders, *viz.*, whether the cost of land is to be included in the calculation of percentages, the Government of India will be referred to.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

No. 112. (DOCKET No. 3,469, dated 7th December 1875.)

COPY of the above forwarded to the Government of India with reference to their Proceedings, No. 316-31 A.I., dated 27th September 1875.

(True Extract.)

Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

Erd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

(P. W. A. Form No. 2.)

No. 154 W

From

THE CONTROLLER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Fort Saint George, 26th November 1875.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. 3062 of 28th October 1875, I have the honor to state that there is only one Range in this Presidency which can be regarded as a strictly Irrigation Range, namely the Pennar Anicut Range. That is to say there is only one Range in which so much of the rules laid down by the Government of India as prescribe any change in the present system could be made applicable.

2. It would seem from para 5 of the Resolution by the Government of India, that the arrangement under which all Imperial Works are to be charged 25 per-cent, still holds good in Irrigal Divisions, and as it is recognized that all the divisions

divisions in Madras are Three(3) Divisions,
it does not seem necessary to disturb
the existing system of apportioning Establ-
-ishment charges in this one Ranges-

3- If these rules are accepted, there
are two points which should be definitely
settled.-

a- Are the percentages to be calculated,
as at present, on the budget figures
in the event of the latter being
greater than the outlay?

b- Is the cost of land to be includ-
-ed in the outlay on which per-
-centages are calculated.-

Rule No VIII may be intended to anticipate
both these questions, and to answer them in
the negative, but this is by no means clear;
for Land in the prescribed classification is a
sub-head of Works; and the omission of any
reference to funds may be overlooked.-

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt
Conway-Gordon.
Controller P.W. Accounts

Re 100 Decr/75 Nos 1-2 A
Re 12-Dec/75 Nos 428-439
Re 28-Dec/75 Nos 686-687

P. W. 1875 Dept
From No 4582

The Controller
P. W. Accounts

To

The Secretary to Govern-
ment.
P. W. Department

No. 154 W

Dated 26 November 1875
Rec'd 27/11/75

States that there is
only 1 Range in this
Presidency that can be
called an Irrigation
Range according to
the rules laid down by
the Govt of India.

No 109

G.O. No 3468-69. 7.12.75

Re 3468

Public Works Department.

Other

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

to

Under date the 7 Dec/75

Read the following:—

From

The Controller
P. W. Accounts

No. 154 W dy 28th Nov. 1875.

In the reasons
given by the Controller
it does not seem necessary
to disturb existing ar-
rangements for affording
Establishment Charges

2. His Excellency the
Governor in Council observes
that in accepting the
proposals of the Govt.
of India, in regard to
the

the distribution of Establishment Charges it was distinctly stipulated that the percentage payable by Imperial funds should be calculated on the grant or outlay which ever may be greater. And there seems nothing in the rules promulgated with G.O. No. 3082 dated 28th October 1875 which can be considered as making any change in this arrangement.

3. In respect to the second point (b) upon which the Controller requests

× G.O. 3588 d/19th Dec. 1874

requests orders, viz whether the cost of Landis to be included in the calculation of percentages, the Govt. of India will be referred to.

H.E. Docket No. 3469 d/7 Nov/75

No. 3469

Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt. of India with reference to their Proceedings No. 316-31 N.J. dated 27th Sept. 1875.

Resd - 16 - 12 - 75

Contr. of P.W. Accts
& Ch. Engr. for Irrign.
Fin. Dept.

COLLECTION
PUBLIC WORKS

1687

Court Records
Govt of India

Secy

34893469

Nov 109-112

Transcribe copy of Letter from the Collector of Poona dated 22nd Decr 1870 regarding the appointment of Charges for Irrigation Establishments during Capital Expenditure.

Establishment of Charges for Irrigation Establishments during Capital Expenditure.

Reading Letter from the Collector P. D. dated 22 December 1870