

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	3211	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	10 - 11	6875
		195

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
10th November 1875.*

No. 239. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department, dated 7th October 1875, No. 1,468:—

ABSTRACT.—*Tank Calingulahs in the Chingleput District*—Communicating to the Public Works Department Proceedings of the Board of Revenue respecting the clearance of —, and concurring with the Board that Section 6 of Act I. of 1858 appears to meet the case of a refusal to clear a calingulah.

No. 240. ORDER THEREON, 10th November 1875, No. 3,211.

IRRIGATION, No. 15.

COMMUNICATED to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, and to the Superintending Engineer, Chingleput.

2 The Government, while concurring with the Board of Revenue in disapproving of the tone of censure adopted by Colonel Mullins in his Memorandum, No. 636, of 12th June, desire to point out to the Board that the Collector should have given Mr. Crole an opportunity of explaining his contradiction of certain facts personally observed and reported by Colonel Moberly. This explanation could easily have been given, and it was discourteous to withhold it.

(True Extract.)

Major-General, R E,
Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation ✓
„ Revenue Department ✓
„ Board of Revenue ✓

Exd W J Lewis
Spare Copies

steps

7

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th October 1875.*

No 45 Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th September 1875, No. 2,548 —

Read the following letter from R J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of Chingleput District, to H. E STOKES, Esq, Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 26th August 1875, No 503.

In reply to Board's endorsement on G.O, dated 24th July 1875, No 1,556, Miscellaneous No. 7,219, I have the honor to state that in June last notice was given to all villagers through the Tahsildars that claims to remissions would be disallowed in cases where the villagers had neglected to open the calingulahs of irrigation tanks

2. I am of opinion that it is very necessary that power should be conferred by the Legislature on Collectors to enable them to compel the villagers to carry out this and similar works connected with the irrigation of their lands. At present no such power exists as such cases cannot by any stretching of the law be considered to come under Act I of 1858. The only means now at a Collector's disposal is the issue of reiterated orders, which are disregarded as often as not. The threat of withholding remission seems to have but little effect. Power should be given to Collectors to have calingulahs cleared, when this has not been done by the villagers, the cost of such clearing to be recovered in the same manner as revenue arrears

3 In conclusion, I would beg to call the attention of the Board to the unusual tone of the memorandum by the Officiating Chief Engineer, which has apparently escaped the notice of Government. That officer takes upon himself to sit in judgment and to censure, in terms the reverse of courteous, the revenue officials of this district, which is surely not part of the duties of his office

SUBMITTED to Government with reference to G O, dated 24th July 1875, No 4,556, Revenue Department.

2 The Acting Collector has not reported on the points alluded to in the Chief Engineer's memorandum; but, as the officers* concerned are now in England, the Board think that no good purpose will be served by referring the matter back to the Collector for further report called for by Government. The Board have already issued a circular order on the subject of the Government order

3 The Board do not agree with Mr. Melville as to the inapplicability of Act I. of 1858, Section 6 of which appears to them to meet completely the case of a refusal to clear a calingulah.

4. The Board have observed the very unusual tone adopted by the Officiating Chief Engineer for Irrigation, and have elsewhere solicited the attention of Government to it.

(A true Copy and Extract)

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Acting Secretary.

Stokes

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th October 1875.*

No 46

ORDER THEREON, 7th October 1875, No 1,468

Irrigation No 1

COMMUNICATED to the Public Works
Department.

2 The Government concur with the
Board in their remarks in paragraph 3.

(True Extract)

W. A. C. S. P.

Under Secretary to Government

201 July 175 No 3-4

P. W. 1875 Deph.

No. 6817

Govt. of 1875

REVENUE.

THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Date: October, 7th

Read

233

Encl

G O, 7th October 1875, No 1,468 -

OCTOBER

Nos 45-46

Tank Calingalah in the Chingleput District—Communicating to the Public Works Department Proceedings of the Board of Revenue respecting the clearance of — and concurring with the Board that Section 6 of Act I of 1858 appears to meet the case of a refusal to clear Calingalah

G.O. No 3211-10 11. 75

Cir 25-10-75 R4-A-75

Specification Report to accompany estimate for constructing a jetty at Bayshore for the Landing of Her Royal Highness the Prince of Wales.

Amount of estimate Rs. 4,600 - -

The shore end of jetty to be solid from High water mark for a distance of 50 feet composed of iron stone with a pitching of 1 to 1 with laterite metalling on top.

The total length of woodwork to be 55 ft. resting on 30 piles, 12 inches square provided with iron shoes & ferules firmly driven into the bed of river from 8 to 12 1/2 feet with an extra pile for ladders.

The T head is 60 feet x 20, the beams over all are 12 x 9 supporting joists 1 1/2 feet apart upon which the planking of platform 2 inches thickness is securely bolted. the heads of bolts being countersunk the planks are evenly laid with 1/2 inch openings between them. A drip board 1 1/2 feet in depth and 3 inches in thickness to be securely bolted to the piles round the T head - the piles are to be also braced transversely by diagonal ties 4 x 5.

A strong & substantial frame of stairs to be built within the T head in the centre 4 1/2 feet wide with a rise of 7 inches in the steps

2/11/19

[illegible]

W. Hamill
off. St. Louis, Mo.

offg. St. Eug. Qualitas

P. Wlept
 Proceedings of the Madras Government
 Under date 11-
 Read the following:-

Proceeding of Govt in the
 Ser: Depart: } (MS No 1468 d/7 Oct/75)

The Govt while concurring with the
 Bd of Revenue in disapproving of the tone of
 censure adopted by Col Mullins in his memo
 636 - desire to point out to the Bd that
 the Collector should have given Mr.
 Cole an opportunity of explaining
 his contradiction of certain facts personally
 viewed a report by Col. Moberly -
 This explanation could easily have
 been given and it was discourteous
 to withhold it. Mr.

Communicated to the
 Chief Engineer for Emigration
 and to the Sub Engineer
 Chingleput.

2. The Collector is mistaken
 in supposing that the Govt.
 had overlooked the remarks
 of the Chief Engineer for Emig.
 They considered that ~~the~~ ^{he} ~~had~~
 that he had just cause of
 complaint that the orders
 of Government regarding clear-
 ance of Land & alienation
 had not been carried out in
 the Chingleput District; and
 that the Collector had
 not taken sufficient notice
 of the Sub Collector's
 offensive

I think we had better leave
 this alone. The expression
 that "the Collector is ~~not~~
 not disposed to keep his
 subordinate in order" was
 not proper as emanating
 from the Chief Engineer for
 Emigration. Col Mullins' ~~in~~
 attention has been called
 to the necessity of being more
 careful in issuing orders
 in regard to the conduct of
 his subordinates. It is
 to be hoped that these difficulties will be

See a letter from the
 Mr. A. J. King: Mullins
 to: 1290/230 November
 1875 -

Col. Mullins
 to: 3, Nov
 1875

1875

Specification of
 to accompany Estimate
 for construction of
 full of the low part
 the landing of the
 Royal Engineers to the
 name of the
 Mr. 7524/75

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the page]

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the Secretary of the Board of Education to the Board of Directors of the Board of Education. The letter is dated 1890 and is addressed to the Board of Directors of the Board of Education. The letter is signed by the Secretary of the Board of Education.

[Handwritten notes:]

21 Nov 32 H 12/11

Ad by [unclear] 6/10

SP CN

Per Dept:

K 289

X²CH
Cin 25-10-25

W. Dept

10th Nov 1875

Test.
Abstract
Rev. d.

For Abstract
See Dept. (N.S. 11:1468 of 7th Oct.)

The Govt. while
concurring with
the B. of Revenue
in disap-
proving of the
time of censure
adopted by Ed.
Mullins in his
Memo. N.º 636
of 12th June, de-
vise to point
out to the Board
that the Collector
should have given

[illegible]

[Handwritten signature]

Mr. Cole an opportunity of explaining his contradiction of certain facts personally derived and reported by Col. Moberly. This explanation could easily have been given, and it was no concern to withhold it.

LYNN

Nov 24-11-75

Chief Clerk for term 5

Rev @ emt — 8

B^d of Rev — 15

Price 17.

48/4

1875/ * *Clai*

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Ch. Engr for Irrig

Date

Issued

Recd

Encl

G. O. *10 Nov 75* 1875, No. *3211*

Nos. 239-240

Sank Calingulaks, Chingleput Dist. Dir. of the Govt. Dept. Communicatg. Dir. of the Board of Revenue, res-pecting the clearance of - Canal to the Ch. Engr for Irrigation & Supt. Engr Chingleput -