M.R.O. 1—10,006—23-2-50.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 2962-63 Press Original
B.P. No. Mis.

Dated 16 · 10 · 195 1875

Not to be folded or roughly handled

6

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
16th October 1875.

Read the following letters :—

No. 293. From Colonel C. H. DICKENS, R.A., Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—Irrigation,) to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, (Irrigation Branch,) dated Fort William, 22nd March, 1875, No. 103 A.—I.

I AM directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 385, dated 12th February 1875, requesting sanction to the intended extension of the anicut across the Pennair at Nellore being charged to Loan Funds, and, in reply, to state that, as no regularly detailed estimate appears to have been prepared for the work, and as no proof has been afforded that the expenditure will yield a direct return, the Government of India cannot, as at present informed, permit of any Loan Funds being expended on the works. On this point I am to invite attention to paragraph 10 of the orders on the Madras Budget Estimate for 1875-76.

2. I am to add that, if any outlay has been incurred on the work during 1874-75, the expenditure must be debited to the Ordinary grant for Irrigation Works.

No. 293-A. From the same Officer, dated Simla, 23rd August 1875, No. 253-A.—I.

I AM directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 1,838, dated 1st July 1875, applying for an additional grant of Rupees 38,716, under Ordinary, for the extension of the Pennair anicut, and, in reply, to state that the Government of India are not in a position to pass orders on the application for additional funds until some precise information is furnished to show the total estimated cost of the work, and whether the amount is within the competency of the Government of Madras to sanction. On this point I am to invite attention to paragraph 10, clause I, of the orders on the Madras Irrigation Budget Estimate for 1875-76, and to Public Works Department letter, No. 108 A.—I., dated 22nd March 1875.

2. I am to ask whether the total sum of Rupees 79,000, said to be required for the work during the current official year, includes provision for establishment and tools and plant, and to what cause the difference between the previously reported approximate cost of the work, *viz.*, Rupees 75,000,* and that now reported, *viz.*, Rs. 1,00,467,† is due.

* *Vide* Chief Engineer's memorandum No. 1,019, dated 7th December 1874.

† Required for 1875-76	...	...	79,000
" 1874-75	...	...	21,467
" Total	...		1,00,467

No. 294. ORDER THEREON, 16th October 1875, No. 2,962.

IRRIGATION, Nos. 28 & 29.

Account.

THE Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the lengthening of an existing anicut cannot be expected to produce direct returns. The proposal to carry out the extension from Loan Funds was made because all recent works in connection with the irrigation from the anicut have been so provided for. It was supposed that there would be no objection to this arrangement as, so far, the spread of irrigation under the anicut channels has been as steady and rapid as was anticipated, and when the revised assessment of the lands irrigated shall have been introduced the returns will be very much larger than they

have hitherto been. Until the Capital and Revenue Accounts shall have been completed it will not be possible to show the financial position of this system of irrigation in the manner required by the Government of India, but, on the one hand, the statement of expenditure on irrigation projects up to the 31st March last shows that Rupees 11,75,471 had been the capital outlay to the end of the last official year, and the latest information regarding revenue results is that contained in Proceedings, Madras Government, No. 1,467, of the 30th May 1871, which shows an increase of Rupees 90,251 on the average of the nine years since the completion of the anicut. The revision of the assessment on the lands under the anicut, which has been approved, but the introduction of which has been postponed temporarily, will add considerably to the returns.

Proceedings, Madras Government, Revenue Department, No. 267, dated 6th March 1873.
Do. No. 1,333, dated 16th October 1874, and No. 1,562, dated 15th December 1874.

2. As yet no revenue statement for any year in the form laid down for all irrigation systems and projects has been received, and until these returns shall have been completed a review of the results even of the kind made in the case of the Godavery and Kistna Deltas cannot be attempted. The subject of the preparation of these returns at the earliest possible date will be referred to the Revenue Department, in connection with G. O., No. 2,085, dated 22nd July 1875.

3. It is to be noticed that since G. O., No. 1,837, of the 1st July 1875, was passed, the Superintending Engineer, Nellore, has reported that he will require Rupees 91,000 instead of Rupees 79,000 for expenditure in the current year.

G. O., No. 2,109, dated 24th July 1875.

4. With reference to the concluding part of the later letter, the Government of India will be furnished with a copy of Proceedings, Madras Government, of the 19th June 1875, No. 1,738, which contain full information as to the cause of the difference between the approximate and regular estimates for the extension, the former being Rupees 79,000 for an addition of 360, and the latter Rupees 1,59,150 for a length of 450 feet, and including also items for tools and plant and establishment, while the approximate estimate was for works only. A copy of the later Proceedings showing that it has been decided that no portion of the tolls levied at the Municipal toll gate at the south end of the anicut is available for transfer to Irrigation Revenue will also be transmitted.

No. 253, dated 23rd August 1875.

No. 2,380, dated 18th August 1875.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

No. 295. (DOCKET No. 2,963, dated 16th October 1875.)

COPY of the above forwarded to the Government of India with the correspondence referred to.

(True Extract.)

Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

No 2962 1875 Irrig. Acct 3

Pro. of the Madras Govt 3 L.

Under date the 16th Oct/75

Read the following :-

From

The Govt of India - (No. E. Dg 105 A.D. dg 22 March 1875.)

" Do Do - (" " " 353 do " 23 Aug 1875.)

The Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the lengthening of an existing Anicut cannot be expected to produce direct returns - the proposal to carry out the extension from Loan Funds was made because all recent works in connection with the irrigation from the Anicut have been so provided for.

15/10

It was supposed that there would be no objection to this arrangement as, so far, the spread of irrigation under the Anicut channels has been as steady and rapid as was anticipated, and when the revised assessment of the lands irrigated shall have been introduced the returns will be very much larger than they have hitherto been. Until the Capital and Revenue accounts shall have been completed it will not be possible to show the financial position of this system of irrigation

in the manner required by the Govt. of India, but on the one hand the statement of expenditure on Irrigation up to the 31st March last shows Rupees 11,75,471 had been the Capital outlay to the end of the last official year, and the latest information regarding Revenue results is that contained in Pro: in Madras Govt. No. 1467 of the 30th May 1871, which shows an increase of Rupees 90,251 on the average of the 9 years since the completion

years.

of the anicut. The
revision of the assess-
-ment on the lands
under the anicut, which
has been approved, but
the introduction of which
has been postponed on
temporarily will add
considerably to the
returns.

2. As yet no revenue
statement for any year
in the form laid down
for all irrigation systems
and projects has been
received, and until these
returns shall have been
completed, a review of
the results even of the
kind made in the case
of the Godavery and

P. W. D. R. D. Do. 24
of 6th March 1875.
" No. 1333 of 16th Octr.
76 No. 1562. of 15 Decr 75.

Kistna Deltas cannot
be attempted. The subj-
-ject of the preparation
of these returns at the
earliest possible
date will be referred
to the Revenue Depart-
in connection with
G. O. No. 2085 dated 22nd
July 1875.

3. It is to be noticed
that since G. O. No. 1837
of the 1st July 1875. was
passed the Superin-
-tending Engineer,
Nellore, has reported
that he will require
Rupees 91,000 instead
of Rupees 79,000 for exp-
-enditure in the current
year:

G. O. No. 2107 of 24th July 1875.

of the anicut. The revision of the assess-ment on the lands under the anicut, which has been approved, but the introduction of which has been postponed or temporarily will add considerably to the returns.

P. In. L. R. D. No. dt/ 6th March 1875. — " No. 1393 dt/ 16th Dec & No. 1562. dt/ 15 Dec/

2- As yet no revenue statement for any year in the form laid down for all irrigation systems and projects has been received, and until these returns shall have been completed, a review of the results even of the kind made in the case of the Godavery and

Kistna Deltas cannot be attempted. The subject of the preparation of these returns at the earliest possible date will be referred to the Revenue Depart in connection with G. O. No. 2085 dated 22nd July 1875.

3. It is also noticed that since G. O. No. 1854 of the 1st July 1875 was passed the Superintending Engineer, Nellore, has reported that he will require Rupees 91,000 instead of Rupees 77,000 for expenditure in the current year.

G. O. No. 2107- dt/ 26th July/75.

4. With reference to the concluding part of the later letter, the Govt of India will be furnished with a copy of Pro: Madras Govt of the 19th June 1875, No 1738, which contains full information as to the cause of the difference between the approximate and regular estimates for the extension, the former being Rupees 79.000 for an addition of 360, and the latter Rupees 1,59,150 for a length of 650 feet and including also items for Roads and Plant and

No 253 d/23 Aug 75

No 2380, dated 18th August 1875.

Rec'd 9th

establishment while the approximate estimate was for works only. A copy of the later Proceedings showing that it has been decided that no portion of the tolls levied at the municipal toll gate at the South end of the anicut is available for transfer to Irrigation Revenue will also be transmitted.

Mr. 9/10

Docket No 2965 dy 16 Dec 75

Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt of India with the correspondence referred to

Rec'd 17

Signed V Spare copies with the correspondence referred to in No 2962 Desp 3-11-75

S. Sellers 6 5 —
Chang for Anjia 5 5 —
Cont. Abstracts 5 —
Calls of Sellers 15 —
Ser. dept. 30-10-75

2144 A
1633.

Govt. of India (1875) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To Sapog: Engr Nellore
Secy to Govt of India

Dated

Rec'd

Read.

Ans.

G. [illegible] 1875 Dec 25½
2403

Nos. 293-294
295

Forwarding copy of Proc: & correspondence referred to therein containing the required information regarding the extension of the Anicut across the Pennair at Nellore.

Extension of the Anicut across the Pennair at Nellore :— Passing orders on letters from the Govt: of India declining to sanction the intended ——— being charged to Govt Funds & requesting further information

No. 296. Read the following letter from Lieut.-Colonel J. J. McLEOD INNES, R.E., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account—General,) to the Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces, and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department and Irrigation Branch; the Chief Commissioners of Oudh, Central Provinces, British Burmah, Mysore and Coorg, and Assam; the Resident at Hyderabad; the Agents to the Governor-General for Central India and Rajpootana; the Inspector-General of Military Works; the Director-General of Telegraphs, dated Simla, 7th October 1875, Nos. 618—32 A.—G.:—

In order to admit of the preparation of a general revised Budget Estimate of receipts and expenditure for the current official year, I am directed to request that, in consultation with the Controller of Public Works Accounts, and after a consideration of the receipts and expenditure, actual and approximate, for the first six months of the year, and the credit orders issued for October and November 1875, a report may be furnished, so as to reach this Office at Calcutta *without fail on the 8th November* 1875, of the probable receipts and expenditure chargeable to the Imperial Budget grant of the year 1875-76 under each of the following two heads:—

For Buildings and Roads—

I.—Imperial Ordinary miscellaneous receipts.

II.—Imperial Ordinary expenditure in India on Buildings and Roads, under Military and other services, including Barrack Department and Collieries, and including fluctuations in balances chargeable to Budget grant, and *after deduction* of provision for expenditure in England.

In the case of Irrigation Works, the information is required under the following heads:—

I.—Imperial Ordinary direct Irrigation receipts to be included as such in Finance accounts.

II.—Imperial Ordinary Irrigation expenditure in India, including fluctuations in balances chargeable to the Budget grant, and after deduction of provision for expenditure in England, and including refunds to Extraordinary grant.

III.—Extraordinary Irrigation expenditure in India, including fluctuations in balances chargeable to the Budget grant, and after deduction of refunds from Ordinary grant.

2. No details of any kind are necessary. It will suffice to give the total amount under each of the heads I., II., and III. mentioned above. If in the case of receipts the amount reported is appreciably in excess of or less than the Budget Estimate, a brief explanation of the difference should be furnished. And in the case of expenditure the amount to be reported cannot of course be in excess of the sanctioned Budget grant. If it is considerably less than the grant, information of the cause should be furnished.

3. It is not necessary to refer to Executive Engineers for information as to the probable expenditure of the year, as the necessities of the case will be met by a report based on the particulars available in the Controller's Office. No information is needed as to the receipts and charges relating to Provincial and Local Funds.