

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2952	Press	<i>Original</i>
B.P. No.		Mis	
Dated	15.10.	193	1875

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 88W.

From

THE CONTROLLER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS.

To

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
FORT SAINT GEORGE, 17 September 1875.

Sir.

With reference to para 4 of
Government Order No. 2110, of the 1st
August 1874, I have the honor to report
for the information of Government that
the cost of the Patent Kiln, viz Rs. 31,386/15/7
has under instructions from this office been
debited to Stores. Subhead, Land, Kilns &c
in the Accounts of the Consulting
Architect for March 1875.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant.

Lowrey-Jordan

Controller of P.W. Accounts.

L.P.J.

-27 June/73- 414-414 A.
 17 July/73- 368-369
 19 Aug/73- 378-380
 11-Sept/73- 160-161
 20 Oct. - 341-

W. 1895 Sept.
 From No: 5982

The Controller of P. & A. Accounts
 Madras

The Secretary to Govt.
 P. & A. Department

No 88 W.
 Dated 17th }
 Recd 18 } September 1895

Informing that the cost
 of the Patent Kiln is Rs 31385.15
 and has been debited to
 Stock Subhead Land Kilns
 in the accounts of the
 Consulting Architect.

G.O. No 2952. 15.10.75.

Cu-5-10-75 (P) 15.10.75
 Resub 28-7-75
 Dispt to 18-9-75 R 17-9-75

D.M. No 111 Dept.

It is not in accordance with the G.O. (2110)
 but it is the correct procedure as laid down
 in the Accounts System App'd by Govt.
 Considering the cost of the bricks & the kiln
 the debit is probably too large to be charged off
 immediately by a charge to the Works indicated.

Government
 No 1895
 following

Adm. 1 (1895)

Very truly yours

I beg to furnish and also the following

M: Parsons
 Referred with these papers
 G.O. 605. dated 22nd Feb 68.

No 88 W 17th Sept 75

What is the charge for bricks
 per 1000 as debited to Senate
 House & burnt in Patent
 Kiln. Willson refers to
 Consulting Architect if it
 is not known in the Office.
 It is supposed to be in record.

1895 20/10/75
 28/10/75
 Adm. 1

It is observed
 that the adjustment
 made by the Consulting
 Architect, of the cost
 of the Patent Kiln,
 under the instructions
 of the Controller is in
 accordance with the
 system

27 June/73 - 414-414A
 17 July/73
 19 Aug/73
 11 Sept/73
 20 Oct/73

Mr. [unclear] anticipations
 have been so [unclear] [unclear]
 [unclear] that I think [unclear]
 a right to spend [unclear]
 of the [unclear] of [unclear] [unclear]
 since the cost of the [unclear]
 to pay for the [unclear] cost of
 work, before this [unclear]
 detail is made. [unclear] [unclear]
 be drawn up. Which was cost
 Rs. 12, instead of Rs. 5 [unclear]

Store
 in the
 [unclear]

No 253

Cii-5-10-75 (P) 15-10-75
 Resub 28-9-75
 Dispt to [unclear] 18-9-75 (P) 9-75

G.O. No 29

No 2952 Public Works Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
 Under date the 15th Oct. 1875
 Read again the following

Consulting Archts Office
 27th Sept 1875

G.O. No 2647 d/19th Augt/75

Dear Sir,

I beg to furnish
 overleaf the information
 required in your note
 of this day, taken from
 our office records.

I don't see any G.O.
 bearing on the subject,
 but will make further
 search and let you know.

Yours faithfully,
 [Signature]

[Signature]

Read also the following

G.O. No 88 d/17th Sept/75

It is observed
 that the adjustment
 made by the Consulting
 Architect, of the cost
 of the Patent Relu,
 under the instructions
 of the Controller is in
 accordance with the
 system

27 June/75 - 414-414 W.
 17 July -
 19 Aug 75
 11 Sept 75
 20 Oct.

P. W. 1895 Sep
 U.S.

Mr. [unclear] anticipation
 have been so frequent [unclear]
 find that I think the [unclear]
 is right to expect a full [unclear]
 of the causes of failure to
 derive the cost of the bridge
 to pay
 work, &
 debt is
 to be drawn
 12, [unclear]

Comptroller

5982

No 2952 Public Works Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
 Under date the 15th Oct. 1895
 Read again the following

Pro. Public Works } H.E. No. 2047 d/19th Aug. 1895
 Department

Read also the following

From
 The Controller of } H.E. No. 88 d/17th Sept. 1895
 P.W. Accounts

It is observed
 that the adjustment
 made by the Consulting
 Architect, of the cost
 of the Patent Relin,
 under the instructions
 of the Controller is in
 accordance with the
 system

[Signature]

[Signature]

No 253

Ci-5-10-75 (P.W. 15-10-75)
 Resub 28-9-75
 Despatch 18-9-75 R 11-9-75

P.O. No 2952. 15-10

system of account
approved of by the
Government. It was
however originally con-
templated to charge
off the outlay on this
head to the Senate house
and Presidency College,
under the supposition
that the saving in the
cost of the bricks to be
used on the Senate house
and Post office alone,
would amount to a
sum exceeding the estima-
ted cost of construction
of the Kiln.

2 Mr. Chisholm
in his letter printed in
the Proceedings of Govern-
ment above recorded -
estimated

estimated that by the
use of the patent Kiln;
the cost of first class
bricks would be reduced
from Rs 7-8- to Rs 5-12-
per thousand and that
by building with such
bricks in lieu of those
of the ordinary country
make and size the thick-
ness of all walls could
be reduced by one fourth
with a corresponding
saving in outlay.

3. These sanguine
anticipations do not
appear to have been
realized in any degree
whatever since, as is
understood, the charge
it is found necessary
to

to make for face bricks from the kiln is Rs 20 per thousand while what are called field bricks cost Rs 8 per thousand.

4. The Government desire to receive from the Consulting Architect a report explanatory of the causes of failure to attain the economical results expected from the use of this kiln, and dealing also with the future prospects of the manufactory. The cost of production should be given under different sub-heads including charges for superintendence and

and sundries and seven per cent on the capital value of the kiln should be added to the years outlay to cover depreciation and interest. The outlay should be distributed over the annual output, assigning a value arbitrarily to the several classes of bricks produced. Finally a comparison should be drawn between the cost of walling built with these bricks and those of the kind ordinarily procurable in the Presidency. Stating the

the size of bricks in
each case and the
quantity of chumam
required; and if a sen-
sible reduction in the
thickness of walls has
resulted from the use
of the superior bricks
the fact should not
be passed unnoted.

Ans.

Ans.

Ans. 17

Ans. 17

Ans. 17

97.08✓

25982

U.S. of 1870: Public Works.

Cont. Projects

Genl. Order 20/11

U.S. of 1870: Public Works. 2952

252-253

Adjustment of the cost of the
Patent Bill at the President
Passing Orders on letter from
the Cont. Projects reporting
the adjustment of the cost
of the —.

25982