

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
8th September 1875.

Read the following —

No. 138 Petition from G SEETHIAH, Contractor in the Government Workshop,
Dowlashweram, Godavery District, dated 30th July 1875

No 139. ORDER THEREON, 8th September 1875, No 2,552

THE endorsement, No 47, of the 20th
May 1875, by the Officer in charge of the
Dowlashweram Workshops appears to indi-

cate that the petitioner was not clearly informed from the beginning of the rates at
which he would be paid for the work he undertook to do in connection with the
construction of ferry boats The petition will therefore be forwarded to the Superin-
tending Engineer for report

2 It is noticed that the better arrangement would have been to have specified
exactly what work the petitioner was to do for each class of boat, showing the quantity
and rate, and then the agreement might have been to pay a certain amount on the
completion of each boat

3. The system of repeated advances on account, which appears to have prevailed,
is one likely to lead to difficulties when the time for a final settlement of account
arrives.

(Two Extract)

Major-General, R E,
Secretary to Government.

To the Officiating Superintending Engineer, Godavery, with petition

End S T Au tm
Spate Copies

the construction of

No 2552

Substant
D.H.C.

Proceedings of the Madras Court
under date the 8th Sept 1875
Read the following
in from L. Leethiah
actor in the Ed. & Dock
Daw laishneram
Kadavery D^y } (H.E. dated 30th July 1875.)

The endorsement
of the 20th May 1875
by the Officer in charge
of the Daw laishneram
Drotho appears to
indicate that the pe-
titioner was not clearly
informed from the be-
ginning of the rates at
which he would be
paid for the work
he undertook to do
in connection with
the construction of

every boat. The petition
will therefore be forwarded
to the Super Engineer for
report.

2. It is noticed that the
better arrangement would
have been to have speci-
fied exactly what work
the petitioner was to do
for each class of boat,
showing the quantity and
rate, and then the agree-
ment might have been
to pay a certain amount
on the completion of each
boat.

3. The system of repeated
advances on account,
which appears to have
prevailed, is one likely

to lead to difficulties when
the time for a final set-
tlement of account arrives.

My. 31/8
Q.M.

26
2

offg: Super Engr.
Godavery. with Petition 9
sc Dis. 21-9-75 Office - 17

138-139

d that the
forwarded
Super: Engr;
ery, for ref.

