

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
30th August 1875.*

No. 530. Read the following endorsement of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Ootacamund, 25th August 1875, No. 953:—

From Captain L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Controller of Public Works Accounts, to Major J. O. HASTED, R.E., Officiating Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Fort St. George, 31st July 1875, No. 6 I.

THE instructions conveyed in the draft letter to the District Engineer, Kistna, which accompanied your letter, No. 734, dated 8th July 1875, appear to me to be in accordance with the orders of the Government of India as modified by the orders of the Madras Government.

2. With reference to paragraph 3 of your letter No. 733, I foresee that inconvenience will result from the limit of expenditure on New Works chargeable to Revenue being made different, viz., Rupees 2,500 in the case of works whose cost is known (class A), and Rupees 500 in the case of works whose cost is not known (class B).

3. At present it would be difficult, if not impossible, to say to which of the above classes (A or B) each Irrigation work will ultimately belong. As the investigation of the Capital Accounts proceeds, it is to be hoped that complete information will be forthcoming as to the expenditure on many works the cost of which at present is not even guessed at, and on receipt of this information the work will have to be transferred from class B to class A.

It is even possible that the expenditure on many minor works costing between Rupees 500 and Rupees 2,500 which may be budgetted for under Capital as extensions of class B works, if by the time the expenditure takes place the cost of the work has been ascertained, will have to be booked under Revenue as extensions of works coming under class A to the confusion of the Budget.

4. Although the present ruling could be applied without inconvenience on the completion of the Capital Accounts of the Irrigation works in each district, it is a matter which might fairly be referred to Government for reconsideration whether up to that date it would not be advisable for all Irrigation works, whether their cost is known or is not known, to keep to one and the same limit, say Rupees 500 for the cost of Minor New Works chargeable to Revenue.

From Lieutenant-Colonel J. MULLINS, R.E., Officiating Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to Captain L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Controller of Public Works Accounts, dated 7th August 1875, No. 885.

In reply to your letter, No. 6 I., of the 31st ultimo, I have the honor to suggest that for the ruling contained in paragraph 5 of G. O., No. 2,991 A, of the 20th October last, as regards the head of debit of extensions and improvements, it would be well to substitute the following limits:—

Rupees 2,500 to be the limit of debit to Revenue for all works under class 1, as for such works separate Capital and Revenue Accounts are to be kept, and they are all considerable.

Rupees 500 to be the limit for all works in classes 2 and 3.

2. No limit to be prescribed for classes 4 and 5, as so many of these are petty works, the revenue of which is much under 500 Rupees annually. In their case all past expenditure to be left to the debit of the head under which it stands, and future sanctions to show according to the circumstances of the work the proper heads of charge.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
30th August 1875.*

From Captain L CONWAY-GORDON, R E, Controller of Public Works Accounts, to Lieut - Colonel J MULLINS, R E., Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Fort St George, 20th August 1875, No 9 I

In reply to your letter, No 885, dated 7th instant, I have the honor to state that I see no objection to the adoption of the limits therein proposed subject to the following conditions —

- a That the proposed arrangements shall not take effect until arrangements for systematically communicating to the Controller in the case of every sanctioned estimate the class, group, system, &c, to which the work belongs, and all other information coming under the head of Classification
 - b That in the meanwhile, and in the past, all new works be treated as charges to Capital
 - c That no write-back shall be made in the accounts in the event of the transfer of a work from class II or class III to class I, or *vice versa*
- 2 If you approve of these conditions, you will no doubt submit the proposal to Government for approval

SUBMITTED for the consideration of Government, with a recommendation that the limits of charge for extensions and improvements to Capital and Revenue respectively as laid down in G O, No 2,991 A, of the 20th October last, be modified as suggested in this office No 885, and that the conditions considered necessary to prevent confusion in the accounts, as noticed in the Controller's last letter, be approved

(Signed) JOHN MULLINS, Lieut - Colonel, R E,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

No 531 ORDER THREON, 30th August 1875, No 2,482

IRRIGATION, No 34
Account.

THE proposals contained in the foregoing correspondence are approved and sanctioned It is observed that in recently sanctioning estimates the head of charge for all extensions and improvements has been indicated, and it will be understood that whenever specific instructions have been so given, the debits will be made accordingly

(True Extract)

(Signed) G W. WALKER, Major-General, R E,
Secretary to Government

*To the Controller of Public Works Accounts
Chief Engineer for Irrigation*

*" All 52 Engrs
By Engr Wynad -*

Ltd E C Fincken
Spare Copies

For C. No. 4256/75

MEMORANDUM

Requested for reference the under-mentioned papers —

P.W. - Pwys 30th August 1875 No 2482,

GOVERNMENT OFFICE,
Revenue Department,
2 November - 1875.

To
The Registrar of the

Pub Works Department

John Guper
Registrar.

FROM

THE CONTROLLER,
PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

TO

*The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation.*

FORT SAINT GEORGE, 31 July 1875.

Sir,

The instructions conveyed in the draft letter to the District Engineer Rintar which accompanied your letter No 734 dated 8th July 1875, appear to me to be in accordance with the orders of the Government of India as modified by the orders of the Madras Government.

With reference to para 3 of your letter No 734, I force that inconvenience will result from the limit of expenditure on new works chargeable to Revenue being made different viz Rs 2,500 in the case of works whose

as it is known (Class A) and
as too in the case of works
whose cost is not known
(Class B).

At present it would be
difficult, if not impossible to
say to which of the above
classes A or B each irriga-
tion work will ultimately
belong. As the investigation
of the Capital accounts
proceeds, it is to be hoped
that complete information
will be forthcoming as to
the expenditure on many
works, the cost of which
at present is not even
guessed at, and on re-
ceipt of this information
the work will have to be
transferred from Class
B, to Class A.

It is even possible that
the expenditure on many minor
works costing between Rs 500
and Rs 2500 which may
be budgeted for, may

capital as extensions of
Class B works, if by the
time the expenditure taken
place the cost of the work
has been ascertained will
have to be booked under
Revenue as extensions of
works coming under class
A, to the confusion of the
Budget.

4. Although the present
ruling could be applied
without inconvenience on
the completion of the capi-
tal accounts of the Irrigation
works in each District,
it is a matter which might
fairly be referred to Govern-
ment for consideration
whether up to that date, it
would not be advisable
for all Irrigation works,
whether their cost is known
or is not known, to keep
to one and the same limit
say Rs 500, for the cost

minor new & changeable
to Revenue

It has the honor to be
yrs

Yours most obedient servant

Lowry-Jordan

Controller of P. & R. Receipts

X^o A^o
[Faint handwritten text follows in several lines]

Chas Miller
From - - - - - Rm

The Controller
of P & Accounts
Is

The Chief Engineer
for Brigation

Dated 31st July 1875
Recd 2 Aug 75

6.2

Expenditure incurred
on new works
chargeable to
Revenue.

Pr. 5605 / 25

90-30 August/75

LuB 2530

700 1/2 11/16/27

Extra Controller A. P. W. 1888

in reply to my letter No. 6. I of the
3. 11. 00. I have the honor to suggest
that the ruling contained in
Para 57 So. No 2991 A of the 20. 00.
last as regards the limit of debit
of balance sheet & within limits that it
would be well to substitute the following
limits

Defers 2500 to the limit of debit to
 Revenue for all works under Class I
 as for such works separate Capital
 & Revenue accounts are to be kept
 if they are all considerable

Refers 500 to the limit for all work;
in chapters 2 & 3

no limit to be prescribed for Chap. 4 & 5,
as so many of these are better works
the movement which is much under
500 dollars annually. In their case all
best administration to be left to the debit of
the land under which it stands &
interim sanctioning to them according to
the circumstances of the work the broken
lands & change.

64. 7/8/75

No. 985

From THE CONTROLLER, PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS.

To The Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Fort Saint George, 25 August 1875.

Sir
In reply to your letter No. 885 dated 7th instant, I have the honor to state that I see no objection to the adoption of the limits therein proposed subject to the following conditions-

- a. That the proposed arrangements shall not take effect until arrangements for systematically communicating to the Controller in the care of every sanctioned estimate the class, group, system &c to which the work belongs and all other information coming under the head of classification.
- b. That in the meanwhile and in the past all new works be treated as charges to Capital.
- c. That no write-back shall be made in the Accounts in the event of the transfer of a work from Class II or Class

No. 885

To the Controller

Public Works Accounts

San Fernando, P.R.
25 August 1875

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures on the left side of the page, including "San Fernando, P.R." and "25 August 1875"]

1. Gvt. No 529, 25-10/75

C. N. 1657
From

The Controller
P.W. Accounts
to

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

Dated 20th
Recd 21st August 1875

No 9 I.

States regarding
the adoption of
limits mentioned
in his No 885 of the
7th August 1875

Recd - 27.8.75 Ptd 30. Aug/75
L. Sheet 21 Aug.

1. N. 8007
2
90-30 August 1875
N. 530

No 953

From the Controller of P.W. Accounts, No 68. 31 July 75.
To do do " 886. 7th Aug.
From do do " 78. 20th 80.

Submitted for the consideration of
Government with a recommendation
that the limits of charge for extensions
and improvements to Capital and
Revenue respectively as laid down in
G.O. No 2991 A of the 20th October last
be modified as suggested in the office
No 886, and that the conditions con-
sidered necessary to prevent confu-
sion in the accounts, as noticed in
the Controller's last letter, be appor-

tioned
G. N. 1657
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Colacaramund
26th August 1875

To The Secretary to Government
S. H. C.

P. W. 1895 Sept.
No: 5635

From

The Chief Engr.
for Irrigation.

Dated 25 Aug. 3
Recd. 1 Sept. 3 1895.

No: 953.

B. 3

Regarding limits of
charge for extensions
and improvements to
Capital & Revenue.

N. 6. 2482. 20-8-75

No 530

No 2482

P.M.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 30 Aug 75

Read the following:-

From,

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation

(N.E. Dept. dated 25 Aug 75)

The proposals con-
tained in the foregoing
correspondence are ap-
proved and sanctioned.
It is observed that in
recently sanctioning
estimates the head of
charge for all extensions
and improvements has
been indicated, and it
will be understood that
whenever specific instruc-
tions have been so given,
the debits will be made
accordingly.

SC Prop. to S:

Emp. 29-9-75

To all

St. Engrs

24 M & Wm

Chgo for Engrs

Secy to Govt

SC Prop - B. 9. 7

W. 26/8

✓
1875
JUL 18 1875
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
Controller
of P.W.C.

Dated

Received

By

30 Aug 75 2482

Recd - 53

Letter of charge for alterations
and improvements to Capital
and Revenue. - The proposals
contained in the correspondence
submitted by the Charge for
Congestion regarding the
approval and sanctioned
with remarks