

Well how do you come
for me to be thinking
of it so little and
remembering so things—
I understand

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
10th August 1875.

This original debit should be included in the account of expenditure up to the 15th March 1874, in the third column of the comparative statement. Presuming that the transactions connected with this work were completed by the date mentioned, a portion of the debit would load the rates for wood-work shown in the third column to have been executed, and the portion so distributed should obviously be the book or nominal value of the wood, viz., Rupees 5,407, less the sum realized by the sale of the portion unused; it would in fact include the loss by deterioration, which as an incident of the construction is fairly debitable against the particular sub-head of the estimate involved. The remainder would appear in the value of materials at site, the sale value of the unused wood being shown, and the account of the work up to the 15th March 1874 would be completed by exhibiting the deduction from the total value of materials at site, and outlay up to that date of Rupees 566-2-0 for wood sold.

3. The revised sanction for the work will now amount to Rupees 2,46,920 in lieu of Rupees 2,46,360 granted in G. O., No. 1,598, of the 7th June 1875.

(True Extract.)

Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, Chingleput.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

NO. 1371

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

CHINDY, 10 July, 1875.

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

Chingleput District.

To

THE Secretary to Government,
Department Public Works.

Sir,

In reply to G. O. No. 1598 dated 27th ult., I have the honor to observe that the Comparative Statement sent with my No. 3933 dated 18th February 1875, purports to show the estimated cost of Royal Artillery Barracks at Santh. Thomas Mouth and value of work done against each item, plus the loss by deterioration in wood.

(a) The original estimate
amounted to Rupees—2,37,885

(b) The revised one to Rupees—2,46,920

Difference—9,035

(c) To this must be added
an unexpected loss
making up—2,315

(d) The amount required
in addition Rupees—11,350

Rs. 33 of previous allotments (being
difference between estimate Rs. 2,37,885
and expenditure—2,37,852)
were not expended and are required

From the explanation given in the ^{Supp} ~~Letter~~ Engineer's letter, it seems that the account of the work as shown in the Comparative Statement referred to is incorrectly made out.

2. The Barracks were originally charged with the full value (Rs 5404) of the timber, on which loss by deterioration was afterwards sustained and it

sent already furnished
to show the substantiated
cost of Royal Station
Churches of Saint
Thomas Mount and
value of work done
against each item.
Also the logo by det.
moratorium in word.

S.C. No: 2016. 10.8-75.

N^o 186

it could not be necessary
to recharge a portion of
that value, i.e. the loss
by deterioration, unless the
work had been impro-
perly relieved of a por-
tion of the original debit
against it. This original
debit should be included
in the account of expen-
diture up to the 15th March
1874, in the 3rd column
of the Comparative State-
ment. Presuming that
the transactions connected
with this work were com-
pleted by the date men-
tioned, a portion of the
debit would load the
rates for woodwork
shown in the 3rd column
to have been incurred
and

and the portion so distribu-
-ted should obviously be
the book or nominal value
of the wood, viz. Rs. 540/-,
less the sum realized by
the sale of the portion
unused, it would in fact
include the loss by dete-
-rioration, which as an in-
-cidental of the construction
is fairly debitable against
the particular sub-head
of the estimate involved.
The remainder would ap-
-pear in the value of
Materials at site, the sale
value of the unused wood
being shown, and the ac-
-count of the work up to
the 15th March 1874, would
be completed by exhibiting
the deduction from the
total value of Materials

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2317	Press	<i>Original</i>
B.P. No.		Mis.	
Dated	10-8-	1875	
		195	

Not to be folded or roughly handled