

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2207	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	29-7-	C875 195

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th July 1875.*

No. 814. Read the following letter from the District Engineer, Trichinopoly, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. MULLINS, R.E., Officiating Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 19th July 1875, No. 404 :—

I HAVE the honor to forward copy of Range Officer's letter, No. 232, dated 30th June 1875, relative to the censure passed on him in G. O., No. 1,637, dated 10th June 1875, for the favorable consideration of Government.

2. It would appear from the records in the District Engineer's Office that the delay was not altogether due to the Range Officer, as he submitted the supplemental estimate for the work in question on the 4th August 1874, but that it was owing to its being returned several times for further information that the delay in submitting it to Government occurred.

From G. D. WYBROW, Esq., Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Range, to the District Engineer, Trichinopoly, dated Trichinopoly, 30th June 1875, No. 232.

WITH reference to the censure passed upon me in G. O., No. 1,637, dated 10th June 1875, relative to the alleged delay in forwarding a revised estimate of the Laulgudi Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry, I have the honor to inform you that the revised estimate for the work referred to was forwarded by me on the 4th August 1874, it was however returned to me for revision on the 10th December 1874, and again resubmitted on the 2nd February 1875.

2. I trust that you will kindly inform this to Government, as I would respectfully beg to submit that the delay alluded to did not wholly rest with me.

No. 815. ORDER THEREON, 29th July 1875, No. 2,207.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 52.

It appears from the above letter that the delay for which the Range Officer was blamed in G. O., No. 1,637, dated 10th June 1875, was principally caused by the retention of the estimate in the District Engineer's Office.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Offg. Joint Secretary to Government,

To the District Engineer, Trichinopoly.
,, Controllor of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

For District Engineer

No. 232

Trichinopoly
30th June 1875

From

Executive Engineer
Trichinopoly Range

To

The District Engineer
Trichinopoly

Sir

With reference to the censure passed upon me in G. O. No. 1637, dated 10th June 1875, relative to the alleged delay in forwarding a revised Estimate of the Lalgudi & Tahsildar's Cutcherry, I have the honor to inform you that the revised Estimate for the work referred to, was forwarded by me on the 4th August 1874, it was however returned to me for revision on the 10th December 1874, and again resubmitted on the 2nd February 1875.

2. I trust that you will kindly inform this to Government, as I would respectfully beg to submit that the delay, alluded to, did not wholly rest with me.

I have &c.
(True copy) Signed/ J. D. Wybrow
Executive Engineer
J. D. Wybrow.
For District Engineer

District Engineer's Office
Mysore
19th June 1875
N^o 404

From
The District Engineer
Mysore
To The Secretary to Government
P. W. D.
Madras

Sir,
I have the honor to forward copy
of Range Officer's letter N^o 232 dated
30th June 1875 relative to the Emure
passed on him in G.O. N^o 1637 dated
10th June 1875 for the favorable consi-
deration of Government.

Encls:

2. It would appear from the
records in the District Engineer's
office that the delay was not
altogether due to the Range Officer
as he submitted the Supplemental
estimate for the work in question on
the 1st August 1874 but that it
was

Don't know

was crying to its being returned
Several times for further information
that the delay in submitting it
to Government occurred.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant

W. W. Brown,
for District Engineer

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

attch SC new
16.8.75

10 June 1875 No 2207

P. W. 1875 Sept.

From No: 4745

The District Engineer
Trichinopoly

The Secy to Govt
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 19th July 1875
Recd 22nd

No 404
Encls: 1

Forwards copy of
Explanatory letter from
No 1 Range officer as to
the delay which had
occurred in submitting
the Revised Estimate
for the Dy Tahsildar's
Catchment at Sanderdi.

Recd 27-7-75 and 29-7-75
10 June 1875 No 2207

Public Works Dept.
No 2207
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 29 July 1875
Read the following

From
The Dist. Engineer } (H.C. No 404 of 19th July 1875)
Trichinopoly

It appears from
the above letter that
the delay for which the
Range Officer was blamed
in G.O. No 1837, dated
10th June 1875, was prin-
cipally caused by the
retention of the Estimate
in the District Engineer's
Office.

D to Encl 5
Contd 5

X¹ attch SC read
16. 8. 75

27
16

4745

Gobt. of 1875 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Mr. Mr. S. S. S. S.
Richinopoly

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 24th 1875, No. 2207

Nos. 814 - 815

Revised estimate for the Dy.
Tahsildars, Tutcherri, at
Laulgudi, Trichinopoly.—

Passing orders on the letter
of the Dtr. Engr. forwarding
copy of letter from the
No. I. Range Officer, relating
to the delay in submitting
the —, for which he was
blamed in G. O. No. 1637 of
10th June 1875.