

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1977	(2)	Press
~~B.P. No.~~			~~Mis.~~
Dated	12-7-	1875	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
12th July 1875.*

No. 337. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. MULLINS, R.E., Officiating Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 22nd June 1875, No. 1,126:—

I HAVE the honor to submit, for the consideration and disposal of the Government, the accompanying completion certificate for the reconstruction of calingulah in

1 Completion Certificate.

Poothoomboo Keelacommoy tank in the Madura Taluq.

2. The estimate was prepared and sanctioned in 20th May 1870, and the work completed in August 1874; the completion certificate was sent to me in November 1874 by the Range Officer, Sub-Engineer Mooneappah Pillay, with remarks on it complaining of its unsatisfactory execution. I returned the document to him on the 18th November 1874, calling for further explanations from the responsible Overseer in charge, Soobroya Iyer, B.A. and B.C.E.

3. The Range Officer did nothing at all in the matter until this Overseer had left the department and the district, and returned the certificate with the same remarks in June 1875, merely stating that Overseer was the responsible party; and I decline to pass such a document under such circumstances, and beg you will obtain the orders of the Government thereon. I have already reported that neither this Range Officer nor Mr. Hawksworth ever made any proper check measurements of works, and I can place no reliance or confidence in any papers or documents sent in by either of them.

4. The estimates sent in by them are invariably wrong; the rates for work worse than useless; inspections seldom made, and no check exercised; and as far as I have ever seen the work executed is most unsatisfactory, and it is always attempted by them to throw the responsibility on some subordinate or contractor.

5. I have repeatedly endeavoured to make some little reform, but the only return for any forbearance of this kind is an endeavour by these Range Officers to throw every obstacle in the way they can bring about, such as that now brought to notice, when the papers have been deliberately kept back till the responsible Overseer has got out of the way; I have therefore no alternative but to submit the case to Government for disposal.

No. 338. ORDER THEREON, 12th July 1875, No. 1,977.

IRRIGATION, No. 36.

The work referred to should be completed in a satisfactory manner without delay. Any expenditure in excess of the estimate that may necessarily be incurred in thus completing the work will be recovered from Sub-Engineer Mooneappah Pillay, who has failed to see the estimate properly worked out. A further report after completion of the work will be made by the District Engineer.

2. It is observed that with efficient management of the district there would have been no necessity for this reference, as the Sub-Engineer should have been required in November last to see that all defects were made good.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,

Off. Joint Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Madura.
" " " Tanjore.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies:

Completion Report on Reconstructing a Submural in Fort St. James

Estimate Rs. 460-0-0

Sanctioned by Local Authority

On the 20-5-70

Commenced on the 1st Feb 1874, Completed on the 1st August 1874

Last inspected on the 1st Aug 1874

I do hereby certify, after personal inspection and measurement, that the work mentioned above has been fully executed to the extent of the ^{Estimate} Estimate, in a substantial manner and of the best materials as provided for in the Estimate. shown below.

The roofs & Dam Stones roughly dropped the former fixed in a work position & the chumam is somewhat inferior quality. The submural ~~of the work~~ should be removed by the Changers & filled in both the wings which are at present without support.

The saving of Rs. 32-5-0 is owing to the item of Superintention & since a portion in the items of Rough Stone & Vanyar wood not being fully utilized and they were not required.

Dated

9th Oct. 1874.

George S. B. B. B.
Sub. Eng.
Shadara Range Officer.

Note.—In the event of the work not corresponding with the sanctioned Plan or Estimate, the extent of deviation should be stated, and the authority for it quoted. The cause of saving, or excess if any, should also be similarly explained.

At. The Submural has been requested by the Range Officer to have the submural removed.

No. 1126.

District Engineer's Office
Madras 22nd June 1875

From

Lieutenant Colonel J. S. Tucker Esq.
District Engineer Madras

To

Colonel G. W. Walker Esq.
Secretary to Government Madras

Sir,

Encls: 1.
1. Completion
Certificate.

I have the honor to submit for
the consideration and disposal of the Government
the accompanying Completion Certificate for the
reconstruction of Calanpuk in Pothoombu
Neelacannoy tank in the Mannu Taluq.

2 The Estimate was prepared and
sanctioned in 20 May 1870 and the work
completed in August 1874, the Completion Certificate
was sent to me in November 1874
by the Acting Officer Sub Engineer Mooneswami
Pillay with remarks on it complaining of
its unsatisfactory execution. I returned the
document to him on the 18 November 1874 call-
ing for further explanations from the responsible
officer in charge Sootsya Sagar B. S. V. B. C.

The Camp Officer did nothing at all in the matter until this officer had left the Department and the District and returned the certificate with the same remarks in June 1875 merely stating that Overman was the responsible party, and I decline to sign such a document under such circumstances and beg you will obtain the orders of the Government thereon. I have already reported that neither this Camp Officer or Mr. Hawksworth ever made any proper check or measurements of work and I can place no reliance or confidence in any papers or documents sent in by either of them.

The Estimates sent in by them are invariably wrong, the papers for work work than useless inspections seldom made & no check received and as far as I have ever seen the work executed is most unsatisfactory and it is always attempted by them to throw the responsibility on

Some

Some Subordinate or contractor.

5 I have repeatedly endeavoured to make some little report but the only return for any forbearance of this kind is an endeavour by these Camp officers to throw every obstacle in the way they can bring about, such as that now brought to notice when the papers have been deliberately kept back till the responsible Overman has got out of the way. I have therefore no alternative but to submit the same to Government for disposal.

I have the honor to be
 Sir
 Yours most Obedt Servt
 Wm. T. Tucker
 District Engineer

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
Read the following:-

g 6 no: 1977 a/12 + July 1975
the work referred

The work referred to should be completed in a satisfactory manner without delay. Any expenditure in excess of the estimate that may necessarily be incurred in thus completing the work will be recovered from Sub-Engineer Mooneappaiah Pillay who has failed to see the estimate properly worked out. A further report after completion of the work will be made by the District Engineer.

St. Louis

St. Petersburg 10 June 1891

James

Decd 22 1845
Decr. 26 - 3

Recd. 11-26

Domino

the board our confidence
with regard to our present
and future prospects.

— finding a "submerged"
an "oppression" and

1935-1936

S. B. L. 1577.12

4186

Sept. of 1875
PUBLIC WORKS.

W. L. L.
W. L. L.

Dated
Issued
Recd.
Encl.

G. O. *W. L. L.* 1875, No. 1977
6

No. 337-338

*Consolidation Report for
Reconstructing a Culvert
in Pothoornies Wellacom
- May Lank, Madras D.
Passing Orders on Letter from
the Chief forwarding -*

It is observed that
with efficient manage-
ment of the District,
there would have been
no necessity for this
reference, as the Sub En-
gineer should have been re-
quired in November last to
see that all defects were made
good.

22/7/8
D. E. Sengore 7
D. E. Madras 6
C. E. P. W. C. 5
C. E. P. W. C. 5
C. E. P. W. C. 56 43
23-7-75 4 5

W. L. L.
W. L. L.