

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1637	Press
-B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	10 - 7 -	C875- 195.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

115

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
10th June 1875.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 235. From Major G. V. LAW, District Engineer, Trichinopoly, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp at Nundyar Anicut, 5th February 1875, No. 601.

I HAVE the honor to forward a comparative statement of expenditure on constructing the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry and Subsidiary Jail at Laulgudi, and to request the sanction of Government and allotment of funds for the excess Rupees 1,383-7-5.

2. The work was completed and full payments made in last official year (1873-74), and the amount above referred to still stands as an objectionable item against No. 1 Range, Trichinopoly District, in the Controller's books.

No. 236. From the same officer, dated Trichinopoly, 13th March 1875, No. 806.

YOUR No. 215, dated 5th February 1875. I have the honor to forward copy of Range Officer's letter, No. 724, 13th March 1875, giving explanation called for.

From G. D. WYBROW, Esq., Assistant Engineer, No. 1 Range, to Major G. V. LAW, District Engineer, Trichinopoly, dated Trichinopoly, 13th March 1875, No. 724.

WITH reference to your docket letter No. 710,* dated 3rd March 1875, I have the honor to state that the probable excess over the estimate

* Requesting to explain about the failure to report the probable excess over estimate for Taluq Cutcherry at Laulgudi.

for Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Laulgudi was not reported, as I had hoped to have kept the excess within 5 per cent. on the estimate, and when making payment at the close of last year. The bills for the work were incorrectly prepared, an item of previous payment having been omitted by oversight, and hence it was not until closing the accounts of March that it was discovered that payment had been made in excess of 5 per cent. on the item.

2. I may add that the work was almost completed before I took charge of this range, and that the causes of the excess on the estimate have already been explained.

No. 237. ORDER THEREON, 10th June 1875, No. 1,637.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 28.

THE work on this building appears to have been suspended from 1869 to 1873 under the restrictive orders of the former year, and a considerable proportion of the excess expenditure of Rupees 1,383 is no doubt attributable to this cause. The expenditure incurred in false pointing over the plaster work to the face of the building was apparently unnecessary, but the other excesses are fairly accounted for. The action of the Range Officer in delaying the submission till February 1875 of the revised estimate of a building completed before April 1874 cannot but be noted as exceedingly dilatory and unsatisfactory.

2. The revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 15,063, is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,

Offg. Joint Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Trichinopoly.

„ Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.

Spare Copies -

No. 215 Fort St George
23^d Feby: 1875.

Letter from District Engineer Trichinopoly No. 601 dated
5th February 1875.

Memo

The District Engineer Trichinopoly will be good enough to report the names of the Officers who were concerned in the building of the Talook Cutcherry at Calgoody the amount spent under each approximately, the number and date of G.O. sanctioning the estimate should always be quoted in the covering letter and the amount of estimate. Explanation should be afforded if the failure to report the probable excess over estimate last year.

By order/
Sigd/ A. de B. Scott. Major R.E.
Under Secy to Govt
P. M. D.
To The District Engineer Trichinopoly.

1894
No 601

Direct Division office
Camp Schuyler, Alaska
Sept 11th 1894

From
The District Engineer
To The Secretary to Government
C. F. D.
Alaska

1 encls:

Sir, Have the honor to forward a
Comparative Statement of Expenditure
in constructing the Deputy Scheldain
Cutchin and Subsidiary Tail at
Selkirk, and to request the sanction
of Government, and allotment of funds
for the same (Supers 1888. p. 5).

The work was completed and
further payments made in last fiscal
year (1893. 7th), and the amount above
referred to, still stands as an objection-
able item, against No 1 Range Schino-
poly District in the Controller's Books.

I have the honor to be
Your Obedt Servant
George V. Law - Major
District Engineer

1894
474 - 550

File No. 1657-6-75

201-270375-806/75
2730-28-7-75
2475-28-7-75
3370-26-10-68

R. W. 1895 201-
No. 979

201-270375-806/75
Memo. No. 215 of 23 Feb/75
P.W.D. - 1

201-270375-806/75

201-270375-806/75

DOCUMENTS IN FILE.

From District Engineer— *Trichinopoly.*

1 Covering letter No. *601*, date *25 Feb/75*
806 27/13 March/75
By *Tahildars Cutcherry & Subail. Dalagady.*
~~1 Letter from Range Officer, No. , date~~

~~1 Detailed Estimate~~ *Comparative Statement.*

~~1 Abstract do.~~

~~1 Plan.~~

~~1 Specification Report.~~

From Office—

Memo: No 215 of 23 Feb/75

Original sanction, No. *3370*, date *26 Oct/68*.
for detailed estimate preparation.

Orders and Proceedings.	No.	Date.	Under P. W. D. G. O.	
			No.	Date.
P. W. Department	...	<i>2703 5-11-75</i>		
		<i>2730 28-7-75</i>		
<i>Sub</i> Public do.	...	<i>1574 16-7-69</i>	<i>2475</i>	<i>28-7-69</i>
		<i>1635 26-10-68</i>	<i>3370</i>	<i>26-10-68</i>
Revenue do.	...			
Financial do.	...			
Military do.	...			

No. II.—PARTICULARS FROM
ESTIMATES.

Main Budget Head to which chargeable.

Provincial

Title and purpose of the work as given by
District Engineer.

By Tahildars Cutcherry & Sub Jail at Dalgudi

Original sanction for the preparation of the
detailed estimate.

(Date and number in both sanctioning and Public Works
Department)

San. No. 10135 of 16/7/68 and P.W. No. 3370 of 28/7/68

Amount of original detailed estimate.

Rs 13680

Number and date of sanction and endorse-
ment in Public Works Department.

San. No. 10154 of 16/7/69 and P.W. No. 2675 of 28/7/69

Amount of revised estimate *15063*

Do. of original do. *13680*

Excess over do. do. *1383*

Budget Head to which the work is charge-
able, in detail.

Civil Buildings

~~Amount of current year's allotment ...~~ *3636*

Expenditure in 1873-74
Do. expended in previous years *11377*

Total *15063*

Balance to be provided *1383*

Funds can be made available during the
current year.

(Yes or no, and state how in former case)

No

Brought forward as urgent.

(Yes or no, and if so state by who.)

*No. but work reported as
completed.*

Approved by the District Engineer

No 1 Länge

Increase Decrease

Particulars	Dr	Cr	Amount	Dr	Cr	Amount	Dr	Cr	Amount
1870									
1871									
1872									
1873									
1874									
1875									
1876									
1877									
1878									
1879									
1880									
1881									
1882									
1883									
1884									
1885									
1886									
1887									
1888									
1889									
1890									
1891									
1892									
1893									
1894									
1895									
1896									
1897									
1898									
1899									
1900									
1901									
1902									
1903									
1904									
1905									
1906									
1907									
1908									
1909									
1910									
1911									
1912									
1913									
1914									
1915									
1916									
1917									
1918									
1919									
1920									
1921									
1922									
1923									
1924									
1925									
1926									
1927									
1928									
1929									
1930									
1931									
1932									
1933									
1934									

3 marzo

Item	Quantity	Unit	Price	Total	Remarks
Ordnance Chamber	2478 1/2	"	2	4957	
Roofing with Oakwood shingles	9662 1/2	22	"	2125 10 10	
Flooring with 8" square bricks	3751	6	"	225 11 27 1/2	
Plastering	6998 1/2	2	"	13997	
Pointing	4994	15	"	74910	
Iron works	708 3/4	2	"	1417 1/2	
Oakwood	1411 23/72	3	"	4233 3/4	
Cut Stone Slabs	99	1	"	99	
Open brick work in pillars & side walls	160	9	"	1440	
Function doors with frames	393	1	"	393	
Iron barred doors without frames	11	22	"	242	
Doors with battens	2	25	"	50	
Do Ventilators with frames	8	12	"	96	
Semicircular attic glazed windows	1	16	"	16	
Flooring with asphalt	1192	25	"	29800	
Mud walling	112 1/2	6	"	675	
Mud Plastering	2787 1/2	9	"	25087 1/2	
Wood siding	189	6	"	1134	
Compensation for land	300	"	"	300	
Hedging	35	"	"	35	
Well	100	"	"	100	
Scaffolding	94	"	"	94	
Excavating foundation and filling	50	"	"	50	
Sundries	675	5	"	3375	
	13680	"	"	13680	

Richinsopolis
60 January 1861

Signed J. D. Lawrence, C.E.
Assistant Engineer

Geo. V. Law. Asst
District Engineer

Wednesday Night

Endorse to letter 9th Oct.
of 3rd February 1895 to
Governor; draw the
last signature (indistinct)

GA 108 1895

with 1895

Stephenson's 1895.

write our Standard
and copy of Standard
and Standard and 1895.

1895 9/25

Memoranda 215-23-2-75

1721

Mr. Tuckersby Range Office
13th March 1875
15

Dear

The Officer in Charge
No. 1 Range

At the District Engineer
Tuckersby

Sir,

Regarding No 710 dated 3rd March 1875, I have the honor to explain about the failure to report the probable excess over our estimate. It was not reported as I had hoped to for plug katana have kept the excess within 5 per cent of the estimate.

With reference to your Docket letter No 710 dated 3rd March 1875, I have the honor to state that the probable excess over the estimate for Dept. Har. Station at Boulder di was not reported as I had hoped to for plug katana have kept the excess within 5 per cent of the estimate, and when making payment at the close of last year the bills for the work were incorrectly prepared an item of previous payment having been omitted by oversight, and hence it was not until closing the accounts of March that it was discovered that payment had been made in excess of 5 per cent on the item.

I may add that the work was almost completed before I took charge of this Range and the causes of the excess on the estimate have already been explained.

I am Sir
Yours truly
Signed Geo. D. Hyman
District Engineer
No. 1 Range

Genl. O. Law Major
District Engineer

District Engineer's Office
Trichinopoly
13th March 1875
15th

N^o 806

From,
The District Engineer,
Trichinopoly.

To,
The Under Secretary
to Government.
Fort Saint George.

Sir,
Your N^o 215 dated 5th February 1875
I have the honor to forward copy of Range
Officers letter N^o 724, 13th March 1875 giving
explanation called for.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Obedient Servant.

Genl. G. Law. Major.
District Engineer Trichinopoly.

Enclosure to letter
N^o 806 of 13th March
1875. from the
District Engineer
Trichinopoly.

M. 17/3/75

W.E.

Note from Aug 7 - 6-7-1900
 Viz: 8/15/95 -
 A. A. Chan. 125 - 2/15/95 -

work to the face of the building was apparently unnecessary, but the other excesses are fairly accounted for. The action of the Range Officer in delaying the submission till February 1875 of the revised estimate of a building completed before April 1874 cannot but be noted as exceedingly dilatory and unsatisfactory.

The revised estimate
amounting to Rs 15063
is sanctioned.

Arthur

Dithing Technology

✓K-235-237

Aug. 21/89

S. C. Dwyer
21st - 75

X-attch Ref: from Oct 7-6-75

979
1719
Govt. of (1875) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
Messrs. J. & J.
Trichinopoly

10/11

10/11

Recd.

G. O. No. 1437

10/11-237

Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry
and Subsidiary Jail at
Lalgooty, Trichinopoly.

Revised estimate amounting
to Rs: 15,000 for the
—, sanctioned, and
the action of the Range
officer considered
unsatisfactory.