

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1616	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	9 - 6	2875
		—195—

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
9th June 1875.*

No. 189. Read the following despatch from the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India:—

Public Works.

INDIA OFFICE, LONDON,
6th May 1875.

No. 8.

I HAVE received your Excellency's letter, No. 5, of the 18th March last, forwarding reports on the progress of the works of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company for the quarters ending the 31st March and 30th June 1874.

2. A point which seems to require explanation is the great difference in the cost of establishments for executive purposes and for general management during the two quarters. With an expenditure for construction of Rupees 5,664 in the earlier quarter, the charges for these establishments were 0.16 and 31.6 per cent., whilst with an expenditure of Rupees 1,399 in the latter quarter, they were 17.1 and 5.3 per cent. on outlay respectively.

3. I shall be glad to be informed whether the figures given in the reports are correct, and, if so, upon what principle the establishment costs have been calculated.

I have, &c.,

SALISBURY.

His Excellency the Honorable
The Governor in Council, Fort St. George.

No. 190. ORDER THEREON, 9th June 1875, No. 1,616.

IRRIGATION, No. 16.

COMMUNICATED to the Examiner of
Accounts for early report.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,

Offg. Joint Secretary to Government.

To the Examiner, Irrigation Company's Accounts.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Exd. A. Grubert.

Spare Copies

Public Works
No 8.

India Office. London.
6th May, 1875.

Sir,

1. I have received
Your Excellency's letter,
No 5, of the 18th March
last, forwarding reports
on the progress of the
works of the Madras
Irrigation and Canal
Company for the quarters
ending the 31st March
and 30th June 1874.

2. A point which
seems to require explanation
is the great difference in
the

His Excellency,
The Honorable,
The Governor in Council.

Fort St. P.

3. I shall be glad to be informed whether the figures given in the reports

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient humble servant,

Salisbury

1. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 2. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 3. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 4. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 5. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 6. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 7. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 8. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 9. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*
 10. *Handwritten text, mostly illegible due to blurriness.*

P. W. 1875-Sept.
No 5.

Public Works
6th May 1875
No. 7 June/75

The
Letter to Fort St. George
No 8.

Accounts for early reports

Aug. 4/6

40 No. 1610 of 9th June/75
communicated to the Committee of

Regarding Certain
information in ~~the~~
Connection with the
reports on the progress
of the works of the M. S.
and Canal Co. for June
the 31st March & 30th
June/75.

With order
Printed Oct 29 May 1875

E. H. Account of
the Engineer for
S. C. Canal - 17-6-75

Despatch No. 18
Govt. of India
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Engineer,
Imp. Canals.

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. of June 1875, No. 1516

Nos. 189-190

Reports on the progress of the
works of the Madras Irr.
and Canal Company
for the quarters ending
the 31st March and the
30th June /74. — Despatch
of the Home Government
requesting certain information
in connection with the
communicated to the

Recd at Cal. 29 May 1875