

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public works.

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	487	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
527-528		
Dated	26-2-1844	195

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th February 1874.*

No. 527. Read the following endorsement of the Chief Secretary to Government:—

From W. S. FOSTER, Esq., President of the Municipal Commission, Godavery District, to the Hon. W. HUDLESTON, Chief Secretary to Government, dated Cocanada, 12th December 1873, No. 16.

I HAVE the honour to inform you that at a Meeting of the Municipal Commissioners of Cocanada Town, held on 14th November 1873, a majority of those present agreed to the adoption of the following Resolution:—

Resolution 3rd of the Meeting of the 14th November 1873.—“ The Commissioners having heard that Government have sanctioned an Imperial Road of earthwork of 71 feet broad, at a cost of Rupees 20,000, on the southern side of the river adjoining the revetment which is now in process of construction, and as this road is in the very centre of the Municipality and will interfere with some houses in Jaganaikpuram, it is resolved that Government be solicited, through the President, to permit the Municipality to undertake the work for the sanctioned amount, and receive the same from Imperial Funds for carrying out of the work.”

2. As this was passed by the vote of the majority, I am bound, as President, to submit it to the Government; but I consider it my duty, at the same time, to inform you that I cannot myself support the proposition.

3. This road runs from the iron bridge across the Cocanada river down towards the sea, all along the canal bank above the revetment. It is true that the work lies within Municipal limits, but I do not think that that is any reason for supposing that it should be necessarily carried out by the Municipality.

4. My principal ground for not supporting the Resolution is that the very close contiguity of this road and the revetment works renders it very desirable that the same agency should carry out both, to prevent any clashing of interests. I fear that if the Municipality be permitted to carry out this work, the Public Works Department will lay to their charge any injuries done to the revetments and *vice versa*.

5. The interference with the houses is not, I consider, a forcible objection, as in any case if the work is done at all the houses must be interfered with.

TRANSFERRED to the Department Public Works.

(Signed). W. HUDLESTON,
Chief Secretary.

No. 528.

ORDER THEREON, 26th February 1874, No. 487.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 44.

OTHER arrangements having been determined upon, His Excellency the Governor in Council must decline the offer to execute a portion of the work alluded to made on the part of the Commissioners of the Cocanada Municipality.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Financial Department.
District Engineer, Godavery.
Inspecting Engineer for Ports and Communications.
Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

No. 16

Godaneny District

Municipal Office

Cananada 12th December 1873

From

M. S. Facter Equier

President

To

The Honorable Mr. Hudson

Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Fort Saint George

I have the honor to inform you that at a meeting of the Municipal Commissioners of Cananada town held on 14th November 1873, a majority of those present agreed to the adoption of the following Resolution --

Resolution 3^d of the meeting of the 14th Nov^r 73.

" The Commissioners having heard that
" Government have sanctioned an Imperial
" road of earth work of 71 feet broad, at a
" cost of Rupees 20000, on the southern side
" of the river adjoining the Kevetment which
" is now in process of construction; and as
" this road is in the very centre of the Municipality and will interfere with some houses
" in Jagannaitpuram, It is resolved that
" Government be solicited through the
" President to permit the Municipality to
" undertake the work for the sanctioned amount,
" and receive the same from Imperial Funds
" for the carrying out of the work :-

2. As this was passed by the vote of

the Majority, I am bound, as President, to submit it to the Government, but I consider it my duty, at the same time, to inform you that I cannot sincerely support the proposition.

3. This road runs from the Iron bridge across the Canada river down towards the sea, all along the Canal bank above the Regiment. It is true that this work lies within Municipal limits, but I do not think that that is any reason for supposing that it should be successfully carried out by the Municipality.

4. My principal ground for not supporting the Resolution is, that the very close contiguity of this road and the Regiment works renders it very desirable that the same Agency should carry out both, to prevent any clashing of interests. I fear that if the Municipality be permitted to carry out this work, the Public Works Department will lay to their charge any injuries done to the Regiments, and vice versa.

5. The interference with the houses is not, I consider, a forcible objection as in any case, if the work is done at all the houses must be interfered with.

I have the honor to be
Yours most obediently
Edw. Hammett
S.D. Clubs

W. Foster
President

Wm. Foster
2/13/74

the Majority have named as President

No 487

P. W. D.

Proceedings of the Madras Government,

Under date the

187 .

Read the following:—

From the President of the
Municipal Commⁿ
Goaavery District
thru the Chief Secy to Govt.

H. E. No 16 of 12th
Decr 1873.

Other arrangements
having been determined
upon, His Excellency the
Governor in Council
must decline the offer
to execute a portion of
the work alluded to
made on the part of the
Commissioners of the
Cocanada Municipality

G.M.S.

1873, Dec 13
From the President
No 4853.

The President
Municipal Commission
Goaavery District
thru the Chief Secretary
to Government

Dated 12 Decr 73
Recd 19
No 16
Encls: None
No

Submitting a
Resolution of the
Municipal meeting
of 14 November 1873
regarding a road.

Transmitted to the S.P.W.
L. P. S. S. S.
No 487-26 Feb 74

No 527
Cir 22 Jan 74

File Dept
W. S. G. Laven
Supt for Ports & Harbours
Recd into C 23/7/74

P. W. Septe

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Read the following

From the President Municipal Commissioners
Godavari District
through the Chief Secretary

(H. E. No. 1687/12th Dec^r 1873)

It is understood
that the work referred to by
the Municipal Commis-
sioners in this letter
is that of reclaiming the
Cocanada River Banks,
an estimate for which
was sanctioned in G.O.
No. 1938- 14th August 1872,
and that the Municipality
desire to undertake the
Earth works, leaving the
Masonry

Masonry to be executed
by the Public Works Deptt.

His Excellency the
Governor in Council
cannot permit any such
transfer of executive
duties.

G.M.W.

1003-
From P.W.D. to Govt.
I would say that other
arrangements have been de-
termined upon the P.W.D.
must decline the offer to
execute a part of the work
allotted to the part of the Compt.
23/1

To
The Financial Deptt.
Distt. Engr. Godavery

22 Jan 1914

12/853
Govt. of 1874 Indras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To the Financial Dept.

Date

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 26 Feb. No. 487

No. 527/578

Road, Indaneng, S.

Requ. the Govt. of the
Municipality to undertake
the construction of a portion
of the road bridge of the
river at Coanada