

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public works.

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	430	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
665-416		
Dated	21-2-1874	195

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
21st February 1874.*

No. 415. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel C. S. HEARN, Inspector-General of Police, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 16th January 1874, No. 134:—

WITH reference to paragraph 2 of G. O., No. 2,845, dated 25th November 1873, I have the honour to forward a letter from the Superintendent of Police, Madura, explaining that the disappearance of the revised estimate referred to was not owing to culpable carelessness and neglect on his part.

From Captain F. KILGOUR, Acting Superintendent of Police, Madura, to the Assistant Inspector-General of Police, Madras, dated Madura, 15th December 1873, No. 383.

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge receipt of official memorandum, No. 3,893, Hutting and Building, 4th December 1873.

1. The District Engineer, when the copy of the revised estimate was written for, was informed that the original estimate was missing; the District Engineer is not accurate in his statement in paragraph 5 of his letter recorded in G. O. of 25th ultimo, No. 2,845, Public Works Department, that the revised estimate was mislaid by this department.

2. The revised estimate for Combay Station was submitted for the sanction of the Inspector-General on 25th January 1873. Memorandum No. 345, dated 1st February, with the revised estimate sanctioned was not received in this office; it was supposed to have been retained in the Central Office. On receipt of memorandum No. 1,457, dated 7th May, it was known to have been despatched on the 1st February.

On inquiry being instituted it was ascertained not to have been received in this office; a reply to this effect was sent on the 23rd May. Memorandum No. 1,765, dated 6th June, directed search to be made, and, if not found, a fresh plan and estimate to be sent for approval.

3. On the 25th June, the District Engineer was written to for a copy of the revised plan and estimate; these, however, took two months and a half to prepare, they being received in this office with his letter dated 12th September.

4. It is impossible to ascertain where the original revised estimate was lost; it is shown to have been despatched from the Central Office; there is nothing to show its receipt in this office, or that it was mislaid as alleged by the District Engineer in his letter.

No. 416. ORDER THEREON, 21st February 1874, No. 430.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 35.

ORDERED to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Inspector-General of Police.
„ District Engineer, Madura.
„ Judicial Department.

No 383

Madras Police Office
15th December 1873

From

The Superintendent of Police
Madras

To

The Asst. Insp. of Police
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Official Memorandum No 3893
Hutting and Building 4th December 1873.

(1) The District Engineer, when the Copy of the Revised Estimate was written for, was informed that the Original Estimate was missing; the District Engineer is not accurate in his Statement in para 5 of his letter recorded in G. O of 25th Ulti-
mo No 2845 P. W. D., that the Revised Estimate was mislaid by this Department.

(2) The Revised Estimate for Cambay Station was submitted for the sanction of the Inspector General on 25th January 1873. Memo No 345 dated 1st February with the Revised Estimate sanctioned was not received in this Office; it was supposed to have been retained in the Central Office. On receipt of Memo No 1457 dated 7th May, it was known to have been despatched on the 1st February.

On enquiry being instituted it was ascertained not to have been received in this Office; a reply to this effect was sent on the 23rd May. Memo No. 1765 dated 6th June directed search to be made, and if not found, a fresh Plan and Estimate to be sent for approval.

(3) On the 25th June the District Engineer was written to for a Copy of the Revised Plan and Estimate; these however took two months and a half to prepare, they being received in this Office with his letter dated 12th September.

4 It is impossible to ascertain where the Original Revised Estimate was lost; it is shown to have been despatched from the Central Office; there is nothing to show its receipt in this Office or that it was mislaid as alleged by the District Engineer in his letter.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours most Obedt Servt
H. R. G. R. Capt
as Capt of Police

H. R. G. R.

17.

Inspector General of Police

An enquiry being instituted it was

1874 (Department
Enclosure)

In a letter from
the Inspector General
of Police dated
Madras 16th January
1874 -

From
The Supt of Police
Madras
To the Asst Insp Genl
of Police

States that the Dt
Engineer is not ac-
-curate in his statement
-that the revised estimate
for Combay was
mislaid by this
Department -
P. W. 285/74.

Chief Office of the Inspector General of Police,
No. 134

Madras, 16th January 1874

From

The Inspector General of Police,

To

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort Saint George.

ST,
With reference to Para 2 of
G. O. No. 2845 dated 25th November
1872, I have the honor to forward
a letter from the Superintendent
of Police, Madras, explaining
that the disappearance of the
Revised Estimate referred to
was not owing to culpable
carelessness and neglect
on his part -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt,
I Ham
Inspector General of Police

No 430

P. O. Departhe

Pro: of the Madras Government

Read the following

From

The Inspector General
of Police

(H. E. no 1847/16 Jan 1874)

Ordered & recorded.

The explanation sub-
mitted only shows the
necessity for strict ad-
herence to the rule that
all Plans and Estimates
for work to be entered in the
Police Budget of any
year shall be submitted
in time to admit of
their sanction before
the commencement of
that year.

LMW

I was very much
the question is who is
responsible for losing the
paper. - The necessity
attended to is who
was with M
23/1

62
4

Head of Police
Cheng. Madras
Ind. Departhe

Recd. dated 26/4/74

No 430-21 July 74
Spec. of 1874
P. O. 1874 (Department)

From 1874-85

The Inspector General
of Police

to the Secy to Govt
Public Works Dept

Secy to Govt

Dated 16 July 74

Recd 17/7/74

Enclosure (one)

The Secy to Govt

from the Secy to Govt

Madras explaining

that the disbursement
of the Revised Estimate
referred to was not

owing to Civil Works
Dept. is neglected in his
subject.

C/285 *

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

The Inspector-General

of Police

Recd.

Recd.

G. O. 21st July 1904.

No. 4157416

Cambay Police Station house,
Madras S. Explanation,
Submitted by the Insp.
Genl of Police, as to the
loss of the revised estimate
for the Station house,
Recorded.