

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1732 (2)	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
584-585		
Dated	26-6-1954	1954

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th June 1874.*

No. 584. Read the following letter from Major H. R. MEAD, R.E., District Engineer, Tanjore, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Tanjore, 16th May 1874, No. 188:—

I HAVE the honour to forward a petition I received some time ago from Sasha Iyengar, Contractor, enclosing a petition which he had addressed to you, and had returned to him with endorsement. As I am now able to state the cost nearly of the cut-stone work done since the Contractor gave up the contract, I think it fair to him to again forward it.

2. With regard to the cut-stone work, I would point out that previous to the construction of the Vennaur Regulators, all the cut-stone work done in the district had been executed not from quarried stone, but from old stone brought at low rates (and in many cases taken for nothing) from old temples, etc. Also that the work done is of a much superior description to that formerly executed in the district; there was therefore but little data to go on to settle a fair rate.

3. The original contract was Rupee 1 per cubic foot; the work done by the Contractor has been paid for at the rate of Rupees 1-2-0 per cubic foot.* The work done departmentally since the Contractor gave up the work has cost from Rupees 1-4-0 to Rupees 1-5-0 per cubic foot; this work, however, is more costly than that done by the Contractor, as none of it is flooring, and the upper portions are more expensive to execute than the lower.

4. I consider that a fair rate for the work throughout, allowing a small margin, would be Rupees 1-3-6 per cubic foot, and I know the cost to the Contractor was much more than this; one reason being that work of this sort had not been done before in the district, and he being new to the work did not execute it as judiciously as he might have done.

5. Contractor Sasha Iyengar is, I believe, the only man in the district who would have gone on with this work as he did, working at a loss, and this is creditable to him as a Contractor; the work done by him far exceeded the quantity he had contracted for.

No. 585. ORDER THEREON, 26th June 1874, No. 1,732.

IRRIGATION, No. 49.

UNDER the circumstances brought to notice by the District Engineer, Tanjore, the Government authorize the payment to Contractor Sasha Iyengar of the increased rate of Rupees 1-3-6 per cubic foot for the cut-stone work executed by him to the Vennaur Regulators, and the District Engineer will apply for such supplemental sanction for the regulators as may consequently be necessary.

(True Extract.)

Liout.-Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" District Engineer, Tanjore.
" Officiating Controller of Public Works Accounts.
Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

No. 188

District Engineer's Office
Tanjore 16 May 1894

From

Major A. R. Macdonald

District Engineer, Tanjore

To

Colonel G. W. Wacker, R. E.

Secretary, Government

P. W. Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward
a petition I received some time ago
from Sashu Senghar Contractor —
enclosing a petition which he had
addressed to you and had returned
to him with endorsement. He
is now able to state the cost
nearly of the cut stone work done
since the Contractor gave up the
Contract. I think it fair to run
to again forward it.

2. With regard to the cut stone
work

work I would point out that previous to the construction of the Vennar Regulators all the cut stone work done in the District had been executed not from quarried stone but from old stone brought at low rates (and in many cases taken for nothing) from old temples &c. - Also that the work done is of a much superior description to that formerly executed in the District, there was therefore but little data to go on to settle a fair rate.

3. The original Contract was Rupees 1 per cubic foot, the work done by the Contractor has been paid for at the rate of Rupees 2- per cubic foot. The work done departmentally since the Contractor gave up the work has cost from ^{Rs.} 1-4 to ^{Rs.} 1-5 per cubic foot, this work however is more costly than

than that done by the Contractor as none of it is flooring and the upper portions are more expensive to execute than the lower.

4. I consider that a fair rate for the work throughout, allowing a small margin would be Rs. 1-3-6. per cubic foot and I know the cost to the Contractor was much more than this. One reason being that work of this sort had not been done before in the District and he being new to the work did not execute it as judiciously as he might have done.

5. Contractor Sarda Arjun is a Belcher, the only man in the District who would have gone on with this work as he did working at a loss and this is creditable to him as a Contractor the work done

Dietrich's

Entered 16th May 1874
 Received of Mr. ———
 £10.10.1732. 28th Sept. 1875.
 Transferred with liabilities
 to Messrs. a Partnership in London
 from the bank of England & Co. Ltd.
 for the sum of £10.10.1732.
 Regulations. Application for
 immediate consideration to
 the Board of Directors
 in connection with the
 financial condition of the
 Company.

0.3.3.

See Secondary about

The Sixth Avenue
Carriage

No: 2943

Sub: Mexico 1874 Sept.
 1874 Sept. 1874 Sept.
 No. 7013

Read the following :—

Home.

Distt Engineer Tanjore (A.E. No 188 of 16th May 1874)
Read again Pro: Madras Govt No 2706 dated
5th November 1873

His Excellency the

I am inclined to ~~stand~~
 record the terms named in
 8.7. - There are good reasons
 why the Contractor underesti-
 mated his cost - and I hope
 I can show that the rate
 obtained 07-5-6 (less 10%
 what the max cost the Contractor)
 is reasonable and more than
 could ~~be~~ ^{be} ~~except~~ the cost of
 even thrifty execution with
 20% ~~20%~~ The case is
 a special one & the type
 never found with British
 Indian masonry
 20% ~~20%~~
 I do not object to his

Governor in Council
 declines to sanction any
 further increase of
 rate for the cut stone
 work executed to the
 Government by
 Contractor Lachungar.
 A very material addition
 has already been made to
 the

the rate at which he
 contracted to do this
 work, first by increasing
 the quantity to be paid being saved from
 for, a result of an loss. though it is
 alteration in the mode of measurement, the he should then
 consequence being that inasmuch as he
 for rough stone he has to contract. who
 been paid at the cut and if we want of
 stone rate, and secondly the work being engaged
 by a direct increase to per form. but
 of the contract rate the result says he
 from 1 Rupee to $1\frac{1}{8}$ Rupees has been paid for
 the Cubic foot. rough as for cut

2. The Government should be agreed
 have no intention of
 altering the conditions

I think, as an exceptional
 case, we may agree
 to the increased
 rate. The contractor
 has done
~~very good~~ exceptional
 good work

of contracts in order to
 save contractors from
 loss; And in this case
 it is quite clear that
 the Contractor did not
 understand the work
 he engaged to perform,
 and that by his in-
 judicious arrange-
 ments its cost to him
 was very considerably
 enhanced.

May 27/99
 G. H. R.

Refer to Order 18 May 1900

Instructions regarding the
 Contract.

1732 P.W.O.

Pro. of the Madras Govt.

Read the following

From,

The District Engineer

Sanyone

(H. E. No. 188 of 16th May/14)

Under the circumstances brought to notice by District Engineer, Sanyone, the Govt. authorize the payment to Contractor Sacha Sanyone of the increased rate of Rupees 1-3-6 per cubic foot for the cut stone work executed by him to the Tennar regulators, and the District

[Signature]

District Engineers
will apply for such
supplemental sanction
for the regulators as
may consequently
be necessary.

18/6/74

G.M.M.

To the Chief Engineer for S. E. ^{with 5 copies}
" S. E. Tanjore ✓
" Controller ✓
S. Copies depts to all 14/7/74.

584-585

Cir 18/6/74 128 18/6/74

2943

Govt. of 1876 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.



The C. Engr. for
Madras.

26 June 1876 No. 1732

Nos. 682/588

Vennair Regulators

Tanjore District

The payment to Contractor
Sachia Iyengar of the in-
creased rate of Rs. 1-3-6
per cubic foot for the cut
stone work executed by him
to the Vennair Regulators,
authorized, & the C. Engr. is
apply for such "supple-
mental sanction for the work
as may consequently be
necessary.