

- (1) Number of pages _____
- (2) Date of repair _____
- (3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	108	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
108-109		
Dated	5 - 3 - 1873	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
5th March 1873.*

No. 108. Read the following letter from the District Engineer, Nellore, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Nellore, 5th February 1873, No. 16:—

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 144 of 20th ultimo, and to inform you the subordinates referred to therein were dismissed agreeably to paragraph 4 of G. O., No. 1,935, of 14th August 1872.

2. With reference to the defence set up by the petitioners, I beg to observe that I cannot acquit them of dishonest intentions, but I must add there is much to be stated in their favour, as they were serving under a man who permitted, if he did not order, them to prepare false accounts.

3. The statements made in paragraphs 13 of the memorials under notice are probably correct, as the pit books alluded to in Mr. Peters' letter were not signed by the Overseer.

4. While investigating the case I pointed out to the petitioners that it was their bounden duty to report to the Range Officer, or to the Superintending Engineer, if their immediate superior directed them to do an unlawful act; but this they declared they were afraid to do. Taking this statement into consideration, together with all that transpired during the examination, I came to the conclusion that they would be sufficiently punished by degrading, instead of dismissing, them as I had intended and acted accordingly.

5. The enclosures of your letter under reply are herewith returned.

No. 109. ORDER THEREON, 5th March 1873, No. 708.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 19.

UNDER the circumstances represented by the late Officiating Superintending Engineer of the 2nd Division, the Government will not object to the District Engineer of the Kistna re-employing the petitioners, if after a careful consideration of the records, that officer is of opinion that the conditions laid down in G. O., No. 1,935, as meriting dismissal were not fulfilled.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Kistna.

Ecd. S. T. Austin.

O. M. N. 125-

Date 6th Dec 1872
Despatched -

The undersigned is directed to request
the District Engineer, Tristram to be good
enough to return the records of the case disposed
of in L. O. N. 1935 dated 14th August 1872.
The documents were forwarded to the Super-
Engineer of the 2nd Division on the 20th
August.

Yours L. J. Smiths. Capt. R.E.
of Muder Key to Capt
R. W. D.

L

The District Engineer
Tristram -

P. W. 882/73.

Feb 16

District Engineer's Office
Bellevue 5th February 1873.
Desks. 6th 00.

From.

A. Smith Esquire
District Engineer

To: of Bellevue
Captain C. J. Smith D. E.
Acting Under Secretary to Government
P. W. D. Mass.

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge
the receipt of your letter, No 144
of 20th Ultimo, and to inform you
the subordinates, referred to
therein, were dismissed agreeably
to paragraph 11 of G. O. No 1935
of 14th August 1872.

2. With reference to the defence
set up by the Petitioners, I
beg to observe that I cannot
acquit them of dishonest &
intentional, but I must add
there is much to be stated
in their favor, as they were
serving

serving under a man who permitted, if he did not order, them to prepare false accounts.

3. The statements made, in paragraphs 13 of the memorials under notice, are probably correct as the pit books, as alluded to in Mr. Peters' letter, were not signed by the Overseer.

4. While investigating the case, I pointed out to the Petitioners, that it was their bounden duty to report to the Range Officer, or to the Superintending Engineer, if their immediate superior directed them to do

an unlawful act; but that they declared they were afraid to do. Taking their statement into consideration together with all that transpired, during the examination, I came to the conclusion that they would be

sufficiently punished by degrading, instead of dismissing, ~~them~~ ^{those who had instigated} them, and acted accordingly.

5. The enclosures of your letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
J. Smith
District Engineer
of Malacca

J. H. C.

P. N. Dept

no 708

15

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the February
And the following

in the District Engineer
M. C. No 16 of 5 February
Mellor

Under the circum-
stances represented by
the late Offg Superintendent
Engineer of the 2^d Division
the Government will not
object to the District
Engineer of the District
re-employing the petition-
ers, if after a careful
consideration of the
records

W. R.

No 21
14 Aug 1872 - 229-238

P. N. 1873
from 16th Dec 1872
The District Engineer
Mellor

The Under Secretary
to Govt P. N. 8

Dated 5th February 1873
Despatched 1st 801

Recd. 10th 801

Enclosure 9. 801

Office of the District
Engineer of the District
-ordinate in the District
District Engineer
agreed by G. O. No 1935
of 14th August 1872 -

X 5
No 708-5 dated 1873.

No 708

No 708-5 dated 1873.

records, that Officer is of
opinion that the conditions
laid down in G.O. No.
1935 as regarding dismissal
were not fulfilled.

C.L.

Feb 13. LMW

12-108-9.

To The District Engineer
& Kellner

Despatch 7/3/72 + 2 Refs.

Govt. of [1873] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

The District Engineer
Tirunelveli

Dated

Recd.

Encl. 7

G. O. 5th Decr. 708.

No. 1088/109

Subordinates. Passing orders in letter from the District Engineer, Bellare, reporting on petitions from certain Subordinates appealing against their dismissal ~~ordered~~ ordered in G.O. No. 1935 of 1872.