

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	580	Press
503.504		Mis.
Dated	21-2	18-13.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
21st February 1873.*

No. 503. Read the following letter from the District Engineer, Godavery, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 10th January 1873, No. 427 —

I HAVE the honour to acknowledge receipt of G O of the 19th December 1872, No 3,033, and regret to find the Government express dissatisfaction with my apparent want of knowledge of what one of my subordinate officers was doing, but as Messrs Turner and Brickwell were sent up by G O of the 8th January 1872, No 31, to be specially employed on famine relief works, and by G. O of the 30th January 1872, No 187, the Government pointed out to me these works were entirely conducted by themselves in direct communication with Mr. Thornhill, and that gentleman issued his instructions directly to all officers without one word of reference to this office during the whole time he was employed on this special duty, it was not possible for me to know what the works were designed for beyond the general purpose of affording relief to the suffering population, a measure carried out by the Government on my representations, more particularly as urged in my letter printed in G. O., No. 187, above noted, after Mr Thornhill had left Vizagapatam for Ganjam under the impression nothing was required for the former district, to which he had to return.

2. By paragraph 2 of G O of the 19th December 1872, No 3,033, it is declared that no report is extant why the line of the Pachipentah ghaut was abandoned, and as famine relief works were taken up in any part of the country to aid the people, it was quite impossible for me to guess that any of these was to be in connection with a ghaut work, particularly as this was always referred to as the Jeypore ghaut, the line for which had been specially sanctioned by Government in paragraph 2 of G. O., of the 2nd July 1869, No 2,416, to be by the Pachipenta pass, a well known native pass and recommended by such competent authority as Mr. Minchin

3 Under the explanations now submitted, I respectfully solicit the Government will be pleased to withdraw the censure passed on me in the G. O. under reply

No. 504. ORDER THEREON, 21st February 1873, No. 580.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 31.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is not disposed to modify the wording of the order objected to by Lieutenant-Colonel Fischer.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,

. Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Godavery.

E.d. S. T. Austin.

No. 427. District Engineer's Office Jodhpur
Dialaiswarum 10 January 1873

From

Lieut. Col. J. F. Fiecher R.E.
District Engineer Jodhpur

To
Colonel J. M. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge re:
ceipt of G.O. of the 19th December 1872 No 3033 and
regret to find the Government express dissatisfaction
with my apparent want of knowledge of what
one of my subordinate Officers was doing, but as
Messrs. Turner and Thornhill were sent up by
G.O. of the 8th January 1872 No 31 to be specially
employed on famine relief works, and by G.O.
of the 30th January 1872 No 187, the Government
pointed out to me these works were entirely con-
ducted by themselves in direct communication
with Mr. Thornhill, and that gentleman received
his instructions directly to all Officers without
one word of reference to this Office during the whole
time he was employed on this special duty,
was not possible for me to know what he was
designed for, beyond the general purpose

of affording relief to the suffering population, a measure carried out by the Government on my representations, more particularly as urged in my letter printed in G.O. No 187 above noted, after Mr. Thornhill has left Vizagapatam for Ganjam under the impression nothing was required for the former District to which he had to return.

2. By para 2 of G.O. of the 19th December 1872 No 3033, it is declared that no report is extant why the line of the Pachipentah ghaut was abandoned, and as famine relief works were taken up in any part of the Country to aid the people, it was quite impossible for me to guess that any of these was to be in connection with a ghaut work, particularly as this was always referred to as the Jeyhona ghaut, the line ^{for} which has been specially sanctioned by Government in para 2 of G.O. of the 22nd July 1869, to be by the Pachipentah pass, as well as an alternative pass and recommended by such ^{as} competent authority as Mr. Mencher.

Under the explanations now submitted,

I respectfully solicit the Government will be pleased to withdraw the censure passed on me in the G.O. under reply.

I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your most obedient servant

W. H. Jackson Esq. Col. R. A.

District Engineer, Ganjam

Wm 2 July 189 8086 235
 " 8 July 189 8086 235
 " 10 July 189 8086 235
 " 14 Dec 189 8086 235

M.C.
 21/12

Call Fletcher. E.M.

with 21/12 189 8086 235

1:503-4.
 20th Aug 1873-

No 580
 No Excellency the Governor in
 of the order objected to by death

P.W. 1873 Michael
 of 2351
 The District Engineer
 Port of District

J. The Secretary to the
 D.W. Hunter

dated 10th
 11th 189 8086 235

explaining the same
 as to the amendment
 of the District Engineer
 line. No recommendation
 having been made in
 the District Engineer's
 report to withdraw the
 same from the line
 in D.O. No 3053 of the 19
 December 1872
 with the 18th

C/2351
Cobt. of 1873 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To The District Engineer
Godavari

Dated

Recd.

Encl

G. 021st Feb 73 580

Nos. 573 & 574
Patchipenta Shant line!
Desiring to modify
the manding of
P.C. No 3033 of 1872.
Regarding the Patchi-
penta Shant line.