

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2973	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
176-171		
Dated	9-12-1873	195.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
9th December 1873.*

No. 170. Read the following letter from Major J. O. HASTED, R.E., District Engineer, Kistna, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Masulipatam, 3rd November 1873, No. 75 :—

I HAVE the honour, with reference to the G. O.'s noted in the margin, to request the orders of Government as to the repayment to Taluq Overseer P. Ramanarrain Ponday of Rs. 89 which sum was stolen with the Overseer's cash chest in August 1871 and refunded by the Overseer.

2. A copy of the sentence of the Session Court at Guntur on the man charged with the theft was forwarded to the Secretary to Government with the Superintending Engineer's letter, No. 153, dated 10th February 1872. I learn that the man was sentenced to one year's imprisonment, and to pay a fine of Rupees 100, or in default a further period of six months' imprisonment. The fine, I am informed, has been paid, and the Overseer now asks that the money which he paid may be refunded to him.

3. I observe that the officer in charge of the Range at the time the robbery took place applied to the Superintending Engineer at the time the Court passed sentence for the amount to be refunded to the Overseer, but no application was made to Government because, I conclude, the fine had not then been paid. As there will now be no loss to Government, and the Overseer seems to have been sufficiently punished by having to make good the money lost at once; considering that he was very young in the department when the robbery took place, I beg to recommend that the sum of Rupees 89 may be refunded to him.

No. 171. ORDER THEREON, 9th December 1873, No. 2,973.

ACCOUNT, No. 14.

His Excellency the Governor in Council has no objection to sanction the refund to Overseer Ramanarrain Ponday of the sum retrenched from his salary on the amount being placed to the credit of the Public Works Department from the fine imposed on the person convicted of the theft. The correspondence will accordingly be referred to the Judicial Department for the issue of the necessary orders.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Kistna.
„ Judicial Department, with former correspondence.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies.

3d

No 75 Krishna District Engineer's
Office Masulipatam
3rd November 1873

From,
Major J. O. Hastad R.E.
District Engineer Krishna

To,
Col. G. W. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir,
I have the honor with reference

to the G.O. No 152 of 21st Nov/71
" " No 34 of 7th Dec/71 to the G.O. noted in the margin,
" " No 3164 of 16th Dec/71
" " No 406 of 10th Feb/72 to request the orders of Government
" " No 587 of 4th March/72 as to the repayment to Salug Oversee

P. Raminarain Panday of Rs. 89
which sum was stolen with the
Oversee's cash chest in August 1871
and refunded by the Oversee.

2. A copy of the sentence of the
Sessions Court at Guntur on the
man charged with the theft was
forwarded to the Secretary to Govt
with the Supy. Engineer's letter No 153
dated 10th February 1872. I learn that
the

the man was sentenced to 1 year's imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs. 100, or in default, a further period of 6 months' imprisonment. The fine, I am informed, has been paid and the Overseer now asks that the money which he paid may be refunded to him.

3 I observe that the Officer in charge of the Range, at the time the robbery took place, applied to the Supg. Engineer at the time the Court passed sentence, for the amount to be refunded to the Overseer, but no application was made to Govt. because, I conclude, the fine had not then been paid. As there will now be no loss to Govt. and the Overseer seems to have been sufficiently punished by having to make good the money lost, at once considering that he was very young in the Department when the robbery took place, I beg to recommend that

the sum of Rs. 89 may be ⁵⁴ refunded to him

I have the honor to be

Sr,

Your Most Obedient Servant

I am, Sir,
Major R.E.
District Engineer, Krishna.

Rs. 89

received (to be returned)
dated 10/2/53.

the man was sentenced to 1 year.

Ph D
7d
N^o 2973

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 10th Nov 1873
Read the following

From the District Engineer }
District - } D. E. N^o 75 dated
3rd Nov 1873.

His Excellency the
Governor in Council has
no objection to sanction the
refund to Overseer Rama-
narrain Ponday of the
sum retrenched from his
salary on the amount
being placed to the credit
of the Public Works Dept^y
from the fine imposed on
the person convicted of the
theft. The correspondence
will accordingly be referred
to the Judicial Department
for the issue of the necessary
orders.

Dr. Eng. District
Jud. Dept^y with former
correspondence (to be returned)
Dispo. in 1/11/73.

From the District Engineer
to the Secretary
to Govt. Secy.
Dated 3rd Nov 1873.
Reference to
the Proceedings of
the Madras Govern-
ment, No. 2973, dated
the 10th Nov 1873.
The sum of Rs. 89 being the amount
retrenched from his salary,
made good by him, under
the various provisions
of the Criminal Code.
Dated 29th Nov 1873.

Cp. 6084

Gobl. of 1873 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The District Commr
Kistna

Date

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 9 Dec 23. No. 2973.

No. 170111

Overseer Ramana Rao Panday.

Paying orders in letter from
the Dist Commr, Kistna, re-
commending the refund
to the Overseer of the sum
detracted from his salary
on account of the amount
drawn from
him in Aug 72.