M.E.O. 1—10,006—28-2-50.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2462A	Press
~~B.P. No.~~ 114A 114B		Mis.
Dated	7-10-	1951 & 73

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 7th October 1873.

No. 114A. Read the following letter from G. S. SAGE, Esq., District Engineer, Cuddapah, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Govern. ment, Public Works Department, dated Cuddapah, 26th September 1873, No. 1,270 :—

As directed in paragraph 3 of G. O., No. 1,268, of 5th May last, I have the honour to forward a copy of the plaint of the Muddoor people, and of the reply which has been made.

1 Copy of Plaint.
1 do. answer to do.

2. I take this opportunity of stating the breach in question is in its original state; nothing has been done by this department in the matter.

No. 114B. ORDER THEREON, 7th October 1873, No. 2,462A.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 5B.

His Excellency the Governor in Council approves of the draft answer proposed to be filed in reply to the plaint, and as already directed in G. O., of 5th May 1873, No. 1,268, authorizes the defence of the suit in the first instance at the public expense.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Cuddapah.
,, Officiating Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies.

4 spare Copies will follow from Madras.

No 690 of 1893

To The District Court
Chavakachcheri

Plaintiffs	Defendants
1 Ratti Chinnathe Reddy	1 Nirigana Chella Reddy
Village Magistrate of Thavaveli	Village Magistrate of Tavaveli
2 — do Poly Reddy	2 — do Ramasawmy Reddy
3, Pallam Chinna Sella Reddy	3 — do Coorda Reddy
Son of Allad Reddy resident	resident of Tavaveli
of Thavaveli Vadukkan Valley	Vadukkan Valley

Plaint presented according to Civil Procedure Code — Law No 26

1. Since several years there is a land extending East to West between the Wet land situated as follows East to Thavaveli Village, North to Tavaveli Ceres Tank, South to Thondamiattah, West to Poorlagoonda-gunday and Ceres Large Tank of Tavaveli in which the defendants are living, the above Tank Consists, one breach at the East & from Western Side, and 4 Sarva breaches at the East & from these latter there is another bank up to the South side of Apron leading to Andaviripully, with a breach in the Centre Called Poorlagoonda gunday.

2. When there is excess of water in the above Wet land by the Tavaveli Ceres Tank receiving a good Supply, there is a usual Custom (since long time) of closing the Western breach in the bank situated between Tank and Wet land by the plaintiffs and the 4 Sarva breaches by the defendants, & afterwards both parties join together & open the Poorlagoonda-gunday, in Case of water remaining during present to as to Corrupt the Crops of plaintiffs

3. While it is the Case since time immemorial, in the year 1868, the defendants neglecting &

Etc

the duty of ryots to any, have not closed the 4 spurs branches which were in the bank situated between bank & the wet land, though cautioned at the time & have not come though asked for to open the Bulagantagandi & caused an objection. Since that time we are in dispute.

4 We the Plaintiffs had dug a channel in our own private puttah lands so as the wet lands may be easily well irrigated. the North side of apron leading to aforesaid up to Valingulah of Chadaw Dasawandum tank. The Defendants in December 1892 have merely erected a bank with turfing about 500 yards in contact with the one we have dug, and in order to strengthen that, at present, they have got it measured marked out with pegs & collecting stone.

5. As the defendants have neglected their usual duty and merely erected a bank we will sustain a great loss, and also as they are trying to strengthen the new bank our lands will be subject to be submerged thereby. We will have to sustain much more loss. Therefore we complain to your order that the both parties should perform the usual duties for ever without any objection as stated in the 2nd para, and to receive injunction orders not to do any mischievous actions irregularly, and your orders to remove the turfing which was newly erected. Therefore humbly beg to make a decree with cost so that the parties may perform the usual duties for ever and ever as stated in 2nd para, and to remove the newly built turfing (which was not before) and not to erect any bank hereafter at the place stated in the latter part of 4th para.

19th February 1893.

We the plaintiffs in this suit. 1. Mutti Chenchu Reddy. 2. do Obili Reddy 3. Pally Chenna Yella Reddy, declare that the circumstances stated in this are true so far as we believe and know.

(signed) Chenchi Reddy
(do.) Obila Reddy } Plaintiffs.
(do) Yella Reddy
19th February 1893. (true copy)

District Engineer
Cuddapah.

In the Subordinate Judges Court of Trincomalee

Plaintiffs

1. M. Muthiredy
2. Veliredy
3. Cheinna Pallaredy

Defendants

1. V. Coolareddy
2. V. Ramasamy Reddy
3. Kondareddy
4. Sinn. Letchimi
5. Renga Pillai

Written Statement filed by the 4th Defendant in the above cause

1. The Defendant is not aware of the averments contained in paragraphs 1 & 2 of the Plaint.

2. Defendant did not either directly or indirectly refuse to close the Vents as stated in para 3 for he has no control whatever over them. Nor has he refused to open the Barlagen taguruli in 1868.

3. He must object to the allegations by the Plaintiffs

by

Pro. 5347/73

Plaint presented by the Defendants Proctor and Filed

Plaintiffs Proctor
Received 1/10/73

Defendants Proctor
Received 1/10/73

In the District Court
Trincomalee

vs

By
Secretary to Govt
D. Ferrier
Fort St George

Batticaloa, 10/10/73

by the defendants was not so erected by this defendant or by his orders.

That orders were received to close the breach in the Berlaz vintagundi but disputes having arisen between the parties as to each others rights, the execution of the work was suspended as the parties intended referring their dispute—

Defendant therefore prays that as there is no cause of action against him he may be exonerated and his costs paid.

(Signed) Charles Seton

Cuddapah
11th September 1873

In the Court of the District Judge of Cuddapah

Plaintiff

vs

Defendant

I Charles Seton, the defendant above named do hereby declare that what is stated above is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.—

(Signed) Charles Seton

(Translation)

G. Elliot

District Judge

Cuddapah

The District Engineer
Meddapah

I
Have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Capt
Asst Engineer

F.N. 1875/270 Septb

No 5347

From The District Engineer

Coorahah

To The Secretary to Government

Department of Public Works

Fort St. George

Dated 26th October 1875

Rec.d 30—10—75

Answd 27—11—75

No: 2

Forwarding Plan and
Profile and Report
on Buggy from Madeleer
Pebble and informing that
no repairs have been exe-
cuted to Tank Bund
up to date.

Dutto Order

Copy

Agreeably to the Order contained in your Endorsement No 270 dated 26th October 1875 I have the honor to forward herewith a Plan and Profile of the Buggy Tank, and to report that no repairs have been executed to the Tank Bund up to date.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
P. Sankaralingam
Engineer

Coorahah
27th November 1875

To
The District Engineer
Cuddapah

No 114, 114

Received 30th November 1875 Registered

3-7-06

No. 534

Govt. of U.S.A. Minors.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The District Engr.
Cuddapah

Dated
Rec'd

7" act. 1906 2462

Lieut. Braithwaite

Requested he filed in reply to the plaint in the suit against the Secy. regarding water supply in the Tadpatri & Kundadurg Villages in Cuddapah Dt., ~~Secunderabad~~ Cuddapah.