M.R.O. 1—10,006—28-2-50.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2318	Press
~~B.P. No.~~		~~Mis.~~
298 A		
298 B		
Dated	18- 9-	~~195~~ 1873

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 298A. Read the following letter from Major R. F. OAKES, R.E., District Engineer, Tanjore, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Tanjore, 9th September 1873, No. 604:—

I HAVE the honour to inform you that Mr. Sub-Engineer Donaghue, the Range Officer of No. 5, has had a suit brought against him by one Punjanadien for non-payment for certain bricks received from the latter by the Overseer Mr. Taylor, and the Overseer has had a suit brought against him for not granting Punjanadien a certificate for certain bricks delivered by the latter subsequently to the date of the certificate on which Mr. Donaghue declined to make payment to Punjanadien.

1 A.
1 Extract of letter from Mr. Overseer Taylor, to Mr. Donaghue, Range Officer, B.
1 Copy of correspondence, C.
* 1 No. 127 of 31st July, and
* 4 Enclosures from Range Officer.
* 1 No. 158 of 29th August from do.
* To be returned.

2. The facts of the case are as follow:—A contract for the supply of six lakhs of bricks for the Pundanyaur Regulator was made with one Periakanaga Pillay, who prepared certain kilns of bricks near the regulator; on these kilns an advance of Rupees 150 was made; he delivered 20,626 bricks only, and then appears to have handed over his kilns to the plaintiff; according to the contract the bricks were to be delivered on the work spot at Rupees 5 per 1,000; they were however not so delivered, and Rupees 10-10-0 were expended in conveying them to the work spot by the department, thus leaving Rupees 52-8-0 due by the Contractor.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Advance	150	0	0
Cost of carriage of 20,626 bricks...	10	10	0
	160	10	0
20,626 bricks, at Rupees 5 ... 1,000 at work spot, at ,, 5 ...	108	2	0
Balance due by Contractor... ...	52	8	0

3. The Overseer, Mr. Taylor, is quite a young man, and he appears to have raised no objection to the plaintiff's delivering bricks taken from Periakanaga Pillay's kilns, on which an advance of Rupees 150 had been made, before the Government claim on the kilns had been satisfied by the delivery by Periakanaga Pillay of bricks to the amount of the advance made to him on the kilns.

4. Mr. Taylor furnished Punjanadien with a certificate of Rupees 303-6-4 for bricks delivered by him, but Mr. Donaghue declined to pass the certificate until the claim against Periakanaga Pillay was satisfied, or in other words deducted Rupees 52-8-0 from the certificate on account of the balance due by Periakanaga Pillay. Punjanadien declined to be responsible for Periakanaga Pillay's debt, and Mr. Donaghue endorsed the certificate as follows:—"These bricks are from the kilns that belong to the department; they were built by Periakanaga Pillay, and this man received an advance on them to the extent of Rupees 150; he has delivered bricks to the value of Rupees 97-8-0; until the difference Rupees 52-8-0 is settled this bill cannot be passed. Periakanaga Pillay had no authority to transfer these bricks to Punjanadien."

5. It appears that Punjanadien subsequently offered to allow of some deduction being made from his bill on account of the sum due to Government by Periakanaga Pillay, but not the full amount; but Mr. Donaghue declined to accept anything less than the Rupees 52-8-0, and informed Punjanadien that he could not

be recognized as the Contractor, and that it was necessary that Periakanaga Pillay should appear in person to adjust his accounts. Mr. Donaghue adds, "Periakanaga Pillay always avoided me, and as I had his bricks I did not care whether he was indifferent to his own interests or otherwise." The matter should have been reported to this office when a settlement might probably have been made.

6. The case came on for hearing on the 26th ultimo, and Mr. Donaghue was instructed to request the postponement of the hearing for three months, and the transfer of the case against the Overseer, who is absent on sick leave, to his name; the hearing has been postponed till the 28th October.

7. On the day before the hearing of the case, I sent for Periakanaga Pillay and Punjanadien, the former appeared, but the latter, on the plea of sickness, sent his nephew.

The former stated that he had delivered more bricks than he had been given credit for, and produced an order* from Overseer Teruvengada Iyengar directing him to produce the receipts for the bricks supplied in order that his claim might be adjusted before the Overseer left the work in 1872. The receipts appear not to have been produced, and the adjustment was never made. In confirmation of his statement, that he had delivered more bricks than he had received credit for, Periakanaga Pillay was directed to produce the Conacapoly's receipts which were said to be in the house of the former, but he did not keep his appointment and has not again appeared.

* No. 14, dated 9th June 1872.

8. The nephew of Punjanadien, who seemed well acquainted with the circumstances, stated that his uncle could not regard himself as in any way liable for the balance of the advance made to Periakanaga Pillay, and that the transfer of the kilns to him was well known and approved by the Overseer and the Range Officer; this, to a certain extent, is confirmed by the fact that the Overseer reported* his proposed arrangement of obtaining bricks from Punjanadien to Mr. Donaghue, who does not appear to have taken any notice of it.

* Enclosure B.

9. That Periakanaga Pillay knows absolutely nothing of how his account stands may be gathered from the document marked A., which is a translation of the original. The original is not addressed to the Overseer, but it appears to have been given to him. In it Periakanaga Pillay says—"If on the account being settled there be a balance against me, I will pay it either in bricks or in cash; if not, and if there be a balance against the Circar, whatever it may be, it should be ordered to be paid to me. The bricks now being taken I have sold to Punjanadien."

10. Under the circumstances it appears that the only defence which can be set up is that the department is quite ready to adjust Punjanadien's account as soon as the balance due by Periakanaga Pillay has been settled.

That Punjanadien had no business to buy bricks on which the department had made an advance; that the fact of the Overseer receiving bricks from Punjanadien did not afford any authority to the plaintiff to purchase the kilns from Periakanaga Pillay; and that in selling his kilns to Punjanadien before settling the Government claim upon them, Periakanaga Pillay was guilty of fraud in which he

was aided and abetted by the plaintiff, and a counter charge to this effect should be brought against them.

11. I would call attention to the correspondence* noted in the margin, from which it will be observed that on the Contractor's failing to fulfil his contract within the specified time Mr. Donaghue applied for instructions as to how he was to act, and the Acting Superintending Engineer, Major Hasted, remitted the fine owing to the fact that the Contractor had been unfortunate in burning one of his kilns, and directed that bricks should be taken to the full amount of the advance, but did not guarantee to take any larger quantity.

*Enclosure C.
From Range Officer, No. 119, dated 10th January 1872.
From do. No. 146, dated 19th February 1872.
To do. ,, 899, ,, 20th ,, ,,

12. As the case is to come on for hearing on the 28th October, I beg that early instructions may be issued relative to the defence.

13. Mr. Taylor acted very improperly in receiving more bricks from the plaintiff after Mr. Donaghue had declined to recognize him as a Contractor; but there is no dispute relative to the quantity of bricks supplied by the plaintiff, and when the first account is adjusted, the second, relative to which the suit is brought against Mr. Taylor, will be adjusted as a matter of course.

No. 298B. ORDER THEREON, 18th September 1873, No. 2,318A.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 30A.

AUTHORITY is granted for defending this suit, in the first instance, at the public expense, but the question of ultimate liability for costs will be reserved till the judgment of the Court is submitted to Government.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Tanjore.
,, Officiating Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.

Spare Copies.

No 604 District Engineer's Office
Tanjore 9th September 1873

From

Major R. F. Oakes R.E.
District Engineer
Tanjore

To Colonel G. H. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government &c &c

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Sub Engineer Donaghue the Range Officer of No 5 has had a suit brought against him by one Punjanadien for non payment for certain bricks received from the latter by the overseer Mr. Taylor and the overseer has had a suit brought against him for not granting Punjanadien a certificate for certain bricks delivered by the latter subsequently to the date of that certificate on which Mr. Donaghue declined to make payment to Punjanadien.

2. The facts of the case are as follows. A contract for the supply of 6 lacs of bricks for the Perudamycoor

Enclosures. 9

1. A.
2. B.
3. C
4. Nos 12 & 31 [illegible]
5. Nos 158 & 23

Regulator was made with one Periakaruppa Pillay who proposed certain Kilns of bricks near the Regulator; and these Kilns an advance of Rs 150 was made; he delivered 20,626 bricks only, and then appears to have handed over his Kilns to the Plaintiff; according to the Contract the bricks were to be delivered on the work Spot at Rs 5 pr 1000 they were however not so delivered

Rupees 10-10-0 were expended in Conveying them to the work Spot by the Department

This leaving Rupees 52-1-0 due by the Contractor.

3. The overseer Mr Taylor, is quite a young man and he appears to have raised no objection to the Plaintiffs delivering bricks taken from Periakaruppa Pillay's kilns, on which an advance of Rs 150 had been made before the Government claim on the Kilns had been satisfied by the delivery by Periakaruppa Pillay of bricks to the Amount of the advance made to him on the Kilns.

4. Mr Taylor furnished Ponjanadien with a certificate of Rs 303-6-4 for bricks delivered by them but Mr Donaghue declined to pass the Certificate until the Claim against Periakaruppa Pillay was satisfied or in other words deducted Rs 52-8-0 from the Certificate on account of the balance due by Periakaruppa Pillay. Ponjanadien declined to be responsible for Periakaruppa Pillays debt & Mr Donaghue endorsed the Certificate as follows - These bricks are from the Kilns that belong to the Department they were built by Periakaruppa Pillay and this man received an advance on them to the Extent of Rs 150, he has delivered bricks to the value of Rs 97-8-0 until the difference Rupees 52-8-0 is settled this Bill cannot be passed. Periakaruppa Pillay had no authority to transfer these bricks to Ponjanadien.

5. It appears that Ponjanadien subsequently offered to allow of the deduction being made from his bill on account of the sum due to Government by Periakaruppa Pillay, but not

the full amount, but Mr. Donaghue declined to accept any thing less than the Rupees 52.8.0 and informed Pariyanadien that he could not be recognised as the Contractor and that it was necessary that Periakanaga Pillay should appear in person to adjust his accounts. Mr. Donaghue adds Periakanaga Pillay always avoided me and as I had his bricks I did not care whether he was indifferent to his own interests or otherwise. The matter should have been reported to this Office when a settlement might probably have been made.

6. The case came on for hearing on the 26th ultimo and Mr. Donaghue was instructed to request the postponement of the hearing for 2 months and the transfer of the case against the Overseer, who is absent on sick leave, to his name, the hearing has been postponed till the 28th October.

7. On the day before the hearing

of the case I sent for Periakanaga Pillay and Pariyanadien, the former appeared, but the latter, on the plea of sickness, sent his nephew.

The former stated that he had delivered more bricks than he had been given credit for & produced an order from Overseer Sreenivasa Iyengar directing him to produce the receipts for the bricks supplied in order that his claim might be adjusted before the Overseer left the work in 1872. The receipts appear not to have been produced and the adjustment was never made. In confirmation of his statement that he had delivered more bricks than he had received credit for Periakanaga Pillay was directed to produce the Conacapoly's receipts which were said to be in the house of the former but he did not accept his appointment & has not again appeared.

8. The nephew of Panjanadien who seemed well acquainted with the circumstances, stated that his uncle could not regard himself as in any way liable for the balance of the advance made to Periakaruppa Pillay and that the transfer of the kilns to him was well known and approved by the overseer and the Ponga officer, this, to a certain extent, is confirmed by the fact that the overseer reported his proposed arrangement of obtaining bricks from Panjanadien to Mr. Draper who does not appear to have taken any notice of it.

9. That Periakaruppa Pillay knows absolutely nothing of how his account stands may be gathered from the document marked A which is a translation of the original ——. The original is not addressed to the overseer but it appears to have been given to him. In it Periakaruppa Pillay says if on the account being settled, there be a balance against me, I will pay it either in

in bricks or in cash, if not, and if there be a balance against the Cooker whatever it may be, it should be ordered to be paid to me. The bricks now being taken I have sold to Panjanadien."

10. Under the circumstances, it appears that the only defence which can be set up is that the Department is quite ready to adjust Panjanadien's account as soon as the balance due by Periakaruppa Pillay has been settled.

That Panjanadien had no business to buy bricks on which the Department had made an advance. That the fact of the overseer receiving bricks from Panjanadien did not afford any authority to the Plaintiff to purchase the kilns from Periakaruppa Pillay and that in selling his kilns to Panjanadien before settling the Government claim upon them, Periakaruppa Pillay was guilty of fraud in which he was aided and abetted by the Plaintiff and a counter charge to this effect should be brought against them.

11. I would call attention to the Correspondence noted in the

the Margin from which it
will be observed that on
the Contractor failing to
fulfil his contract within
the specified time Mr Donoghue
applied for instructions as to how he
was to act and the acting Superin-
tending Engineer Major Hasted
permitted the fine owing to the fact
that the Contractor had been unfortunate
in burning one of his Kilns & therefore
that bricks should be taken to the
full amount of the ordinance but
did not guarantee to take any
larger quantity.

12 ... As the Case is to come on for
hearing on the 28th October I beg that
early instructions may be issued
relative to the defence.

13 .... Mr Saylor acted very improp-
erly in receiving more bricks from
the Plaintiff, after Mr Donoghue had
declined to recognise him as a
Contractor, but there is no dispute
relative to the quantity of bricks
supplied by the Plaintiff, and

And when the first account is adjust-
ed the 2d relative to which the suit is
brought against Mr Saylor will be
adjusted as a matter of Course.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient
Lt Col & Major &c
District Engineer Jaipore

Have examined the
Solicitor's correspondence
the depositions of Mr Clarke
with regard to a copy of the
Plaint and also the
Lists mentioned therein
nine points of Contract
Law.

In the particulars
the Specification signed by
Order dated 20th February
enabled the Engineers
Contract and its obligations
Bros are not at liberty
Lobley with Contracts
and limit penalties
and time as they please
Lect acts contained in
enactment and
fresh Contract.

Also the pinches
The Contractor's Claim
for

P.W. 1873 Department
Letter of Govt Secretary
from No. 5826.
The District Engineer
Tanjore
To The Secretary to
Government
P.W.D.

Dated 9th September
Recd 13th 1873
Enq. No 604.

Reporting relative
to a suit brought
against Mr Douglas
Begone Peria Nanaga-
Pillay a Contractor

No 2318 dated 18th Sept 1873.

No 1980
Recd 20 Sept 1873

for them without the
liens of the State.

But this purchase
may have been made
subject to these liens
by arrangement between
the buyer and Seller,
and we must see the
conveyance which will
be filed in the Court.

On the whole I would
let the thing go on - the
result will be a useful
guide for the future.

17/9 H.L.

Deeds of Ramaya Pillay & Com -

This was Contracted to supply
6 Lakhs of Bricks." on the usual
terms & conditions -

Contract - dated 3rd June 1871 -
Approved 19th ——
Registered
time & fined 31st June 1872 -

Superior Order No: 899 of 20th Dec
1872 - remits the penalty, and
orders all material ready to
be taken over

20,626 Bricks only were supplied
exhibiting to the Contractor Rs
108-2, against an advance
of Rs 160-10, leaving a balance
against him of Rs 52-8-0 -

It appears to the Ch. Engi-
neer that Ramasamy's
claim has to be settled in
full -

That authority sh? be granted
to institute a suit against
Ramaya Pillay for Rs 52-8 &
costs -

That Messrs Draughon and
Taylor make their arr-
angements for interpreting
Ramasamy's case at Jam-
mu &

Cantt

Lin
502 b

No 2318 Judicial Sept 19

From the Secretary to Government
Read the following
From the Asst Regr Tanjore (A.R. No 604 dy 6th Sept/73

Authority is granted for defending this suit, in the first instance, at the public expense, but the question of ultimate liability for costs will be reserved till the judgment of the Court is submitted to Government.

16/9

28

To
The Asst Regr Tanjore
& Collector.

In-s-desp: 18/9/73 only to Asst Regr Tanjore with nine enclosures.
S.C. despd to Do 1/10/73
x S.C. " 7/10/73

Ch 5026

Govt. of [1873] Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The District Engr
Tanjore

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G. O 18 Sept 1873. No 2318 K

Nos. 2981 & 2983

Writ. Authority

Granted for the defence
Writ brought
against Mr. Robbins
Damages by a
Contractor for bricks
for the Rajamyaur
Regulator Tanjore &c.

A 2981 B 2983

M.R.O. 1—10,006—28-2-50.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2318 B	Press
~~B.P. No.~~ 298 C 298 D 298 E		~~Mis.~~
Dated	19-9-	~~105~~ 1873

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
19th September 1873.

Read the following :—

No. 298C. From the District Engineer Neilgherries, dated 19th September 1873.
No. 298D. From do. do. do.

No. 298E. ORDER THEREON, 19th September 1873, No. 2,318B.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 23A.

HIS Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to order that the present season and low level of the lake at Ootacamund be taken advantage of to proceed with the reclamation of so much of the lake margin as lies immediately in front of the Native Bazaar above the Willow Bund in the manner proposed by the late Governor, Lord Napier. And with this view he authorizes the withdrawal of the convicts from the road-work on which they are now employed, and the transfer of their whole number to the work of reclamation above specified; from which they shall not be moved till the October rains render it necessary to close the lake sluice for retention of water during the dry season.

2. Meanwhile, the road-work already in hand shall be completed with all speed, in the manner and to the extent specified in the two estimates of Rupees 1,300 and Rupees 1,580 that have been submitted, and are hereby approved.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Neilgherries.
,, Officiating Controller of Public Works Accounts.
,, Public Department.
,, Judicial do.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

Spare Copies.

Detailed Estimate No. 49 of 1873/74.

Neilgherry District

Work. Cost of Completing the granite metalling of the Lake Road from the Sluice to the back gate of "Gabble Cottage" at Totacammund.

Measurements L.	B.	H.	Contents	Particulars
800	16	1/2	6400	
			237	Cyds. Laterite to be dug, carted, broken and spread.
1700	10	1/4	157	Cyds. of Metal to be spread (Granite)
200	1/2	4 1/2	250	Cyds of earth to be widened & raised
24	2	2 1/2	2 1/2	Cyds. of Rough stone drain to be built with Cut Covering stones
			100	Pairs of Bullocks for rolling.

Abstract Estimate of No. 49 of 1873-74

Neilgherry District

Work. Cost of Completing the granite Metalling of the Lake Road from the Sluice to the back gate of Gable Cottage at Totacammund.

Quantity	Particulars	Rate	amount	Total
237	Cubic yards of Laterite		22. 50	310.
157	Do. Do. of Metal (Granite)		1 8.	2358.
250	Do. Do. of Earth Work		. 4.	628.
2	Rough stone Drains		125.	250.
100	Pairs of Bullocks for rolling		1 4.	125.
				1176 10.
	Superintendence & Sundries @ 10 Per cent			117 6.
	Total Rupees			1293 16.

Approximate Captain R.E.
District Engineer

District Engineers Office
Dolacaninnid
September 1873

115

Ded Estimate N° 48 of 1873/74.
Fishery District
Estimate the road between "Mahody cottage" gate and

Barring &c	—	"	2	1 sq yds	30 16
to be rebuilt &c	90	"	each		180 " "
ditt. &c Complete	110	"	D°		220 " " 1433 15 0
Sundries about 10 per cent =					146 1 "
Total Estimate Rupees					1580 " "

J. R. Morant. Capt R.E.
District Engineer

District Engineer's Office, District

P. W. 187 Depart. of Lands & Works
No. 5197.

From
The Dist. Engineer
Neilgherries.

Dated 19 Sept. 1873.
Rec'd 22

Estimate for
Completing Metalling,
&c, of the Road
from No. Three to
the Gable Cottage
at Ootacamund.
No. 23185-19 Sept. 1873.

No. 298 C

Entered in Estimate Register, Vol. 17.

H 5

Detailed Estimate No. 48 of 1873/74.

Neilgherry District

Work; completing with Latterite the road between "Mahody Cottage" gate and "Gable Cottage" back gate at Ootacamund.

Measurements.

L.	B.	D	Square contents	Cubic contents	
1300	16	1/2	"	10400 sq. ft.	
				385 1/4	cyds. of Gravel to be dug broken and Spread.
300	20	1 1/2	"	9000	lowering road
150	20	3	"	9000	Raising road
100	20	1.	"	2000	Lowering road
				20,000	ft-or.
				740 1/9	cyds. Earth Work.
1300	20	"	26000		sq feet or.
			2888 8/9		sq yards of Road barreling and clearing Water Tables and side Drains.
24	2	2 1/2			2. Covered Drains to be rebuilt with Cut granite Covering Stones & Masonry Parapets, —
24	2	2 1/2			2 New do do do.

Abstract of Estimate No. 48. of 1873/74.

Quantity		Rate		Per.	amount	Total.
385 1/4	Cyards Gravel to be dug broken & Spread	2	2	1 cyd	818	10 6
740 1/9	C. yds Earth Work Raising &c	"	4	do	185	3 0
2888 8/9	Sq yards Road Barreling &c	"	"	2 1 sq yds	30	1 6
2	Roughstone Drains to be rebuilt &c	90	"	" each	180	" "
2	New Drains to be built &c Complete	110	"	" do	220	" " 1433 15 0
				Sundries about 10 per cent =		146 1 "
				Total Estimate Rupees		1580 " "

District Engrs office, Ootacamund
19 September 1873.

J. F. Morant Capt R.E.
District Engineer

P.W. 1873 Depart.
Pro: of Govt for Septr
No. 5198.

From.
The Dist. Engineer
Neilgherries.

Dated 19th } Septr 1873.
Rec'd 22nd }

Estimate for
Completing Road
between Mowbray
Village and
Gable Village
at Ootacamund.

No 2318 D.

Submitted to Govt in Octr 1873 R. 17th

No. 2318 B. P.W.D.
Ro. of the Madras Govt. dated the following
from St. Mr. Neilgherries Dist. dated 19 Sept 73
do H.E. the Governor in Council
Ootacamund

In view of the present low state of the Lake level and favourable season, I respectfully submitted that the Contracts now employed on the Lake Road may with advantage be transferred to the Reclamation work, their place being taken by hired labourers.

The supply of broken stone is deemed sufficient to complete the road from the Bound up to Gable Cottage with Latente and Stone, at a cost of Rs 1178-

From Gable Cottage to Down Hill it is proposed only to lay down Latente,

P.W. 1873 Depart.
Prov. Govt. Secretary

at a cost of £1580; i.e.
the entire manner in
which shall have been comple-
ted tomorrow (20th) by
Convict labour, from
Dean Hill to the Landry
Stone Saddle —
A specific allotment
of funds need not be
made till the entire
question of dealing with
the Lake Road & land
matters connected there-
with —

Lyttl.
19-9-73

No. 2318 & P.W.D.
Re. Of the Madras Govt. Telegram
from Mr. Treasurer Dtd 19 Sept 73
to H.E. The G... [illegible] please
to order that the present
[illegible] level
of the Lake at Ootaka-
mund be taken advan-
tage of to proceed with
the Reclamation of
part of the Lake Mea-
dow as will immedi-
ately [illegible] front of the
Botanical Gardens above
the Willows Bund, i.e.
the measure proposed
by the late Governor,
Lord Napier — And with
this view be authorize
the withdrawal of the
Convicts from the Road
Work on which they are
now employed, and the

W.R.
19/9

Transfer of Water Rights

number of the work
of Reclamation above
specified; from which
they shall not be moved
till the October season
under it except to
close the Lake Sluice,
for retention of water
during the dry season.

Meanwhile the Road
work already traced
shall be completed with
all speed in the manner
and to the extent
specified in the Schedule
annexed hereto marked B 1300 to B 1580
that have been minuted
ted, & mentioned in
pursuance
hereof.

Ack Sent per mail 19/9/73 - S.C. order 7/10/73
" " 15/10/73
Controller
Purchase Dept
Judge

Returned Schedule forwarded to Hon Secy Statistical Dept 4/10/73

Ans 5197 & 5798

Govt. of [1873] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The District Engr
Nellgherries

Dated

Recd: Encl.

Govt 19 Sept 1873. 23186.

Nos. 2986-2988

Road. Papers relating to 2986.

Re estimates ~~and~~ for completing road between Mr Robson's Cottage & gable Cottage & metalling R. road from the Sluice to Gable Cottage at Ootacamund.

C E
R 298-298
A. 26-9-73