

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1194	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
422-5437		
Dated	25-4-	1873

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
25th April 1873.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 432. From R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., F. R. I., B. A., Consulting Architect to Government, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 3rd March 1873, No. 275.

I HAVE the honour to submit an estimate* of the probable cost of providing accommodation for the Collector of Madura in the old Palace of Teroomala Naick.

* Dated 3rd March, for Rupees 12,636.
Two Drawings.

2. The estimate does not include either repair works or decorative works, but merely those works absolutely necessary in the way of departmental divisions, and security for treasure.

3. The whole estimate for tying in will be submitted in a day or two.

No. 433. From the same Officer, dated Madras, 11th March 1873, No. 289.

I HAVE the honour to submit a detailed estimate, specification, and drawings for the iron work necessary to secure the old Palace at Madura.

2. The estimate amounts to Rupees 6,903, but as iron just now is fluctuating in an extraordinary manner owing to the strikes, the first items in the estimate cannot be depended upon.

3. I presume an indent should be made for the necessary iron through the Home Authorities.

No. 434. From the District Engineer, Madura.
(Here enter No. 5,310, dated 10th March 1873.)

No. 435. From R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., F. R. I., B. A., Consulting Architect to Government, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 25th March 1873, No. 296.

I HAVE the honour to submit to you the following papers received from Colonel Roberts, District Engineer of Madura:—

- | | |
|--|--|
| 4 Estimates. | X A.—Estimate for the Deputy Collector's Cutcherry. |
| 1 Block Plan. | X B.—Estimate for the Range Office. |
| 1 Verandah Plan. | C.—Estimate for a temporary record-room for the Judge. |
| 1 Letter, No. 5,235, dated 7th March 1873, from District Engineer, Madura. | |

X D.—Estimate for constructing a verandah, etc., etc.

Plan 1 of verandah.

2 Block plan for Deputy Collector's Cutcherry and Range Office.

2. In submitting these estimates I must explain that Estimates A. and B. are included in my estimate to Government, No. 275, dated 3rd March 1873, and that it will only be necessary to sanction C. and D. apart from that estimate.

3. A separate estimate for restoration works is in course of preparation and will be submitted with all possible despatch.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
25th April 1873.*

From Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R. E., District Engineer, Madura, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 7th March 1873, No. 5,235.

ADVERTING to G. O., No. 243, dated 24th January 1873, I have the honour to

4 Estimates.
1 Block Plan.
1 Verandah Plan.

A.—Estimate for the Deputy Collector's Office in Teroomala Naick's Palace, Madura	Rs. 2,400
B.—Estimate for the Range Office in Teroomala Naick's Palace, Madura	2,280
C.—Estimate for providing a temporary record-room in the Palace for the use of the District Court, Madura	350
D.—Estimate for constructing a verandah in front of the octagon dome on the south side of the Palace	1,920
Total	6,950

submit herewith, for the sanction of Government, the four estimates noted in the margin, and to state that these works have been commenced in anticipation of the sanction of Government.

2. It will be seen that the estimates A. and B. exceed in amount the estimates mentioned for the same services in paragraph 17 of my letter printed with the G. O. already quoted. The original estimates included the cost of "appropriation" works only, whereas the estimates now submitted allow for "restoration" works as well.

3. As directed in paragraph 6 of the Government Order under reference, the sum of Rupees 2,650 will be the limit of the expenditure this year on the estimates herewith forwarded for sanction.

4. The plan and estimate for locating the Collector and his Cutcherry in the Palace will follow shortly.

No. 436. From R. F. CHIHOLM, Esq., F.R.I., B.A., Consulting Architect to Government, to Colonel G. W. WALKER, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 27th March 1873, No. 303.

I HAVE the honour to forward you the correspondence noted in margin and

- 1 Estimate of Rupees 200.
- 1 Copy of G. O., No. 1,443, dated 1st September 1869.
- 1 Copy of letter from the Judge, No. 1117, dated 25th February 1873.
- 1 Copy of letter from the District Magistrate, No. 458, dated 6th March 1873.
- 1 Block plan showing the proposed sites for the temporary occupation of the two District Munsifs' Cutcheries.
- 1 Copy of letter from the Judge, No. 94, dated 14th March 1873.

an estimate and diagram countersigned for providing temporary accommodation for two Munsifs in the old Palace at Madura.

2. The space allotted for this purpose was marked by Lord Napier as a waiting hall, and it would be convenient to occupy it as a temporary measure only.

3. The Judge in his letter No. 54, dated 25th February 1873, opens up the question of the future position of the Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry; as it does not appear, however, necessary to locate that officer at once in the Palace, I would recommend this question to stand over until my next visit to Madura, when I can, in consultation with the Judge and Collector, complete the arrangements for locating the whole of the Government Offices in the Palace. If the Government, however, consider the arguments of the Collector and Judge against locating the Sub-Magistrate in the Palace to be conclusive, I will submit a plan and estimate for a separate building.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
25th April 1873.*

No. 437.

ORDER THEREON, 25th April 1873, No. 1,194.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 33.

WITH the first of the letters above recorded, No. 275, of 3rd March, the Consulting Architect has submitted an

estimate of Rupees 12,636 for providing accommodation for the Office of the Collector of Madura and two Deputy Collectors and for the Range Officer; and the arrangements made are understood to meet the requisition of paragraph 2 of G. O., No. 591, of 21st February, so that the present Collector's Cutcherry shall be vacated speedily, and its sale proceeds be made available within the current year to recoup any advances that may be made in the first instance from other funds.

2. But one Deputy Collector's Office and the Range Office appear to have been estimated for more in detail by the District Engineer, whose estimates are submitted by the Consulting Architect with his second letter, No. 296, of 25th March; and these will be sanctioned definitely as amounting to Rupees 2,400 and Rupees 2,280 respectively, conditional sanction being given for the balance of Rupees 7,956, subject to revision of the estimates by the District Engineer, to whom the Consulting Architect's estimate will be handed for the purpose, but with strict injunctions not to delay in carrying out the work meanwhile. His separate estimates of Rupees 350 for a temporary record-room for the Judge, and of Rupees 1,920 for a verandah to the Collector's private room, drawn up from the plan approved in G. O., No. 642 of 28th February 1873, are also sanctioned.

3. The above sanctions aggregate Rupees 14,906, which sum has to be provided ultimately from sale proceeds of existing buildings, the work being one of "Appropriation." Rupees 2,560 were available and utilized in 1872-73, the remaining Rupees 12,346 have to be provided in the current year.

4. The usual allotment from Provincial Funds of Rupees 10,000 for works of "security," "restoration," and "decoration" has been provided in the Budget of 1873-74; and the first charge on this will be the sum of Rupees 6,900, or Rupees 7,000 in round numbers, at which the Consulting Architect's estimate, submitted with letter No. 289, of 11th March, sets down the cost of tying together with galvanized iron all the dangerous portions of the superstructure. The remaining Rupees 3,000 will be available for such works of restoration or decoration as it may be desirable to carry out first, which will be duly reported on and estimated for by the District Engineer.

5. The arrangement reported in the Consulting Architect's letter, No. 303, of 27th March, for accommodating the two Munsifs, costing Rupees 200, and understood to have been carried out emergently, is approved as a strictly temporary arrangement; and plans and estimates will be submitted for accommodating the office of one or more District Munsifs near the Judge's Court. It is not clear whether it is intended that more than one District Munsif shall be permanently located at Madura.

6. As regards the accommodation for the Sub-Magistrate, the Government do not desire to press adherence to the original proposal, to which both the Judge and the Magistrate strongly object. Before passing orders on this point they

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
25th April 1873.*

desire to be informed how the Sub-Magistrate is at present accommodated, whether in a building rented for the purpose, or in a building the property of Government. It is undesirable, if it can be avoided, that the expense of an entirely new building for this officer should be incurred, and the Government Architect will consider whether it is not possible to provide the necessary accommodation for this officer in some portion of the Palace which will not be subject to the objections raised by the Magistrate and Judge.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Consulting Architect.
" District Engineer, Madura.
" Judicial Department.
" Revenue do.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.

No 275

Consulting Architect Office
Madras 3rd March 1873.

From

R. S. Fishburn Esq F.R.S.B.A.
Consulting Architect to Govt

To

Colonel S. B. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir

I have the honor to submit
dated 1st March an Estimate of the probable cost of providing
for Rs. 72,636.00 accommodation for the Collector of Madura
2. Drawings in the old Palace of Teromala Maick.

2nd The Estimate does not include
either repair works or decorative works, but
merely those works absolutely necessary in
the way of departmental divisions, & security
for Treasure.

3rd The whole Estimate for typing
in will be submitted in a day or two.

I beg to remain

Sir
Your Obedt. Servant

R. S. Fishburn -
Consulting Architect to Government

289

Consulting Archt^l Office
Madras 11th March 1873

From

R. F. Chisholm Esq^r F.R.S. B.A.
Consulting Architect to Govt.

To

Colonel G. H. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir

I have the honor to submit a detailed
Estimate, Specification and drawings for
the ironwork necessary to secure the old
Palace at Madras.

The Estimate amounts to Rupees
6903/1. but as iron just now is fluctuating
in an extraordinary manner owing to the
strikes the first items in the Estimate
cannot be depended upon.

I presume an indent should be made
for the necessary iron through the Home
Authorities

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedt servant.

R. F. Chisholm -

Consulting Archt^l to Govt.

Public Works 1873 No 100

Cons. of Govt. Office
16th 1875

From

R. F. Chisholm Esq^r
F.R.S. B.A.

Consulting Architect to
Government

To

Colonel G. H. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

I attest G. H. Walker R.E.
Received 11th March 1873.
Colonel G. H. Walker R.E.

for collection of Rupees in
the Palace of Madras

No 1194. 25th April 1873
60-5-73

No 100

5

~~No 433~~

P. M. 1873 Richard

Dec 289
Div. of M. & A. Office
Chas. H. 1859.

The Donnelly Arch.
to Government

24

The Secretary
to the Commission

Patented 11th March 1893
Received 12 — do

Sen. J. D. Smith, Sec. of State

Hebrons 28. 1844
 Towards midland area

Estimate for Oying,
up the old Salween

Madama 110900
+38 Rue de la ...

7-6-15 0038 33

The following is a List of the several pieces required (allowing 7 per cent. for loss).

120 cast iron plates marked on plan 2 ft. dr
 10 plates " " " " " " " " 2 ft. dr
 17 Wrought iron Tie Rods $1\frac{1}{4}$ " dia and 47 ft long
 each in three pieces as per plan.
 19 Wrought iron Tie Rods $1\frac{1}{4}$ " dia and 33 ft long
 each in two pieces as per plan.
 22 Wrought iron Tie Rods $1\frac{1}{4}$ " dia and 35 ft long
 each in two pieces as per plan.
 2 Wrought iron Tie Rods $1\frac{1}{4}$ " dia and 36 ft long
 each in two pieces as per plan.
 120 Hexagon Nuts for the above Tie rods as
 per plan.
 77 " " " " for connecting Rods.
 5 Wrought iron Tie Rods 2" dia and 50" 4" long
 each in three pieces as per plan.
 10 Hexagon Nuts for the above Tie Rods as per plan
 10 " " " " for connecting Rods
 77 Wrought iron plates each 2' 6" long $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 26 " " " " " " " " 6' 0" " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 26 " " " " " " " " 15' 0" " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 3 " " " " " " " " 19' 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ " " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 3 " " " " " " " " 19' 8" " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 2 " " " " " " " " 19' 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ " " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 2 " " " " " " " " 18' 9" " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 2 " " " " " " " " 18' 3 $\frac{3}{4}$ " " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 2 " " " " " " " " 17' 9" " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 2 " " " " " " " " 17' 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ " " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "
 2 " " " " " " " " 16' 11" " " $3 \times \frac{1}{2}$ "

2 Haught iron plates each 16' 7 1/2" long 3" x 1/2"
 2 " " " " " 16' 5" " " 3" x 1/2"
 5 " " " " " 16' 0" " " 3" x 1/2"
 2 " " " " " 13' 8 1/2" " " 3" x 1/2"
 4 " " " " " 11' 1 1/2" " " 3" x 1/2"
 2 " " " " " 10' 8" " " 3" x 1/2"
 5 " " " " " 9' 2 1/2" " " 3" x 1/2"
 2 " " " " " 8' 9" " " 3" x 1/2"
 4 " " " " " 8' 6" " " 3" x 1/2"
 2 " " " " " 8' 3" " " 3" x 1/2"
 2 " " " " " 6' 4 1/2" " " 3" x 1/2"
 2 " " " " " 6' 0" " " 3" x 1/2"
 620 1/2 inch Hex bolts and nuts for the above
 52 1/2 inch plates 1' 6" long as per plan
 103 Hedges 1' 0" long " "
 18 Angle pieces D 5' 0" long 4" x 5/8"
 2 Plates E for square ties 14' 11" long 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 13' 11" " " 4" x 5/8"
 2 " " " " " 13' 4" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 13' 2" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 12' 7 1/2" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 12' 7" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 12' 4 1/2" " " 4" x 5/8"
 3 " " " " " 12' 0" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 11' 8 1/2" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 11' 5" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 11' 4" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 11' 3" " " 4" x 5/8"
 1 " " " " " 10' 9" " " 4" x 5/8"

206 3/4 Hexagon nuts & bolts for the above as
 per plan.

Wrought iron

1 1/4" dia Ties	16	47' 0" =	752
do	18	33' 0" =	594
do	20	35' 0" =	700
do	2	36' 0" =	72

Left

2118 x 4.09 =

lbs 8662.62

Nuts for the above

112 x 2.14 =

239.68

Connecting do

72 x 8.56 =

616.32

2" dia Ties

4 x 50' 4" = 201' 4" x 10.49 =

2111.98

Nuts for do

8 x 8.75 =

70.00

Connecting do

8 x 35.00 =

280.00

Square Ties 3" x 1/2"

Plates for sunk heads A	72 x 2' 6" =	180
do B	24 x 6' 0" =	144
do C	24 x 15' 0" =	360
do do	2 x 19' 9 1/2" =	39-7
do do	2 x 19' 8" =	39-4
do do	2 x 19' 1 1/2" =	38-3
do do	2 x 18' 9" =	37-6
do do	2 x 18' 3 3/4" =	36-7 1/2
do do	2 x 17' 9" =	35-6

Carries over Left

910' 9 1/2"

lbs 11980.60

Brought forward. Lm ft 910.9 1/2		lbs 11980.60
Plates for sunk heads C	2 x 17' 1 1/2" = 34' 3"	
	2 x 16' 11" = 33' 10"	
	2 x 16' 7 1/2" = 33' 3"	
	2 x 16' 5" = 32' 10"	
	4 x 16' 0" = 64' 0"	
	2 x 13' 8 1/2" = 27' 5"	
	4 x 11' 1 1/2" = 44' 6"	
	2 x 10' 8" = 21' 4"	
	4 x 9' 2 1/2" = 36' 10"	
	2 x 8' 9" = 17' 6"	
	4 x 8' 6" = 34' 0"	
	2 x 8' 3" = 16' 6"	
	2 x 6' 4 1/2" = 12' 9"	
	2 x 6' 0" = 12' 0"	

$$\text{Lift } 1332 \times 5.01 = 6673.32$$

Nuts for connecting Plate 576 x 128 lbs = 73.72

Plates 1/2" 481' 1' 6 x 0' 9 = 574 x 20 = 1080.00

Wedges 3/8" 961' 1' 0 x 0' 4 = 32 x 15 = 480.00

Square Ties 4" x 5/8"

Angle piece D	16 x 5' 0" = 80'
Plate E	1 x 14' 11" = 14' 11"
do	1 x 13' 11" = 13' 11"
do	2 x 13' 4" = 26' 8"
do	1 x 13' 2" = 13' 2"
do	1 x 12' 7 1/2" = 12' 7 1/2"

Carried over Lm ft 161.3 1/2 lbs 20287.64

9 angle pieces for square ties F 5 ft long 4" x 5/8"	
2 plates E for square ties 29' 10" long 4 x 5/8"	
2 " " " " 29' 0" " 4 x 5/8"	
1 " " " " 28' 6" " 4 x 5/8"	
1 " " " " 23' 9" " 4 x 5/8"	
1 " " " " 23' 8" " 4 x 5/8"	
1 " " " " 23' 3" " 4 x 5/8"	
1 " " " " 23' 0" " 4 x 5/8"	
103. 3/4" hexagon nuts and bolts for the above	
4 circular bands 104 ft long 3" x 1/2"	
2 " " " " 92 " " 3" x 1/2"	
26 1/2" hexagon nuts and bolts for the above	

Brought forward 2 ft 161' 3 1/2"			lbs	20287.6
Plate E	1 x 12' 7" =	12' 7"		
do	1 x 12' 4 1/2" =	12' 4 1/2"		
do	3 x 12' 0" =	36'		
do	1 x 11' 8 1/2" =	11' 8 1/2"		
do	1 x 11' 5" =	11' 5"		
do	1 x 11' 4" =	11' 4"		
do	1 x 11' 3" =	11' 3"		
do	1 x 10' 9" =	10' 9"		
Length 279			x 8.35 lbs =	2329.65

Hex Nuts 3/4" for the above 192 x .43 lbs = 82.56

Ties 4" x 5/8"

Angle piece F	8 x 5" =	40		
plate E	1 x 29' 10" =	29' 10"		
do	2 x 29' 0" =	58' 0"		
do	1 x 28' 6" =	28' 6"		
	1 x 23' 9" =	23' 9"		
	1 x 23' 8" =	23' 8"		
	1 x 23' 3" =	23' 3"		
	1 x 23' 1" =	23' 0"		

Length 250 x 8.35 lbs = 2087.50

Hex Nuts 3/4" for the above 96 x .43 lbs = 41.28

Circular bands 3" x 1/2" 4 x 95 = 380

do 2 x 88 = 176

for overlap 568 x 5.01 lbs = 2845.68

Carried Over lbs 27674.31

Tons	Cwt.	Qr.	lb.
8	12	2	3

Christopher F. BABA
Consulting Accountant & Judge

Teroomala Naik's Palace
Madura

Detailed Estimate for securing
structure.

Rupees. 6903. 0. 0

P. W. 1639/73.

Ch. 25 April 1873 Bk. 433.

11th March 1873.

25 April 1873

No 5310

District Engineer's Office
Madura 10 March 1873.

From

Vent Colonel N. A. Roberts M.C.
District Engineer, Madura,

To

Colonel G. W. Walker M.C.
Secretary to Government S.P.W.

Sir,

I have the honor in acknow-
ledging the receipt this day of G.O.,
21st February 1873, No 591, on the
subject of providing accommodation
for Public Offices in Teroomala
Naik's Palace Madura, to solicit
the favor of a re-consideration of
para. 3 of that G.O., as otherwise
considerable confusion is likely to
arise.

2/ I may premise by saying
that there is nothing in the nature

of the works in question that necessitates any alteration of the procedure of this Department as laid down in the Regulations, while the departure from that procedure must naturally be attended with inconvenience.

3. As an instance, I may mention that the estimates submitted with my letter, No 5235, of the 7th instant, for the Deputy Collector's and Range Office amount to Rupees 2400 and Rupees 2280 respectively, whereas the amounts of the estimates framed by Mr. Schisholm for these services were Rupees 1743-12- and Rupees 1000, vide Lf O. 5 August 1872, No 1839. Now I submit that if my share of the work is to be limited to the supervision of the details of its execution, I shall be placed in a false position in as much as I shall be called upon

to execute work on estimates that do not include all the work required. You will, I feel satisfied, quite understand that I make these remarks in no kind of disparaging spirit to Mr. Schisholm; but it is manifestly quite impossible for that, or any other, gentleman to make trustworthy estimates for the appropriation of our old building such as the Madurai Palace, when his manifold other duties compel his residence from the seat of work, the visits to which on his part are quite of a rare and cursory nature.

5. It must be borne in mind also that the estimates which have led to so much discussion are the very estimates that have been submitted direct by the Government Architect, for the sanction of Government.

And it is clearly undesirable to court
a repetition of this unpleasantness.

I would solicit therefore
that the ordinary course of procedure
in regard to Public Works be allowed
to be in force with respect to the
Madras Palace. All designs whether
for the restoration, decoration, or appro-
priation of the building to be made
as heretofore by the Government Architect;
and that these designs be furnished
to me with a view of my preparing
the necessary estimates. These estimates
will then be forwarded to the Collector
or Judge, as the case may be, for
countersignature and will be submitted
by me with the Plans, also duly
countersigned, for the sanction of
Government as per appropriations
for the year.

I do not consider that in

making this request, I am asking
anything more than the consideration
to which I may claim to be fairly
entitled. And I trust therefore that
the Government will approve of
the course now suggested. I am
satisfied that the work cannot proceed
satisfactorily without the continuous
assistance of this Department, I have
been, as I shall always be, most
happy to afford Mr. Whisholm
every aid in my power; but I
cannot consent to be held
responsible for the proper execution
of work on estimates framed at
a distance and submitted to Govern-
ment for sanction without any reference
to me or the scrutiny of this Office.

I have the honor to be,
your most ^{devoted} obedient servant
Rich^d. Roberts Mest^r Col^l R^g
District Engineer.

District Engineer's Office
Madurai 7 March 1873,

No 5235

Sir

Genl. Colonel A. A. Roberts Esq
District Engineer, Madurai,

To

Colonel G. W. Walker Esq
Secretary to Government S.W.

Sir

Adverting to G.O. No 243, dated
24 January 1873, I have the honor to
submit herewith for the sanction of
Government the four estimates noted
in the margin and to state that
these works have
been commenced
in participation
of the sanction
of Government.

Enclosures 6
4 Estimates
1 Block plan
1 Verandah Plan

- A. Estimate for the Dy. Secy's Office
in Tirumal Naick's Palace Madurai Rs 2400.
- B. Estimate for the Range Office in
Tirumal Naick's Palace Madurai Rs 2280.
- C. Estimate for providing a temporary
record room in the Palace for the use
of the District Court Madurai Rupees 350
- D. Estimate for constructing a verandah
in front of the octagon dome on the
South side of the Palace. Rupees 1920
6950

2.

It will be seen that the
estimates A & B exceed in amount

PM 1873 Department

No 5310

Received 11-1713

The District Engineer

To

Madurai,

The Secretary to
Government S.W.

Dated 10 March 1873
Received 15

Subject: The sum
of Rs 1000000
No 591, dated 21st
January 1873.
Regarding the account
of works in the
Tirumal Naick's
Palace.

No 434

Dated 11/94 - 25/10/94

the estimates mentioned for the same services in para 17 of my letter printed with the L.O already quoted. The original estimates included the cost of 'appropriation' works only, whereas the estimates now submitted allow for restoration works as well.

3. As directed in para 6 of the L.O under reference the sum of Rupees 2650 will be the limit of the expenditure this year on the estimates herewith forwarded for sanction.

3. The Plan & estimates for locating the collector and his butchery in the Palace will follow shortly.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt Servant,

Rice Roberts Esq. M.B.E.
District Engineer

N-296

Consulting Archts Office
Madras 20 March 1873.

From

R. F. Chisholm Esq. F.R.S. & A.
Consulting Architect to Government

To

Colonel J. W. Walker F.R.S.
Secretary to Government D.O.

Sir

I have the honor to submit to you the following papers received from Colonel Roberts District Engineer of Madras.

Enclosures
4 Estimates
1 Block plan
1 Verandah plan
Letter N-5235 of
March 1873 from
Dist Engr. Madras.

A Estimate for the Deputy Collectors Butchery
B Estimate for the Range Office
C Estimate for a temporary ^{Record} Room for the Judge
D Estimate for constructing a Verandah for the

Plan 1 of Verandah
2 Block plan for Deputy Collectors Butchery and Range Office.

2. In submitting these estimates I must explain that Estimates A and B are included in my estimate to Government N-275 dated 3rd March 1873 and that it will only be necessary to sanction C and D apart from that Estimate.

3. A separate estimate for restoration works is in course of preparation and will

be submitted with all possible despatch.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedt. servant.

C. Fisher

Consulting Architect to Govt.

C. W. 1873 Department.
From
The Consulting Architect
to Government
The Secretary
to Government

Dated 25 March 1873

Received 26 " " "

43110 41110 X
Enclosures

318
Submits for sanction

Estimates for connected
with Teromala chacka
Palace.

38- for 6.20.51.54

No 1194.25.2.11.11/90

435

Consulting Architects Office
Madras 27th March 1873

From

R. F. Chisholm Esq. H. R. C. B. A.

Consulting Archt to Govt

2/20

Colonel G. H. Walker, R. E.

Secretary to Govt P. H. D.

Li

I have the honor to forward you the correspondence in margin and an Estimate and diagram designed for providing temporary accommodation for 2 Munsiffs in the old Palace at Madura.

The space allotted for this purpose was marked by Lord Napier as a waiting Hall and it would be convenient to occupy it as a temporary measure only.

3 The Judge in his letter No 54 dated
25 February 1873 opens up the question of the future
position of the Sub Magistrate Butchery as it does
not appear however necessary to locate that Officer
at once in the Palace. I would recommend this
question to stand over until my next visit
to Madras where I can in consultation with
the Judge and Collector complete the

Enclosures 6
 Estimate of R. 200
 Copy of G. O. no 1443
 14th Sept^r 1869.

Copy of Letter from the Judge No. 52
dated 25 February 1873
Copy of Letter from the Dist. Magistrate
No. 458 dated 6 March 1873
Block plan shewing the proposed
sites for the temporary
occupation of the 2 District
Munsiffs Butcherries
Copy of Letter from the Judge
No. 94 dated 14 March 1873.

Copy of Letter from the Judge
No 74 Dated 14 March 1843.

7

7

The District Engineer

The Secretary to
Governor Wm. A. Smith

Date of March 11, 1873.

Enclosed

I would for purchase
 estimate & connect
 with animals which
 Galois and his friends
 that certain people
 have been concerned
 in activities
 of direction -

P. 9r. 1975/43.

W. 1893 H. Lashburne

arrangements for locating the whole of the Government Offices in the Palace. If the Government however consider the Arguments of the Collector and judge against locating the Sub Magistrate in the Palace to be conclusive I will submit a plan & estimate for a separate building.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt servant

Christie J. R. B. A.
Consulting archt to Govt

No. 1194. W. Dept. Encl. 11/18
Proceedings of the Madras Government.

From the Consq: Architect (H.E. No 275 of 3rd March/73/
 Bo ————— No ————— 289 of 11th do —
 Bo ————— No ————— 5310 of 10th do —
 Consq: Architect ————— 296 of 25th do —
 Bo ————— No ————— 303 of 27th do —
 Bo ————— No ————— 312 of 28th do —

1. With the first of
2. letters above recorded
3. of 24th of 3rd March
4. the Consulting Architect
5. has submitted an
6. estimate of Rs 12,636.
7. for providing accom-
8.modation for the Office
9. of the Collector of
10. Madras and two
11. Deputy Collectors, and
12. the Range Officers.

6

J. M. 1873, Richard
 O. of the 1st of the
 O. of the 21/27.
 O. of the 21/27.
 The knowledge architect

the Secretary
to the Board

Oct 23rd - Charles 1893
 Received 2nd April 175.

Towards Alan and
 estimate for providing
 temporary accommodation
 for 2, themselves in the
 old Palace at Chapana
 + 48 for 6505792
 19-1-74
 210-496-25 April 73.

And the arrangements
made, are understood
to meet the requisition
of para 2 of G. O.
No 591 of 21st February;
so that the present Col.
-lectors' Office shall
be vacated speedily,
and its sale proceeds
be made available with-
-in the current year to
recoup any advances
that may be made in
the first instance from
other funds.

2. To put one Deputy
Collector's Office, and
the Range Office, ap-
-pear to have been
estimated for more
in detail by the District

Engineer whose estimates
are submitted by the
Consulting Architect
with his second letter
No 296 of 25th March.
And these will be sanc-
-tioned definitely as a-
-mounting to Rs. 2400
and Rs. 2280 respectively,
conditional sanction being
given for the balance
of Rs. 7956 subject to
revision of the Estimates
by the District Engineer
to whom the Consulting
Architect's Estimate
will be handed for the
purpose - but with
strict instructions not
to delay in carrying
out the work meanwhile.

1843-10-10

121

10

~~Handwritten signature~~

sum of Rs. ~~1900~~ ²⁰⁰⁰
~~Rs. 1900 or~~
~~Rs. 2000 in round~~
~~numbers~~, at which

the cost of tying together
Galvanized
with Iron all the

dangerous portions of
the superstructure -

The remaining Rs. 2000, supplemented by a
sum from the Re-
serve equal to the
unspent balance of
1872-73 - (see p. 100)
will be available for
such works of Restoration
or Decoration as it
may be desirable to
carry out first, which
will be duly reported on,
and estimated for - by the District Engineer.

The arrangement
reported in the Council-
ing Architects letter
No. 303 of 22nd March
for accommodating
the two Moonsiffs, cost-
ing Rs. 200, and under-
stood to have been car-
ried out immediately,
is approved, as a strictly
temporary arrangement.
And Plans and Estimates

mapany accommodation
for this office in some portion
of the Palace which will
not be open to the objections
raised by the Moonsiff
& Judge. M

The office of one or more District
Moonsiffs in the space near
the Judges Court. It is not clear
whether it is intended that more
than one District Mff shall be permanently
located at Madura. -

As regards the accommodation
for the Sub Magistrate the Sub
do not desire to press adherence
to the original proposal to which
both the Judge & the Magistrate
strongly object. Before passing
orders on this point, they desire
to be informed how the Sub
Magistrate is at present ac-
commodated, whether in
a building rented for the
purpose, or in a building
the property of Govt. - It
is undesirable, if it can
be avoided, that the
expense of an entirely
new building for this
office should be incurred
& the Govt Architect will
consider whether it is
not possible to provide the

will be submitted for
accommodating them
permanently, in the
space marked on the
floor plan for the four-
faced (and for locating
the Sub-Magistrate).
It seems to be agreed
on all hands that it
would be better to pro-
vide quarters for the
latter Officer outside
the Palace, and such
will be planned and
estimated for accord-
ingly.

60
5

3 The Comdary Architect
5 Dist Engr. Madura
11 Judicial Department
21- Rs: Dep't
5- Controller of P. & A.
Sept 4-98



h-432.37.

Ms 1639, 2117, 1975,
17134/1417
Govt. of 1873 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To
The Consulting Architect

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 25th April 1875. No. 1194.

Nos. 432-433-435
436 & 437

Princinal Quarters Palace

to Madras. Passing orders
in papers relative to the
provision of accommo-
dation for certain Offices
in the Palace.