

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1089	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
200-201		
Dated	9-4-	1872

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
9th April 1873.*

No. 200. Read the following letter from the District Engineer, Godavery, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 3rd March 1873, No. 1,091:—

ADVERTING to your letter of the 4th instant, No. X, I have the honour to forward a copy of Mr. Walch's letter in

- 1 Copy of letter No. 425, dated 15th February 1873, from Executive Engineer, No. III. Range, to District Engineer.
- 1 Copy of letter No. 171, dated 24th August 1872, from Executive Engineer, No. III. Range, to Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.
- 1 Copy of Memorandum No. 2,035, dated 30th August 1872, from Superintending Engineer, to Executive Engineer, No. III. Range.
- 1 Copy of Memorandum No. 2,075, dated 31st August 1872, from Superintending Engineer, to Executive Engineer, No. III. Range.
- 1 Copy of letter No. 183, dated 4th September 1872, from Executive Engineer, to Superintending Engineer.
- 1 Copy of Memorandum No. 2,163, dated 10th September 1872, from Superintending Engineer, to Executive Engineer.
- 1 Appeal Petition from S. Chelmiah to Government, together with ten documents herewith returned.

reply, together with copies of all the documents connected with the petitioner's, S. Chelmiah's case which are on record in this office, and at the same time I beg to submit the following explanations.

2. The petitioner alleges the complaints made against him were anonymous. This is not the fact; the man gave his name and address and returned the raft license pass for comparison with the entries in the cash book, and this clearly showed the money paid for this had not been carried to the credit of Government.

3. Mr. Walch's explanations regarding the rupee found in the cash chest are perfectly clear, and show that it had no connection with the omission in the cash book on which I ordered the petitioner to be dismissed. He was only employed as a temporary writer, and the Executive Engineer did not consider him trustworthy.

4. The case of V. Ramasawmy, to which he refers, was undoubtedly very similar to his own, the cash book had been tampered with and false entries made and the man reinstated by order of Government, but I did not suppose this was to be a precedent.

5. Blame no doubt attaches to the Delta Superintendent at Chettypet, but the duties there are too much for a man of this class, and I always intended having an Assistant Engineer at the place as soon as I could get one; but as the expenditure in the district has increased, and the quantity of work put in hand is far beyond anything done here for the last twelve or fifteen years, the strength of the establishment has been decreased, and I have only lately been able to send Lieutenant Dorrward to assist Mr. Walch in the very heavy duties he has to attend to.

No. 201.

ORDER THEREON, 9th April 1873, No. 1,089.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 18.

His Excellency the Governor in Council considers the guilt of the petitioner to be so doubtful that, looking to the smallness of the amount involved, the failure to have the matter judicially investigated, the length of his previous good service, and the loss he has sustained

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
8th April 1873

from deprivation of his employment, his punishment has been more than sufficient
and his claims to re-employment are worthy of consideration.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Godavary
Controller of Public Works Accounts

Est. E. C. Shanker.

District
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, 1ST DIVISION.
DOWLAISHWARUM, 3rd March 1873

No. 1091

From

Lieut. Col. J. F. P. P. P. P.
District Superintending Engineer Godavary
1st Division

To

Colonel G. W. Walker R.E.

Secretary to Government D. P. W.

MADRAS

SIR,

I am in reply to your letter of the
11th Inst. No. 7. I have the honor to forward
a copy of Mr. Walch's letter in reply, together
with copies of all the documents connected
with the petitioner, S. Chelmalis, case
which are on record in this Office, and at
the same time I beg to submit the following
explanations.

2. The petitioner alleges, the complaints
made against him were, anonymous, this
is not the fact, the man gave his name
and address and returned the pass-licenses
for comparison with the entries in
the Cash book, and this clearly showed

the money paid for this had not been
carried to the credit of Government

3. Mr. Welch's explanations
regarding the Pufus found in the Cash
book, are perfectly clear, and show that it
had no connexion with the omission in the
Cash Book, on which I ordered the Pittman
to be dismissed. He was only employed as
a temporary Suter and the Executive Engineer
did not consider him trustworthy.

4. The case of W. Ramaswamy, to
which he refers, was undoubtedly very similar
to his own, the Cash Book had been
tampered with, and false entries made,
and the man re-instated by order of
Government, but I did not suppose this
was to be precedent.

5. Blame no doubt attaches to the
Delta Superintendent at Chettypet, but
the duties there are too much for a man
of

of this class, and I always intended having
an Assistant Engineer at the place as soon
as I could get one, but as the expenditure
in the District has increased, and the
quantity of work put in hand is far beyond
any thing done here for the last 12 or 15
years, the strength of the Establishment has
been decreased, and I have only lately
been able to send Lieutenant Dorn and
to assist Mr. Welch in the very heavy
duties he has to attend to.

I have the honour to be,
Sir

Your Most Obedt Servt

W. H. Tucker Esq. C. E. & R. E.

District Engineer Godanur

Mr S. Cheluniah
Writer

Seems a sharp man,
has been keeping the ac-
counts of Mr R. Harris for
some time back punctually
and accurately.

9th March/66 (Sd) A S. Smally V. P. E.

Ag Executive Engineer

Is a hard working man
and keeps his accounts very
fairly

22nd February/71 (Sd) J W Rendall
Ex. Engineer.

(True Extracts from the
Merit Book E. of
The No III or Western
Delta Range. Godavary.)

S. Cheluniah
Late Writer

From
The Superintendent Engineer,
First Division,
Godavary

To

The Secretary to Government
Department Public Works
Madras.

Date 3rd March 1873
Received 10th

NO. 1091
Incl. Second

Subject.

2 pounds 10 pence 11-1/2
The ledger will be for the
deposition to come out with the
Statement. S. Cheluniah to see
and affix to contain explanation
on the subject.

ac 122

Not to be
of 1089-9-10/10/73

112.
The District Engineer Godavary is requested
to forward the above letters to Government
in the margin of the letter of the 3rd March 1873
and which will not be a serious matter that either
the District Engineer or the District Engineer
will be responsible for.

(2)

This is to certify the bearer of this
Chelunial has been served with me for 40
days as a Writer, I found him to be a smart
man.

Camp Chintapalli
29th March 1857

1st Lt Jelloore
Asst Quarter
G. D.

(3)

This is to certify that S Chelmaya was
employed as a writer in the Gov^t Telegraph
Department for the period of two years one
month and 20 days during which time he
conducted himself to my satisfaction.
He writes a very good hand is a good
accountant and I have had no reason
to suspect his honesty.

Benjorah
1st September 61

1st Lt
J. Robertson
1st Class Artificer in charge
11th Division East Coast Circle

(4)

I have known S Chelunial since for the last
7 years & he has served under me as writer
Goomasta & channel Supt^e for about 3 years
during which time I had nothing to say
against him in any respect. He is a good
clerk and accountant and very attentive
to his duties.

Rajalundary
14th December 1868

1st Lt Harris
Late 1st Lt Supt^e

(True Copy)
1st Lt Harris

Inverness 12/1/73.

eration for doing me justice

I beg to remain

Honored Sir

Your Most Obedt & Humble Servt

(Ed) S. Cheluviah

Writer

(True Copy)

(Cheluviah)

Writer

C
Colonel J. F. Tucker R.E.
Superintending Engineer
at Pondicherry
The humble petition of J. S.
Cheluviah, writer under Mr Jackson
to the Superintendent.

Most honored Sir

I humbly beg to bring the
few following lines into your kind consideration
hoping that you will enquire into the matter
and do me justice.

I received on the 3rd June 1872 from
Mr J. F. Walch Executive Engineer, a docket
ordering my suspension for misappropriation
of Government money. On the previous day
(i.e. 2nd June/72) the Range Officer brought a
raft pass, the license of which was one Rupee
& Annas, and showed it to Mr Jackson &
asked him what became of the money collected
on the raft and afterwards he ordered me
to read to him the Telugu name entered
in the pass, I did so. This was all
the enquiry made by the Range Officer
in the matter; and on the next day I
received my suspension order.

I very respectfully & humbly beg

Encl. No. 11/12/78

7 D
Col. J. G. Fisher P. E.
Superintending Engineer
1st Division

The humble petition of S. Chelima
Petty Establishment Writer in
No III Range Godavery

Most honored Sir
Humbly sheweth The petitioner humbly begs to
state that he received from the Executive Engineer
No III Range a docket and a copy of Memo No 2085
of 29th August from you to the Executive Engineer
in which it was stated that "You doubt consid-
er a subordinate in the temporary branch of
the Department of doubted honesty should be
retained in the Service"

He respectfully begs to state he was not
a temporary writer, but was a writer paid
from the petty Establishment sanctioned
by Govt. He was a channel Superintendent
in No III Range from 1861 to 1868, When the
reorganization took place his services were
dispensed with in the Conservancy Establish-
ment. Since then he was employed as a
writer under Delta Superintendent at Chet-
pettah. Your honor might have thought
that the petitioner was paid from Temporary
Establishment, which was not the case.

Ind. 212 of 78.

T E

Col. J. F. Fisher R E
Superintending Engineer
First Division

The humble petition of S. Chelmal
Late writer of the Petty Establish-
ment. No III Range, Godavari District

Most honored Sir
I beg to state to your honor that
I addressed two Registered petitions dated 30th
August & 24th September 1872, respectively, to
your honor, stating of my unjust dismissal and
that you were not kind enough to issue any
endorsement. I served under several Gentle-
men who satisfied with my services & conduct
granted me good testimonials. But the Range
Officer Mr. G. J. Welch unjustly had my dis-
missal saying that I was a person of doubted
honesty. I therefore beg your honor will be
pleased to issue an order to the effect that
I may be restored to my post or to grant
me a final endorsement as there is no time
for an appeal.

I beg to remain Most
honored Sir

Your most Obedt & humble Servant
S. Chelmal
Petty Establishment Writer

Chettipettah
18th October 1872

He humbly begs also to state that by
the wording "doubted honesty" in the above
memo the petitioner fears that it may spoil
the petitioner's good character maintained
from a long time and may prevent him
getting employment in any Department.

The petitioner has already sent a petition
requesting your honor to reinstate him in his
post, for which he has not yet received
any endorsement. In conclusion he very
humbly solicits your charitable honor to
favor him with a favourable endorsement
on his petition as he was quite innocent
in the matter.

I beg to remain

Most honored Sir

Your most Obedt & humble Servant

S. Chelmal

Late Petty Establishment Writer

(True Copy)

S. Chelmal

Late Writer

No. 212 of 73

2640

Endowment

The petitioner is hereby informed that as from the enquiry instituted by the Officer in charge of the Range Godavery into a charge preferred against the petitioner by a Rafterman with having received from him the Licence Money, and not having credited it to Government in accounts, that Officer attaches strong suspicion to the petitioner and reports him of doubtful honesty, and unfit to remain in Government employ.

The petitioner was accordingly dismissed from the service from date of suspension.

Superintending Engineer's Office. 1st Division
Dowlaiswarum 25th October 1872.

(Signed)
H. H. Mead, C.E.
for Dy. Supt. Engineer
1st Division

(True Copy)

For (checkmark)
Sd/- Mr. Winter

On 18.2.12 off 173

28th March/73 back no. 666 with

MEMORANDUM.

3 enclosures

REQUESTED for reference the under-mentioned papers

Letter from Com. Sup. for Railway 1-6-7
Jan 73 P. W. Current to 06 with 3 encls.

— — — — — No 70-30 Jan. P. W. Chs
— — — — — 689 with 1 encls.

Exo. No 835-20 Feb 72 No 2757-23 Nov 72
— — — — — 2703-27 Nov 72 Exo. No 2013-2 Dec 72.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF
ENGR. FOR IRRIGATION,
P. W. Department,

1873.)

To

The Registrar of the

Head Clerk.
M. H. H. H. H.

no more was mentioned in the papers, who was not forthcoming
at the time of enquiry, charging the petitioner with

REGISTERED LETTER RECEIPT to be given to the Sender.
OFFICE STAMP.

Posted at Dowlaiswaram Branch Office.

Subordinate to Rajahmundry Head Office.

Address.
Cott. J. S. Fischer R.R.

Dowlaiswaram

Initials of D. P. M.

4/26 D.P.M.
Date: 30/8/72


REGISTERED LETTER RECEIPT—to be given to the Sender.
OFFICE STAMP.

Posted at San Antonio Office.

No. 72 W 2-5

Date 24th Feb 1872

Address La Colona
N. E. Superintendant
Armerio D. D. D. D. D.



Initials of Receiving Clerk.

V. M. J. C.
Dr. M.

Dr. M. J. C.
24th Feb 1872

14

REGISTERED LETTER RECEIPT—to be given to the Sender.

OFFICE STAMP.

Posted at Wichita Falls Office

No. 714 W. Post Office

Date 7/12/11-72


Address.

Charles E. Fisher
Wichita Falls

Initials of Receiving Clerk.

W. H. 8

An. 8-21-11



No 357
From *M. P. Adamus*
The officer in charge No III an
Western Delta Range, Godavery
To *S. Chellamaya*

REGISTERED LETTER RECEIPT - to be given to the Sender.

Post at <i>S. Chellamaya</i>	Branch Office.
Subordinate to <i>Rajahmundry</i>	Head Office.
Address. <i>Cott. J. J. Fischer R.R.</i>	
<i>S. Chellamaya</i>	
Initials of D. P. M. <i>7/6 D.P.M.</i>	
Date <i>26/8/72</i>	

S. Chellamaya's Ser.
are dispensed with.
Signed/ George Walch
Ex. Eng.
(True Copy)
J. Cheluvish
Late Writer

Suptg Eng.

(Dated 29th August 1912)

102035

(Despd 30th August 1912)

Memorandum

With reference to the latter part of
Mr Hatch's letter 10171 dated 22nd August 1912
the undersigned directs that the services of
Temporary writer L. Chalmers be dispensed
with from the date he was suspended as the
undersigned does not consider, a subordinate
in the temporary branch of the Department, of
doubted honesty, should be retained in the
service.

To The Officer In Charge P. B. Range
Ordinary.

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. Hatch

(True Copy)

J. Chalmers
Temporary Writer

102035/1912

185
... being the amount of

The Secretary to Government

Public Works Department

...

The humble petition of ...
... Channel ...
... in the ...
... under ...
... the ...

Humbly Sheweth

That the ...
Superintendent ...
... was ...
... was in ...
... last he was ...
... he had to say ...
marked B was ...
that even after ...
was done to restore ...
sent a petition to ...
the 29th ...
and marked C ...
sent up two petitions ...
marked D & E ...
Captain ...
... office ...
... dated ...
the order of dismissal ...
or was reported to be ...
That this accusation ...
how the following ...
ably begs to state ...

Enclosure

1855/2/43
...

In ... of 1855

185. 30
with misappropriation of a sum \$212 - being the amount of
liability alleged to have been paid by him on ^{the} April 1871 on
account of a draft which used the Canal. It was further
urged in the petition that the money which should have
been credited in the cash book of the Delta Superintendent
was not done so. About a few days after the receipt of the
petition, the Range Officer appears to have examined the
Delta Superintendent's Cash Book in person and probably
not finding the required entry therein sent for the petitioner
and asked him to read out a telegram relating to the
license money under notice. The petitioner accordingly
read out and the Range Officer quitted the Delta Super-
intendent's Office without a word of remark. The next
day (3rd June 1872) to the surprise of the petitioner a
memoir was put into his hands suspending him
until the matter was enquired into. For several
months together no action having been taken in the
matter, your petitioner went up in person several
times and requested the Range Officer to restore him
to his former appointment as he could not have had
a hand in the transaction even if he desired it owing
to the circumstances detailed below. The petitioner was
repeatedly made to understand that the case was in
the hands of the Police Authorities, until at last he was
ordered to commit to writing any thing he had to say
in his defence. Whereupon the petition marked **B** was
addressed to the Range Officer seeing that even after
the submission of this letter nothing was done to restore
the petitioner to his appointment, he sent a petition to
the Superintending Engineer dated the 29th June and
copy of which is herein enclosed and marked **C**.
Subsequently the petitioner sent up two petitions
to the Superintending Engineer marked **D** & **E**.
Respectively requesting restoration. Captain Read who
was in charge of the Superintending Engineer's Office
favored your petitioner with an endorsement dated
the 20th October 72 confirming the order of dismissal
on the ground that the petitioner was reported to be
a person of doubtful honesty. That this accusation
cannot be made will be clear from the following:
Your petitioner humbly begs to state

19
30
State that he being but a writer under the Delta Superintendent
had nothing to do whatever with the collection of license fees
but that his Superior Mr. Jackson the Delta Superintendent
was intrusted with the duty of collecting money due from
different sources. The money thus collected was secured
by himself in his Cash Chest and only a memo or verbal
order used to be given to your petitioner who was only a writer
in order to exhibit the transactions in the Cash book.
The Delta Superintendent was further bound to examine
the Cash book every day after the entries therein were
made by the petitioner. Neither any Cash collected nor the
key of the Delta Superintendent's Cash Chest was
ever entrusted to the petitioner and no part
was ever paid any money to him as it was a
well known circumstance that your petitioner was
not intrusted with the duty of collecting any money.
It is owing to this circumstance that the Range Officer at
the time of Enquiry questioned the omission of the
entry relating to the above sum Rs 1-2-0. Mr. Jackson
the Superintendent owned as he ought to do that the
mistake occurred through an oversight. This
the petitioner observes will be quite sufficient
to produce a conviction that the Delta Superintendent
received the money himself but that he
omitted to intimate it to your petitioner for
making the required entry in the Government
Cash book. Your petitioner further solicits
permission to bring to notice that the license fee
was alleged to have been paid in April 1871 and
that in August of the same year the Range
Officer, on examining the Delta Superintendent's
Cash book, balanced found an excess of Rs 1-1-0 and
credited it to Government. The surplus your petitioner
acquires is a portion of the Rs 1-2-0, and had
the Range Officer, when examining the Cash balances
between April and August, examined his Cash Chest
also, he would have found the surplus there
and thereby. But during that interval he
used to order the Delta Superintendent to bring his
Cash balances and the Delta Superintendent was
in the habit of taking with him only the

the purse containing the money without looking properly into the cash chest to ascertain whether any sundry cash was left in it without being put into the purse. The Range Officer therefore could not find the surplus of the Rupee until his examination in August when he examined the cash chest. Of the sum of Rupees 1-2-0 one Rupee having thus been accounted for the petitioner begs to state that the balance viz two Annas must be a twoanna piece and have been mislaid.

If your petitioner had any knowledge before the enquiry which took place in pursuance of the anonymous petition that the Superintendent omitted to bring to the credit of Government the license fee of Rs 1-2-0 he should have made a mention of the circumstance to the Superintendent and Range Officer when the surplus of 1 Rupee was found. Unfortunately however he had no knowledge of the omission until he was informed of the same after enquiry of the Range Officer.

In conclusion the petitioner most respectfully begs to refer you to G.O. No 2087 dated 28th June 1869 regarding B. Ramasamy and to state that although the writer appears to have been guilty to some extent yet Government was pleased to order his restoration.

In the case of the petitioner however the case is quite different since the circumstances detailed above have completely proved his innocence. He earnestly beseeches therefore that you will be graciously pleased to take into your benignant consideration his poor and deplorable state being left without a pie of income owing to dismissal from appointment since the last eight months and order his reinstatement.

For this act of kindness your petitioner with the members of his large and now helpless family will as in duty bound for ever pray for your honors longevity and

call for a short return showing the tanks which were repaired with reference to the instructions contained in L.S. No. 535 of the 28 Feb 72 & the amount & nature of the outlay upon them, secondly the tanks not considered in need of repairs & thirdly noting which of them breached during the last rainy season. Unless Government are prepared to abandon many tanks altogether as irrigation works, accidents must be expected to happen in such a season as that which has recently been experienced, but still there are plenty of preventable accidents, that is accidents which may be averted by a timely & perfectly reasonable outlay on the works the efficiency of which is worth ensuring.

6. In connection with the unusual floods in the Kortiliat this year, the special attention of the District Engineers of North Arcot & Chingleput has been called to the probability that much of the water was received from the Palas either through the Causerypattu tank or by inundation; and.

and they have been requested to take
measures in order to prevent the
boats from being injured. The
North main channel from the lake
has a hard dried, but it seems pro-
bable that this was turned to the
further the flood water passed for
the Palas, below the ancient rest,
the country towards the British

Fort St George } When in line
11 February 1873 }
Shipments for private
To
The Secretary to the Govt

and caused health

I beg to Enquire
Most honoured Sir
Your most obedient & humble
Petitioner

S. Cheluviah
Late Master

under 1st class Delta District

Kind Care of A. Jeyaraman
Chartered Bank Corporation
Madras

Madras
January 17/73

No 125

No III or Western Delta Range
Office, Mursapur
15 February 1873

Despatched 19

From

The Executive Engineer
No III or Western Delta Range, Godavary.

To The District Engineer
Godavary District
Dowlaiswaram.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum of
12th Instant.

1st As regards the dispensing with the services
of temporary writer, S. Chelamayya, I cannot put
the whole matter into a concise form than I did in my
letters to you of 22nd August/72 and 1st September/72
to which replies were received in your Nos 2035-29th Sep/72
and 2162-10/9/72

2nd As regards the Collection of raft pass fees
Mr. Jackson at Chaltipett has to collect the fees
according to the regular scale from all rafts
passing that place - the numbers of "Locks full"
passed through the Vizeswaram Lock (the head lock
of this Delta) by each raft man being entered on
a "Chit" sent by that Lock Superintendent to
Mr. Jackson - All such collections Mr. Jackson
has to have entered in his Cash Book and he has
twice a week to pay all monies received into the
Sanctum Treasury.

Up till 1st September/71, raft passes were merely
written as they were required by Mr. Jackson
on common paper. Since then, regular printed
forms with counterfoils are issued and it is possible
to check the number of passes issued with the number
of

No 819

No 171 5
No 183

of collections, and the amount collected with
the amounts as entered on the counterfile.
The enclosures of the memo under reply
are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Yours faithfully
C. J. Walsh
Executive Engineer

2 true copy

W. L. Tucker
District Engineer, Godavari

as
re
to
X
h
b
f
t

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the left page.]

171

3rd Western Circle Range Office
Siddanlam 22nd August 1872
Despatched 24th August 1872.

The Executive Engineer,
3rd Western Circle Range,
Godavari District.

The Superintending Engineer,
1st Division,
Dowlatabad.

In forwarding herewith a petition from
temporary Sudder S. Chinnappa it is considered
- advisable first to put on record what has been done in
the matter.

On the 8th of last May I received a petition from
and M. Appanna of Polavaram enclosing a paper
pass of 1st April 1871 signed by Mr. Lockhart in ac-
- knowledging the receipt of Rs. 1-2-0. The petitioner stated
that he gave the money to Sudder Chinnappa who had
not entered it in the Cash book but had kept it for
his own use. On referring to Mr. Lockhart's Cash book
I found that it was quite true that no such sum
had been Credited therein. I informed you of this
semi-officially and you replied that you considered I
ought

ought to send the Case to a Magistrate for enquiry, I did
as in what I supposed to be the proper way, by letter on
2/6/72 to Assistant Magistrate Elford forwarding the
petition and the raft license and on the same day sub-
scribed Cheluviah. I supposed the matter to be under
enquiry but on 12th July 1872 I received a letter from
the Magistrate informing me that the Complaint
must be laid in the ordinary way. On further enquiry
I supposed this to mean by appearing in person before
him and as I supposed that in a few days I would
have to go to Elford for the Road Committee I
thought it as well to wait till then. When I found
that the Committee would not meet so soon as I
expected I determined to bring the Case before the
Sahibdar Submagistrate but being unable to do so
without the documents which I had sent to the
Elford Assistant Magistrate I wrote to him on 25th
requesting their return getting no reply. I
wrote again on 20th August but have not yet received
the documents. I would request instructions as to
whether I do get them and to lay the matter
before the Magistrate. I much doubt if now it is
of much use doing so, for whoever is the guilty party
has had ample time to tamper with witnesses at the
same time. I believed it to be very inexpedient to
revisit Cheluviah.

I have, &c. &c.
H. G. Welch
Executive Engineer

P.S. 24/8/72. The petition and raft license have
this day been received back from the Assistant
Magistrate.

[Encd Copy]

W. L. Smith Esq. P.E.
District Engineer Godavery

No 2035-

Superintending Engineer's Office 1st Division
Coimbatore 29th August 1872
Despatched 30th August 1872

Memorandum

With reference to the latter part of Mr. Walchi's letter No. 171 dated 22nd August 1872 the undersigned directs that the services of Temporary Writer S. Chalmayya be dispensed with from the date he was suspended as the undersigned deems such Consider, a Subordinate in the temporary branch of the Department, & should, hence, be retained in the service.

J. S. Fisher, Esq. M. A. M. C. E.
Asst. Supd. Engrs 1st Division

The Officers in charge Nos 3 & 4
Coimbatore

(True Copy)

W. T. Fisher, Esq. M. A. M. C. E.
District Engineer Coimbatore

26/2/20/1000

1883

No 3 on Western Delta Range Office
Surabaya

14 September 1872

From
The Executive Engineer
No 3 on Western Delta Range
Cidaveng District
To
The Superintending Engineer
1st Division
Dutch East Indies

Sir, With reference to the points on which you
call for report in your No 2075, 31/8/72. I have
the honor to state.

(1) The writer had properly only just so much to do
with collection and custody of raft monies as other
Overseers and Cash disburse's Writers had to do
with Cash receipts and custody of Cash. But the
petition stated that the missing money had been
paid to the writer.

(2) One rupee surplus was found in Mr Jackson's
Cash Chest when I examined it on 26 August 1871.
but between the date on which the missing money
was paid in (7/4/71) by the raffman, and the date
on

already sent
to you and now
again forwarded
together with raft
note.

26/2/22

No 1089 P.D.D.
 Proceedings of the Madras Court
 Read the following
 District Engineer
 Godavery } (H. E. Dec 1091 & 3rd March/73)

His Excellency
 the Governor in Council
 considers the guilt of
 the Petitioner to be
 so doubtful, that
 looking to the small-
 -ness of the amount
 involved, the failure
 to have the matter
 judicially investigated,
 the length of his pre-
 -vious good service,
 and the loss he has

MR

Enclosed in a letter
 No 1091 dated 3rd March/73
 from the District Engineer
 Godavery to the Secretary
 to Government H. E. D. S.
 Madras.

No. 9 dated 7.3.13 H. E. D. S.

Per 1550943

31
sustained from de-
-privation of his
employment, his ~~fun-~~
-ishment has been
more than sufficient
and his claims to
reemployment ^{are} worthy
of consideration -

GNW

to the D. C. Goddard
Committee of D. C. Hall
Sept 15-4-78.

J 200.201.

Cp. 1550
Cobt. of 1873 33dms.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To The District
Godavery

Dated

Encl. 7

Recd.

G. O. 9 April 1889.

Nos. 200 & 201

S. Chelamiah, late Channal
Superintendent & Writer,
Godavery D. P. Paping orders
on papers relating to the
disposal of Chelamiah.