

(1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referrer: _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Private Letters

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1012	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	2 1	18'43

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
2nd April 1873.*

No. 27. Read the following letter from the Officiating District Engineer, South Arcot, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp at Gingee, 17th March 1873, No. 69 :—

Under the circumstances stated below, and at the suggestion of the Controller of Public Works Accounts, I have the honour to request that the allotment of Rupees 1,256-12-3, applied for by the late Superintending Engineer in his letter No. 64 of the 15th June 1872, and sanctioned by Government from Provincial

Financial Dept., No. 960 of 20th July 1872. Funds in their Order of the 8th August 1872, No. 95, for the examination of the foundations of the Vellaur Bridge may be reduced to Rupees 665-2-6, the net outlay incurred on this work.

2. Up to March last, an outlay of Rupees 591-9-9* was incurred in the Cuddalore Range; but the work being

		Rs.	A.	P.
* In February 1872	...	320	0	0
.. March ..	...	271	9	9
Total ..	...	591	9	9

situated in the Virdachellum Range, this outlay was, under instructions from the Controller, transferred to the latter range in that month, and this sum, together with Rupees 124-14-3 spent in the Virdachellum Range, or in all Rupees 716 8-0,† was therefore exhibited

in the accounts of the Virdachellum Range for March 1872.

3. This transfer of Rupees 591-9-9 appears to have been overlooked in the late Superintending Engineer's Office under some misapprehension of the transactions, the amounts that appeared in the Progress Reports of the two ranges were added together as actual expenditure; thus an excess of Rupees 591-9-9 over the sum actually spent on the work was applied for by the Superintending Engineer as noted below :—

		Rs.	A.	P.
Expenditure as per Progress Report of No. 1 Range for March 1872 ..	...	591	9	9
Do. do. of .. 4 Range for ..	...	716	8	0
Do. do. of Nos. 1 and 4 Ranges for April ..	...	239	6	0
Total ..	...	1,547	7	9
Deduct recovery ..	...	290	11	6

Amount applied for by Superintending Engineer and sanctioned by Government ..	...	1,256	12	3
Deduct outlay in No. 1 Range, transferred to the Virdachellum Range ..	...	591	9	9
Net expenditure on the work ..	...	665	2	6

4. From the Controller's letters on the subject, it is observed that the gross expenditure admitted in his accounts amounts to Rupees 1,227-7-9; deducting from this the recovery of Rupees 290-11-6, the net outlay admitted by him amounts to Rupees 936 12-3, or Rupees 271-9-9 in excess of actual expenditure.

5. The outlay in March 1872 in both the Cuddalore and Virdachellum Ranges on account of the work in question was only Rupees 396-8-0, that is, Rupees 124-14-3 in the latter, and Rupees 271-9-9 paid by transfer by the former

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
2nd April 1873.*

range, whereas the expenditure admitted by the Controller in that month was Rupees 668-1-9, or Rupees 271-9-9 in excess as stated above.

6. As regards this excess it is observed from the Controller's letter* on the

* No. 457 of 14th February 1873.

subject that his office was not aware that

the outlay of Rupees 326 8-0 entered in the Virudachellum Range Progress Report for March 1872 included the transfer payment of Rupees 271-9-9 made by the Cuddalore Range, a corresponding credit not having been received in the accounts of the former range, and that consequently, under the presumption that the adjustment of the transfer payment was overlooked by the then Range Officer (Mr. Hawksworth), the omission was rectified in his office by inserting a credit of Rupees 271-9-9 against "Transfers," and increasing by that sum the outlay on the work in question.

7. These corrections were duly pointed out to the Range Officer in the statement of corrections appended to the clearance for March 1872; but this officer appears to have tacitly accepted the corrections made by the Controller and altered his accounts accordingly, without taking into consideration the excess caused thereby. The officer now in charge of the Virudachellum Range admits that the outlay entered in the Range Progress Report for March 1872 included the transfer payment of Rupees 271-9-9 made by the Cuddalore Range, but this he also considers to have been adjusted by the Controller, since clearance has been granted by him for that month.

No. 28. ORDER THEREON, 2nd April 1873, No. 1,012.

ACCOUNT, No. 1.

COMMUNICATED to the Controller of Public Works Accounts, who will make the necessary adjustments with reference to the information furnished in this letter and issue such instructions as may be necessary to the Range Officers concerned.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Controller of Public Works Accounts.
.. District Engineer, South Arcot.
.. Financial Department.
.. Accountant-General.

Ed E. C. Shunker.

Range Progress Report for March 1872 included the transfer payment of Rupees 271-9-9 made by the Cuddalore Range, a corresponding credit not having been received in the accounts of the former Range, and that consequently under the presumption that the adjustment of the transfer payment was overlooked by the then Range Officer (Mr. Hawksworth) the omission was rectified in his office by inserting a credit of Rs 271-9-9 against Transfers, and increasing by that sum the outlay on the work in question.

These corrections were duly pointed out to the Range Officer in the statement of corrections appended to the clearance for March 1872, but this officer appears

appears to have locally accepted the corrections made by the Controller and allowed his accounts accordingly, without taking into consideration the excess caused thereby. The officer now in charge of the Indian Affairs thinks that the outlay entered in the House Progress Report for March 1872 included the transfer payment of \$291-9-9 made by the Controller's House, but this he also considers to have been adjusted by the Controller, since clearance has been granted by him for that month.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient servant

W. H. H. H.
Offg. District Engineer

No 69.

Dist. Engineers Office, Fort
Camp Grease 17th March 1873

2 June,

The Offg. Dist. Engineer,
South West.

10.

The Secretary to Government,
D. P. H.

Sir,

Under the circumstances stated below and at the suggestion of the Controller of P. H. Accounts, I have the honor to request that the allotment of \$1256-12-3, applied for by the late Supy. Engineer in his letter of 6th of the 15th June 1872, and sanctioned by Government from Provincial funds in their order of the 8th August 1872 of \$95, for the examination of the foundations of the Yellow Bridge,

Financial Com.
partment 22960
of 20 July 72

may

may be entered to Report No. 2. b
the net outlay incurred on the work?

2. Up to March last an outlay
of Report 591-9-9 was incurred in the
Caudabara Range, but the work being
situated in the Tintachellum Range, this
outlay was, under instructions from the
Comptroller, transferred to the latter Range
in that month, & this sum together with
Report 121-11-3 spent in the Tintachellum
in all Report 7th-8-11, was therefore
exhibited in the accounts of the Tintachellum
Range for March 1897.

3. This transfer of Rs. 591-9-9
appears to have been overlooked in the
late Superintending Engineer's Office
under some misapprehension of the trans-
actions, the amounts that appeared in
the Progress Reports of the 2 Ranges

were added together as actual expenditure.
Thus an excess of Rs. 591-9-9 over
the sum actually spent on the work
was applied for by the Supt. Engineer,
as noted below:-

Expenditure as per Progress Report of
1st Range for March 1897 Report 591-9-9
- Rs. - Rs. of Rs. 4th Range for Rs. - 7th-8-11
- Rs. - Rs. of 1st & 2nd Range for April - 239-8-
1567-7-9
Total recovery - 290-11-6
Amount applied for by Supt. Eng. - 1256-12-3
and sanctioned by Government

Direct outlay in 1st Range transferred
to the Tintachellum Range - 591-9-9
Net expenditure on the work - 665-2-6

4. From the Comptroller's letter on the
subject it is observed that the gross ex-
penditure admitted in his accounts an-
mounts to Report 1227-7-9, deducting
from this the recovery of Report 290-11-6

the net outlay admitted by him amounts
to \$10,000.00. \$12.50. \$10,000.00
in excess of actual expenditure.

5. The outlay in March 1872 in
both the Comptroller and Treasurer
Hinges on account of the work in question
was only \$10,000.00. \$12.50. \$10,000.00
is, \$10,000.00. \$12.50. \$10,000.00
\$10,000.00 paid by transfer by
the former Hinge, whereas the expenditure
was admitted by the Comptroller in
that a month was \$10,000.00. \$12.50. \$10,000.00
or \$10,000.00. \$12.50. \$10,000.00
stated above.

6. As regards this receipt it is
observed from the Comptroller's letter
in the subject that his office was
not aware that the outlay of \$10,000.00
\$12.50. \$10,000.00 entered in the Treasurer's

11:15 AM
February 1873

