263/97 R4

13/6/97

1) Number of Pages: 5
2) Date of Repair: 5.5
3) Initials of Ref.

26/2/97

Public Works

Gpro-151 dt-26-2-1870

Gpro-279 dt-16-2-1872

13

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 16th February 1872.

Read the following papers :—

No. 279. From the Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.
(Here enter No. 2,806, dated 7th December 1871.)

No. 280. From the Officer in charge of No. 1. Range, Vizagapatam.
(Here enter No. 523, dated 19th December 1871.)

No. 281. ORDER THEREON, 16th February 1872, No. 449.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 21.

IN his letter of the 14th March 1871, No. C.C., the Superintending Engineer of the 1st Division in reporting on the unfitness of Mr. Supervisor Hamnet for the charge of a range, remarked that he was not quite satisfied with the state of affairs in No. 1 Range of the Vizagapatam District, and that he had warned Mr. Laskey, the Supervisor in charge.

2. No specific grounds of complaint were then urged, but, having, by some means not stated, perused not only Lieutenant-Colonel Fischer's letter quoted above but the one which gave cover to the Supervisor's remonstrance, Mr. Laskey submits detailed explanations.

3. Lieutenant-Colonel Fischer had doubtless some reason for being dissatisfied with Mr. Laskey's conduct, but as he observes in paragraph 6 of his letter of the 7th December last, No. 2,106, that the matters to which Mr. Laskey adverts "have long since been forgotten", the Government do not consider it necessary to further notice the papers above recorded.

4. Mr. Laskey's addressing Government direct instead of through the Superintending Engineer was improper.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. CARPENDALE, Colonel, R.E,
Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th August 1872.

No. 496. Read the following letter from the Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 8th July 1872, No. 1,346:—

With reference to paragraph 3 of my letter No. 485, dated 6th May 1872, I have the honour to forward herewith a list showing the grants sanctioned for famine relief works in the Vizagapatam District, as well as the probable expenditure therefrom during the current official year as ordered in paragraph 3 of G. O. No. 254, dated 25th April 1872.

1 List.
1 Copy of letter No. 220, dated 3rd July 1872, from Supervisor No. 1 Range, Vizagapatam.

2. Adverting to paragraph 2 of the above G. O., I beg to state that I have called the attention of the Supervisor in charge No. 1 Range, Vizagapatam, to paragraph 9 of G. O. in the Revenue Department, No. 621, dated 17th April 1872, regarding the rectification of the Toonee and Pentacotah line of road, and the enclosed is a copy of Mr. Laskey's reply to my call, which I forward for the information of Government.

Vizagapatam District.

List showing the Grants for Famine Relief Works, the Expenditure incurred in 1871-72, *as well as the Probable Expenditure in* 1872-73 *against the Grants.*

Work.	Grant.	Expenditure in 1871-72.	Probable expenditure in 1872-73.	Remarks.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Trunk Road from Chicacole southwards ...	5,000	6,513	3,487	
Do. from Ankapilly do.	3,000			
Extra grant for Trunk Road from Ankapilly to Toonee.	2,000			
Road from Nursipatam to Tallapolem ...	1,000	598	402	
Do. from do. to Polaverum	1,000	822	178	
Do. between Rambadrapoorum and Bobbily.	1,000	734	266	
Do. between Vizianagrum and Cheepurpilly.	2,000	718	1,282	
Do. between Cholaverum and Padmanabha.	2,000	1,798	.....	This work is now in progress from Local Funds, and therefore no other money is required for it.
Do. between Vizianagrum and Bimlipatam	1,500	138	1,362	
Salt road from Kuppili to Trunk Road ...	1,000	.....	.....	Not included in the Range Officer's statement.
Road from Salur to Tadivalsa at the foot of Turner's ghaut.	5,000	388	9,612	
Do. do. additional grant	5,000			
Do. from Konada to Vizianagrum ...	10,000	.....	10,000	
For Irrigation repairs in Sub-Division Talugs.	15,000	.....	15,000	
Trench round Salt-pans at Balacherun ...	1,000	.....	.....	Do. do.
Total ...	55,500	11,709	41,589	

Dowlaishweram,
8th July 1872.

(Signed) J. F. FISCHER, Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Acting Suptg. Engineer, 1st Division.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
29th August 1872.

From Mr. J. W. LASKEY, Officer in charge of No. 1 Range, Vizagapatam, to the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, dated Yellamanchilly, 2nd July 1872, No. 220.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 1,130, dated 21st June 1872, I beg leave respectfully to state that I have been, since the middle of last month, waiting to go over to Pentacotah for the purpose of having the necessary survey for another line of road taken, but the weather, since the 14th ultimo, has been keeping wet with constant showers of rain, but as it is now beginning to clear up, I shall start to-morrow and attend to this question at once.

No. 497. Order thereon, 29th August 1872, No. 2,066.

ACCOUNT, No. 42.

The list submitted with the above letter by the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, is not the sort of report called for by paragraph 3 of G. O. No. 254 of 25th April. That call was for a simple statement of the relative proportions to be expended by the Public Works and Revenue Departments, respectively, out of the aggregate grants of Rs. 55,500 for the Vizagapatam District, and to be of any use should have been forwarded at once, in order that so much of that sum as was to be laid out by Public Works Agency should be transferred to credit of that Department.

2. The list in question moreover differs considerably from that supplied by the Accountant-General and printed with G. O. No. 1,715 of 17th July. The two combined, however, show an actual outlay by Public Works Agents up to 31st March of Rupees 11,709, out of Rupees 26,500 advanced them by Civil Officers; and may perhaps assist the Controller to extricate the accounts from the confusion complained of in his letter No. 970 of 31st July.

3. The Supervisor in charge of No. 1 Range does not appear to have shown much alacrity in responding to the call made on him for a proper trace of the Toonee and Pentacotah road. Wet and showery weather is alleged by him as sufficient reason for delaying nearly two months to attend to a work, the first and second traces of which had been pronounced unsuitable, and the rectification of which the Government had desired might be "taken in hand at once" as it was desirable to give employment there, and to facilitate the salt trade. It might be a desirable step to supersede Mr. Laskey in charge of the range by any other competent person such as Assistant Engineer Brickwell or Supervisor T. Ramiah Naidoo who appear to be available.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.
" Revenue Department.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.
" Financial Department.

Evd. T. O'Connor.

157
3

Gobt. of 1872] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.

Dated August 1872.

Rec'd.

Ans'd.

G. O., 2nd August 1872, No. 2,418.

AUGUST.

Nos. 496-497.

Passing Bill of Works in the Vizagapatam District—Passing orders on the letter from the Superintending Engineer of the 1st Division forwarding a list showing the grants sanctioned for relief works as well as the probable expenditure during the current year.

151
1/1

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 14th December 1872.

No. 295. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R. E., Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 19th November 1872, No. 2,970:—

One copy of a report, No 112, dated 7th November 1872, from Major Beatty, R. E.
One copy of data for rubble masonry per cubic yard at Vizagapatam and Yellamunchelly.
One copy of list of rates for rubble masonry.
Mr. Supervisor Laskey's explanations regarding rates of material, No. 449, dated 13th November 1872.
Mr. Supervisor Laskey's letter, No. 487, dated 29th November 1872.

I REGRET to have occasion to bring to the notice of Government the very unfavourable opinion I have formed of Mr. Supervisor Laskey during my recent visit to his Range in the matter of rates for the Taluq Cutcherry at Yellamunchelly, and which Government will be convinced of on perusing the papers which accompany this report.

2. It will be observed from paragraph 3 of Major Beatty's report on this subject that Mr. Laskey pleads inexperience as the cause of his providing such a high rate as 3 Rupees per cubic yard of rubble masonry. This plea should not hold good when I mention that I had personally instructed Mr. Laskey to get his rough stone by opening a quarry on the hill side close by, which instructions he has set aside, or did not give effect to, from a spirit of obstinacy, and by which the cost of the building will be greater than otherwise—Rupees 3,000 instead of Rupees 1,750, as per paragraph 5 of Major Beatty's report.

3. Mr. Laskey has also put forward another reason for not having quarried for stone at a much shorter distance than he had, namely, that Major Beatty's subordinates had gone to the distant hill, and it follows consequently he allowed his men to go to the same place. It will be evident from this that Mr. Laskey did not look to the interests of Government, as he ought to have as a Range Officer, by opening out a new quarry at the place pointed out by me, when, as Major Beatty observes, he would have saved nearly all carriage.

4. I beg to state that, as I have every reason to think Mr. Laskey has not consulted the interests of Government at all in this matter, I have directed Mr. Assistant-Engineer Turner to relieve Mr. Laskey of charge of the Range. The latter will for the present be under the orders of Mr. Turner on sub-divisional duties.

5. I have further to add that whilst I was lately at Waltair Mr. Laskey informed me for the first time that he had disobeyed my orders to get his stone material from the adjacent hill at Yellamunchelly. I went to that place with Major Beatty and Mr. Turner, and was surprised to find he was paying 7½ Annas a cubic yard for stone material, of which 4½ Annas was for carriage alone, when he had an abundance of good stone at hand. I then directed Major Beatty to send me a comparative statement of his rates for similar work, and the enclosed is his report. It was Mr. Laskey who informed Messrs. Thornhill, Melville, and Kelsall that the outlay on this cutcherry had been Rupees 9,000 and omitted to show what the actual loss would be, and they took no trouble to inquire further but led the Government to suppose I had misled them; and it was Mr. Laskey who would insist upon using brick of the worst quality when splendid stone at far cheaper

rates was available at hand. I have, therefore, removed him from charge of a Range after the fullest and most careful inquiry and investigation.

6. I beg to forward some explanations Mr. Laskey has sent in since he was furnished with a copy of Major Beatty's report, and on these I have made some notes. I have only to add Mr. Laskey totally fails to explain why he had kept this office in ignorance of his proceedings though he had been called upon to explain the excessive rates he was charging, and it was only on finding I was in Waltair and likely to visit his Range he admitted he was using other stone than I had directed him to take and for which he had no authority, and I do not consider he had any sufficient reason.

See his letter, No. 487.

No. 296. ORDER THEREON, 14th December 1872, No. 2,961.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 23.

MR. TURNER's services being required elsewhere, and the importance of the Golconda Range not such as to allow of a Sub-Divisional Officer being entertained in it, other arrangements for its charge than those proposed by the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, must be made. That which commends itself most to the judgment of His Excellency the Governor in Council is Mr. Laskey's removal to the Chingleput District in place of Supervisor Ramiah Naidoo. The unfitness of Mr. Laskey for charge of a Range having been already noted in Lieutenant-Colonel Fischer's letters of 11th March and 21st December 1871, and in G. O., No. 2,003, of 29th August 1872, his name will be placed at the bottom of the list of Supervisors of the 1st Grade. And he will be warned that further bad report of him will lead to his reduction to the rank of Overseer.

2. It is incidentally brought to notice by this and former correspondence that a practice has arisen in the 1st Division of giving a Range Officer charge of special works beyond the limits of his own Range. The practice is contrary to the system of the Public Works Department of this Presidency, and is strictly prohibited for the future without the special sanction of Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Vizagapatam.
To all other District Engineer.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Rad. A. S. Rodgers.

Copy of letter from

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE [illegible] OF ENGINEERS,

VIZAGAPATAM.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated December 1878.

Recd. Recd.

G. O., 11th December 1872, No. 2,964.

ENGINEER.
No. 905-923

Mr. Superintending Engineer's letter forwarding an letter from the Superintending Engineer of the 1st Division reporting the misconduct of Mr. Laskey for the charge of a large

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

25th March 1878, No. 1,503 W.

General.

Establishment.

Read the following papers:—

No. 342. Read again G.O., No. 2,964, dated 14th December 1872.

No. 342-A. Read also petition from Mr. J. Laskey, Supervisor, Chingleput District, dated 25th February 1878.

No. 343. ORDER THEREON, 25th March 1878, No. 1,503 W.

THE Government decline to reconsider a decision passed more than six years ago. Mr. Laskey's petition should have been submitted to Government through the District and Chief Engineers.

2. His future promotion must depend on his conduct.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Chingleput.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

GENERAL ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of (1878) Finder

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

CHINGLEPUT

Dated March 1878.

Read

Ent.

G. O. Ord. No. 1878, No. 1,

MARCH.

No. 3 to 6 12.

Mr. J. W. Taylor, Surveyor—Dis
in charge of Pillair Anicut already arriv
at commencing the present Season.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, 1ST DIVISION

DOWLAISHWARUM, 16 March 1870.

No. 435'6'

From

Major J. H. Fischer R.E. 15/7

Superintending Engineer

1st Division

To

Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.

Secretary to Government D. P. W.

Madras

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith,

* Re 513 dated 8th March 1870 with its Enclosures. Copy of a letter received from the Superintendent in charge of the No 1 Range Tirugapatam, regarding his claim for promotion, for the consideration and Orders of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servt

Wm. H. Fischer Major R.E.

Acting Superintending Engineer 1st Div.

No. 3/3

Copy

No. 1571/81

The Officer in Charge of Records
Head Quarters Camp
Bangalore

Sir

I am directed to forward herewith for your information a letter No. 4356 dated 16th March 1870 from the Deputy Inspector General of Hospitals Madras Presidency regarding the claim for promotion of Assistant Surgeon [illegible] Civil Assistant Surgeon at Bangalore.

I am Sir
Your obedient Servant
[illegible]
Assistant Adjutant General

No. 4356 dated 16th March 1870
Received 23 March

From
The Deputy Inspector General
1st Division
To
The Secretary to Government
Fort St. George
Madras

Dated 16th March 1870.
Received 23 March

Forwarded for consideration and orders of Government Copy of a letter from the Superintendent in charge of the Mysore Hospital at Bangalore regarding his claim for promotion.

No. 157

that would now give me an easy admission into the first Department of the Civil Engineering College.

2. I respectfully recommend the above as being my grievance and appeal to our Honorable Board in my unfortunate case to the notice of Government and recommend him for promotion, as the rule for promotion is not seniority, but merit and qualification. I have nothing further therefore to plead in my case, more than assuring that your justice is my only claim.

I have &c &c

Signed J. W. Cadogan Lieut.
In Charge of Golgonda Range

True Copy

Wm. H. Nicholson Major R.E.
Acting Superintending Engr. 1st Div.

No. 536

No. 1 or Golgonda Range
Office Vellammichelly
9th January 1872

From The Officer In Charge
No. 1 or Golgonda Range
Vizagapatam

To The Superintg Engineer
1st Division

Sir

With reference to your No. 3019 I beg leave most respectfully to state that your sending me a copy of your letter to Government forwarding my grievance was for the purpose to afford explanation. I accordingly answered your remarks and was anxious that it should reach Government early and I was from this desire forced to send a copy direct to the Secretary.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. W. Cadogan
Range Officer

[illegible] that I do not remember this instance of

No 1 Pr Golgondah Range
Office ye 17th December 1871.

No 520

From

151/12

The Officer in charge of
No 1 Range
Vizagapatam District

To

The Superintending Engineer
1st Division

Sir

With reference to your letter No 2806 dated 7th instant, to the Secretary to Government forwarding any letter of grievance No 502, I beg leave most respectfully to address you on the subject of your various remarks against mine

2. The question of my remaining in Vizagapatam for a few days for the discharge of my office duties after the Local Fund Board Meeting was dissolved in August, I thought was fully and satisfactorily explained in my second letter No 456 dated 10th October and I regret now to see your remark that my explanation was frivolous, and that the plea was simply that I could not get bandies to go 20 miles

3. Regarding your remark in the 4th para that the Vondahilla Road Project was frequently returned for revision; I have most respectfully to observe that I do not remember the instance

of

From
The Officer in charge
No 1 Pr Golgonda Range
To
The Executive Engineer
1st Division

Dated 9th January 1872
Rec'd 10th do

No 536
Executive
P.W. 3b 2/72.
Expenditure regd
No 30/12

of its being returned for revision. I submitted the papers on the 7th of October 1870, and it was returned with your Memo No 2969 dated 15th November, not for revision but for their being resubmitted with a full report as to the exact number of acres of land to be irrigated (and) to state the rates of assessment proposed for these lands and to explain personally to the Collector all the details regarding the scheme. I accordingly resubmitted the project through the Collector with my letter No 397 dated the 28th of September

4. The remark that you resented owing to my delaying to put through to report and to Government as unfit for the charge of a Range (in allusion to what your Memo No 7 of the 4th April 1871 contained. Regarding this remark permit me respectfully pointing out the undue severity used in this instance. In a Memo dated 3rd March, you gave me instructions to remit Rs 60 odd of Zig-zag lines and 20 odd miles of survey (in all about 100 miles and) on the 4th of April, just a month after you questioned the mere submission of a report connected with this amount of work in so several a manner (on) this subject, I respectfully refer you simply to my reply No 16 dated 11th April 1871.

5. ...lly proceeding in punishing the Mun... of the Veranicum Establishment for neglect of duty i.e. the Gumastah to 2 months and the two Lascars with only 15 days suspension was a ... measure necessary as explained in my correspondence on the subject, it was not weight to the mere omission of a simple duty that was to be given in the case, but the magnitude of the result caused by that neglect was to be viewed, punishment to mere on this Establishment for neglect of duty which effects the ... interest of Government and where the individuals are liable to neglect their duty wilfully from temptations offered by Ryots, necessitates the measures used being severe. I explained the case which was similar to this, when the Principal Assistant Collector in 1867 thought fit to suspend the same Gumastah and a Lascar for 6 months.

6. On the subject of your remarks about the Meddars on the work (alleged) to being paid 6 pice per cubic yard, the contractor getting 8 for it and the Estimate providing (annas) conveys something of a reflection (on) this question. I have respectfully to state that my letter No 561 dated 9th March 1871, contained also an explanation as to what the

nature and motive of this contract system
was and which was only just about that
time of your visiting my Range introduced.
I changed the old system of giving my tempo-
rary Klaisties & Gunnaslahs a monthly
rate of pay and took to this method of
getting them to do the work at so much per
yard, the contract was of a petty nature,
the man in this case was a Klaisty who,
previous to this arrangement was on the receipt
of Rs 20 a month; the amount of the estimate
of this work was Rs 216. The contractor paid,
it is true, 6 piece to the Weeders, which was for
simply throwing up the earth, for the other 12 piece,
you must consider there was still the beating
of the clods and forming the slopes, the levelling
of the bank and finishing it with surface ram-
-ming, there was very little profit after doing
all this for his (contractors) trouble and in
compensating him for the heavy rate of interest
at which money is borrowed.

7. This system was introduced to avoid the
petty advances being given to Weeders.

8. The rate 1 anna provided by the Overseer
in the Estimate was in consideration of the time
at which the work was to have been done when
the bank had water on one side and wet
fields on the other; work then would have
been

been difficult, but reason for giving this a
high rate, two months latter was unnecessary.

9. No II Range earth work dug out from side and
channels in forming Pudimadaca Road in
its immidiate vicinity — you are aware was
being done at 10 piece per cubic yard. 151/12

9. Giving these works my immediate
superintendency at the time you visited
the Range was now more due to the circum-
stance of Overseer Kelly's absence on two
months leave.

10. The rate allowed for rebuilding the
pellamunchelly cutcherry walls with stone,
although the material is to be obtained near
the work has been explained in my letter of
Nos 484 & 487, which letters I have no doubt,
you will in justice to me submit as my explan-
-ations to Government, on the subject of your
proposal to pull down the existing brick walls
and rebuilding with stone in chunam.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant
W. Ashley
Range Office

No 3179

Superintending Engineer Visakha
Dock Yard Vizagapatam 18th December 1871

15/12

Lieutenant Colonel P. Fischer RE
Executive Engineer Vizagapatam

Sir

I am directed by the Chief Commissioner
for Affairs to forward herewith
for the information of the Local Government
a letter from the Accountant General
No 2806 dated 14th November 1871
on the subject of the Cost of Establishments
employed on the Survey of the Harbour
of Vizagapatam

2. I am to request that you will
favour this office with your opinion
as to the necessity for the Establishments
employed on the Survey of the Harbour
of Vizagapatam

I have &c
Chas D'Oyly Carmichael Lt Col
Secretary to Government

Madras.

Sir

I am directed by the Chief Commissioner for Affairs to forward herewith for the information of the Local Government a letter from the Accountant General No 2806 dated 14th November 1871 on the subject of the Cost of Establishments employed on the Survey of the Harbour of Vizagapatam

2. I am to request that you will favour this office with your opinion as to the necessity for the Establishments employed on the Survey of the Harbour of Vizagapatam

I have &c
Chas D'Oyly Carmichael Lt Col
Secretary to Government

Madras.

No 3019

From The Officiating Chief Engineer
Vizagapatam Division

To The Executive Engineer
Vizagapatam

Dated 19th December 1871
Rec'd 21st December 1871.

No 520

Respectfully submits
replies to observations
made in his letter
No 2806 dated 14th
Instant by the
Madras Government

Mis. P.W. 362/72.
30th January 1872
W 221

going out of his Range without leave,
and remaining nearly the third of a
Month away from his legitimate duties
2. As regards the Kondakirlah
project, I knew nothing of the scheme which
had been brought forward by the Revenue
Authorities, and after looking over the de-
tails here, I returned the papers to Mr.
Caskey with instructions to explain his
proposals to the Collector. On personally
examining the locality with Mr. Caskey,
as already reported, I found he was very
imperfectly acquainted with the locality,
had omitted to take some very necessary
levels either himself or with the Surveyor
allowed him, and in going over the ground
which he had proposed to cut thro', I declined
entirely to accept his proposed estimates,
or the work at all, until some further
trial levels had been made, and as he had
sent

151
17

sent me a project on which he had spent
Months in this very unsatisfactory state,
I consider I was justified in threatening
to bring his conduct to the notice of Government,
and his subsequent conduct clearly shews
that the leniency I had shewn him has
been thrown away, and the document
I now forward fails entirely to explain
anything about the points of omission
above noted. The levels I required Mr.
Caskey would have been done by a man of
ordinary capacity, intelligence, and in a
week he took upon himself to add work
to this which could not be given out until
the line round the hills had been tried,
creating very unnecessary delay, and after
pointing out this to him, I left the matter
as it stood until I obtained the services
of a trustworthy Surveyor, for Mr. Caskey's
levels had not been properly checked.

3

3. As regards para 5, Mr. Lackey again fails to specify the instances of neglect of duty which rendered it absolutely necessary for him to deprive three men of 2 entire Months pay without any report to this Office, as the Government allow only fines of ½ of a Months salary to be imposed, & strongly disapproved of Mr. Lackey's proceedings, and disallowed them. He does not state that the pay has been recovered for these people, but he attempts to justify his proceedings by some Acts of a Principal Assistant Collector in 1867, on which point, I am unable to afford any further explanation.

4. As Mr. Lackey fails to state the lift and lead for which 10 fee per Cubic Yard was being paid in Hall Range, his explanations are again unsatisfactory. I had however pointed this out to him

he

15/15

he wholly omits all reference to the utter neglect of taintping in his earthwork to withstand water pressure or to his admissions before me of what Colonel Pomeroy had required him to do, there is no necessity for saying any thing further on this subject.

5. As regards para 10 I have only to add, all the documents referred to therein by Mr. Lackey have been sent to Government with this Office letter of the 20th Decr/71 No 2947- When the late Major Rynes gave over the charge of this Division to me he informed me, he had been obliged to take away the Trunk Road work from Mr. Lackey and give it to Captain Beatty, and advised me to continue the arrangement this will show that, I have not been singular in finding fault with Mr. Lackey's conduct and proceedings- that these have now been submitted to Government, is his own

doing

seeking. I have avoided, I fear far
more than I should have done, com-
-plaining of them -

I have the honorable
Sir
Your most Obedt Servt

Lieut. to Lieut. Col. R.E.

At Tuscarora Landing Niagara 1st Dec.

1873

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 10375

From The Superintending Engineer
Circle

Enclosure—

No.

Dated 7/12/55

Received 2/12/55

Subject.

Letter from Superintending Engineer Circle Chittagong Rly. forwarding Report and Plan of Extension on the Pier Jetty.

Record 15. Disposal.

No. Dated.

Submitted Please see remarks sheet

The Secretary will please.

Sd/- [illegible]

Sd/-

C.W.-5949/71
-6136/71-

2-12-71

P. W. 1872 Despatch
No. 10 for January
Lieut. [illegible] Fisher R.E.
Asst. Supt. Engineer 1st Div.
&
C. J. Carpendale R.E.
Secretary to Government
D.P.W.

Dated 18th January 1872
Rec'd 25th " 3179

Forwards certain
Correspondence received
from Dist. Superintendent Cachar
and Reports on the insubor-
dinate and Disputant
Conduct of that Subordinate

30th January 1872 Lodged
No. 221

No 12094 Cypher Dept

Telegram

151
15

To the S. S. I Circs

Draft telegram

Ref. 1894 of

September August

Expedite submission

Tel. dtd 30/11/85 re Lascelles pension

papers.

Lil
30.11.85

Ch

[C. No. 8.]

151/19

GOVT. OF MADRAS. 1885. P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 2nd December 1885.

OFFICE NOTE No. 701.

Enc: 1.

To

Mr. Lindop's papers have been retained in this office pending receipt of reply to a reference made to the Superintending Engineer in Circle on the 26th October 1885 regarding his services. A telegram to also been sent this day.

Keep with Genl. No.

dated 188 .

No 2068 a/ 29-8-1872
" 2964 " 14-12-1872
15103 W " 25-3-1878
T.C. No 1668 a/ 4-4-1878.
" " 2084 a/ 10-5-1878
" " 2641 d/ 17-6-78
" " 3112 a/ 13-7-78
" " 4160 a/ 16-9-1878
" " 5187 " 16-11-78
" " 622 a/ 11-2-79.
" " 750C d/ 30-3-82
" " 761C. 31-3-82
" " 21 C a/ 6-1-82
Bo No 1925 W a/ 10-7-83.
No 836C 7-4-84
" 1867 W 7-5-85
" 1215 C d/ 18-7-85
" 1240 C a/ 21-7-85
" 894 W a/ 15/5/86
" 2741 D a/ 2-12-85

Rec 1043.

Recorded

3.12.85

FC

Case 175 | INDIAN TELEGRAPH. | Local No A0251

To (Station) Madras | From (Station) Kovilaichuveram

Words | Day | Hrs. | M. | Official Instructions.

23/7 | 1 | 13 | 10 | Urgent

Madras
Works

From Telegram Received
Cultivator Cuckoo Tension
Madras Court Tc
Diameter one Hundredth
Circle 1215

Telegraph Master

MADRAS 1-12-85

Sign of Correspondence Beh-
and

Dec 4, 1885

12 1/2

Executive Engineer

R.A.2693.
2.12.85.

Jac No. 27418

Please say how this matter stands.

2.12.85

Copy of letter from the Executive Engineer, Gangaim Division, to the Superintending Engineer, 1st Circle, Dowlaishweram, No. 1585 Sh. III dy 20th November 1885.

151
91

With reference to your cNo. 354 G.A. dy 13th instant, I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Laskey has not yet been relieved of his duties and request your orders on the subject. A Last Pay Certificate will be furnished as soon as Mr. Laskey is relieved. An affidavit has been called for and will be submitted as soon as received.

---

cNo. 397 G.A. dy 4th Decr 1885

Copy forwarded to the Examiner P.W. Accounts, Madras, with reference to his letter cNo. 286 Sh. I of the 26th October 1885. The Executive Engineer has been requested by wire to relieve Mr. Laskey at once, and to furnish you with the documents you require.

(Signed) W. A. Matthews Asst Engr
For Supdg Engr 1st Circle

(True copies)

G. E. Potter
Supdt: Correspondence Br.
P.W.D. 10.12.

No 2066 a/ 29-8-1872
" 2964 a/ 14-12-1872
" 1503 W a/ 25/3/1878
No 1668 C a/ 4-4-1878
No 2084 C a/ 10-5-1878
" 2641 C a/ 17-6-78
" 3112 C. a/ 13-7-78
" 4160 C a/ 16-9-1878
" 5187 C a/ 16-11-78
" 622 C. a/ 11-2-79
" 750 C a/ 30-3-82
" 761 C a/ 31-3-82
" 21 C. a/ 6-1-82
B No 1725 W a/ 10-7-83
No 836 C a/ 7-11-84
1067 W a/ 7-5-85
1243 C a/ 18-7-85
1240 C a/ 21-7-85
1994 W a/ 15/8/85
2741 D a/ 2-12-85
2050 C a/ 4-12-85

Endorsement C.P. 4697 & Ex
a/ 10 December 1885

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government, P.W. Department for information -

D. C. Cardew
Examiner Diwaders
Madras.
Dec 10.12.

Recorded in Continuation of Re. 2050 C a/ 4th Dec/85

11/12/85

11.12.85

151/92

K

D.P. 22-1-86

Virginia

21

Col. Robt. Lewis Stevenson &c.
Chief Engineer
Fort St. Philip

Sir

I have received your letter
of the 15th Instant
requiring me to raise forces
and to take the liberty to
address you and to solicit
that you would be pleased
to cause the
Indents to be
drawn by the
Ordnance Lieutenant
Issues required on the
first Instant.
in other respects

Despatch
24th January 1886.

In reply to his letter
of the 14th January 1886 Mr
Lascy is informed that
his despatch No 1 of the
Pension dept. on the 5th
January 1886, and that order
thereon will be issued
immediately (S) J.S.

Subsistence
Pension Guide
Pensioners Guide
to enquiries in this
matter will be a Guide
for
Major to Pensions
Sir
Government Clothing
W. Macaskie
Political Dept, Lagos
1885
Political Pensioners
Pensioners Should be Guided
at Pensioners Salaries

1902

Mrs Lackey's pension papers -

151/95

The Co sends the papers through this Office with advertence to entries I & II on the 7th page of the application.

The Ex. Engr's remarks will probably cause Mrs Lackey to receive a reduced rate of pension, under Sec. 69 of the Civil Pension Code.

Mrs Lackey has however already been heavily punished by reduction, & consequent loss of pay & reduction of pension -

In consideration of his long service of over 30 years, he

W. the Pension dept report by telephone that orders on Mrs Lackey's pension application will issue this day.
21.1.96 CG

P. W. D

No. 18 Cay 5th January/86

Memo.

15/1/96

Encl: From the Secretary, No. 419 x 822 R.S. forwarding pension papers of Mr. J. W. Larkey, Sub Engr 3rd Grade.

C No. 11026.

Forwarded to the Pension Debt for disposal.

2. With reference to the remarks against entries I & II on the reverse page of the pension application, it is observed that Mr Larkey was twice reduced in rank because he showed himself unequal to the responsibilities of

perhaps he recommended for the full pension of his present grade.

Draft memorandum submitted for approval

Cis
26.12.85

As Mr Larkey's military services seem to have been good, he should be granted full pension

Sec
29/12/85

Rec'd W
4/1/86

Public Works Dept.

No 151 151/27.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following.

From The Supg Engr 1st Dn/ W.E. No 4355 d/16 March 90/

The claims of Supervisor Lackey to promotion will receive due consideration on the occurrence of a vacancy.

Actg Sec. to Govt 1st Dn

Dept 26/3/90

of his position, but that his general character has been good.

It is recommended therefore that the full amount of pension for which he is eligible under rule may be sanctioned.

F.C. C.54652/85

19/12/85

4.1.86

No 2130 Cal 12-12-83
" 2050 Cal 4-12-83
" 2714 Dal 2-12-85
" 1894 W al 2-12-85
" 2406 al 15-8-85
" 1213 Cal 21-7-83
" 1067 Wal 18-7-85
" 836 Cal 7-5-85
" 836 Cal 7-4-84
G.O. No 1925 Wal 10-7-83
No 21 Cal 6-1-82
" 761 Cal 31-3-82
" 750 Cal 30-3-82
" 622 Cal 11-2-79
" 5787 Cal 16-11-78
" 4160 Cal 16-9-78
" 3112 Cal 13-7-78
" 2641 Cal 17-6-78
" 2184 Cal 10-5-78
" 1668 Cal 4-4-78
G.O. 1503 Wal 25-3-78
No 2964 al 14-12-72
" 2086 al 29-8-76

F.C. 1299/86.

No 18 Cal 5-1-86.

Dentd 5/1/86

to the Pension Dept with papers in orig

9/1/11

7/1

151
28

Govt. 1113
Pro for March

Govt. of [1870] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated 26th March 1870

Rcvd. Ans.

Mis. G. O., 151 1870,

C/66/72

Nos. 151

Regarding the
claims of Superintendents
Laskry to promotion

29