

(1) Number of pages \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Date of repair \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*The Life & Work of* DEPARTMENT.

|           |      |       |
|-----------|------|-------|
| G.O. No.  | 562  | Press |
|           |      | Mis.  |
| 8-9-10-11 |      |       |
| Dated     | 1-3- | 1872. |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
1st March 1872.*

Read the following papers:—

- No. 8. From the Controller of Public Works Accounts.  
(Here enter No. 2,247, dated 2nd December 1871.)
- No. 9. From the Superintending Engineer, 4th Division.  
(Here enter No. 3,990, dated 6th January 1872.)
- No. 10. Read also the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 4th Division.  
(Here enter No. 4,244, dated 24th January 1872.)

No. 11. ORDER THEREON, 1st March 1872, No. 562.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 1.

The Government are not prepared, in the face of the opinion expressed by the Superintending Engineer of the 4th Division, to admit Mr. Leggatt's claim to travelling allowance for the two halts made by him between the 10th and 13th and 24th and 27th September last.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,  
*Secretary to Government.*

To the Superintending Engineer, 4th Division.  
.. Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Sup<sup>r</sup> Engr<sup>'s</sup> Office 4<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>n</sup>  
N<sup>o</sup> 3476 Madras 24 November 1871

From,  
Colonel L. V. Wilkinson R. E.  
Superintending Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>n</sup>

To,  
Colonel J. Crespendale R. E.  
Secretary to Government P. W. D.  
through the Controller of  
Public Works Accounts  
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an  
Enclosure appeal from Mr. Leggett against me for  
Letter from Mr. Leggett having cut his tentage allowance on two  
and its enclosure occasions in the month of September when  
he was twice four days at a time at his  
head quarters including Sunday.

On a former occasion I  
referred a similar case to the Controller  
and he holds that an Officer who is four  
days at Head Quarters is entitled to tentage  
of one of those days as a Sunday. My mode  
of looking on the case is that a Range  
Officer is not entitled even to three days  
if the Superintending Engineer does not  
consider it was necessary to keep up  
tentage

tentage for the time, and that in a case of the kind it entirely rests with the Superintending Engineer to judge whether he was entitled and that he cannot claim it as a right.

3 Sunday is regarded as a day not yet when out in the district when no tentage is always drawn that day.

4 The order quoted says that <sup>From Accountant General Public Works 23<sup>rd</sup> May 1876</sup> within ten miles of his ordinary residence but this does not in my idea mean when he is quietly living at home but when he has a camp pitched within ten miles which may be often the case and that at Head Quarters he is not entitled to even one day, and I have never drawn it even for one day. My attention was drawn to this by seeing on several occasions range Officers arranging to be out in the country for three days out of the seven and in the remaining four, that is in a month of 4 weeks or 28 days, they were out 12 days and at home 16 days and yet according to the

5 N<sup>o</sup> 2247

Submitted for the consideration of Government, with W<sup>o</sup> Executive Engineer

the reading of the rules they could draw tentage for the 28 days.

I have the honor to be Sir

Your most obedient servant

Wm. A. B. Collett  
Supy Engr. D<sup>o</sup>

|    |    |   |   |                  |    |   |    |    |
|----|----|---|---|------------------|----|---|----|----|
| 12 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 23 <sup>rd</sup> | 13 | " | 1  | "  |
| 5  | 10 | 1 | 1 | 24 <sup>th</sup> | "  | " | 1  | "  |
| 10 | "  | 1 | " | 25 <sup>th</sup> | "  | " | 1  | "  |
| "  | "  | 1 | " | 26 <sup>th</sup> | "  | " | 1  | "  |
| "  | "  | 1 | " | 27 <sup>th</sup> | 9  | 7 | 1  | 1  |
| "  | "  | 1 | " | 28 <sup>th</sup> | 10 | 7 | 1  | 1  |
| 9  | 10 | 1 | 1 | 29 <sup>th</sup> | 7  | 8 | 1  | 1  |
| 13 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 30 <sup>th</sup> | 9  | 9 | 1  | 1  |
|    |    |   |   |                  |    |   | 30 | 22 |

2. The Executive Engineer,  
in support of his claim to  
full Travelling Allowance  
for the whole of the station,  
certified that carriage for  
travelling had necessarily been  
kept up. The rule which,  
ordinarily, would guide  
an audit Office in the  
disposal of this claim will  
be found on Page 96 of the  
Code of the Government of  
India. The Superintending  
Engineer, however, disallowed  
Mr. Leggatt's Travelling  
Allowance for the 10<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup>,  
13<sup>th</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, and 28<sup>th</sup>,  
notwithstanding that, if  
the entries against the 9<sup>th</sup>  
and 22<sup>nd</sup> appearing in Mr.  
Leggatt's Inspection Report  
are to be accepted as correct,

Clause III of  
Local,  
Section III,  
Chapter IV.

See  
10/1/27

he actually marched 10  
and 13 miles respectively  
on the 10<sup>th</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup>. The  
Government having always  
regarded Superintending  
Engineers as the primary  
Builders of the Travelling  
Allowance Bills of their  
Executive Officers, this Office  
has invariably refused  
audit to such charges as  
had, in the first instance,  
been specially and inter-  
nally disallowed by  
them, and dealt with  
such claims only as had  
received their approval.  
Following this procedure  
in the present case, Mr.  
Leggatt's bill for September  
was passed for Rupees 110,  
which represents an

Executive Engineer's  
Travelling Allowance for  
22 days, at Rupees 5 per  
diem.

3. The note at foot of  
Mr. Leggatt's Inspection  
Report explains the ground,  
on which the Superintend-  
ing Engineer refused to  
pass Mr. Leggatt's claims  
for the two months' dead  
Quarters in September  
last. Briefly, the reason  
was, that Mr. Leggatt  
was not put to any  
expense on account of his  
marching establishment  
during that period. Mr.  
Leggatt, however, states  
in his letter No. 283, dated  
31<sup>st</sup> October 1871, enclosed,  
that he "was obliged to

keep

6  
keeping his travelling  
equipment complete through-  
out the month." Colonel  
Macdonald, dissatisfied  
with this explanation,  
has submitted the  
accompanying letter,  
and the matter is  
accordingly referred for  
the decision of Govern-  
ment.

4. Adverting to paras  
2 to 4 of the Superintend-  
ing Engineer's letter,  
attention is invited to  
the enclosed ruling of  
the Accountant General  
of the Public Works  
Department No. 736,  
dated 23<sup>rd</sup> May 1870, and  
to G. O. No. 3506, dated  
19<sup>th</sup> November 1867, and

100

N<sup>o</sup> 8, dated 3<sup>d</sup> January  
1868.

Office of the Controller of  
Public Works Accounts.

J. M. Hoff

Forth George, 2<sup>d</sup> December 1873 Controller of Public  
Works Accounts.

To  
The Secretary to Government,  
Public Works Department,  
Madras.

Ld. G.

1850. No. 2247  
Submitting for the  
consideration of  
your honorable letter  
from the determining  
Business & Division  
on the subject of  
the claim to the  
alliance proposed  
by the Executive  
Business & Division  
of certain large  
in September 1851  
No 562 of the 1/2

18

10355

Know The Executive Engineer  
4 Range Chingleput Dist  
Is The Superintending Engineer  
4 Division

Sir,

In reply to your Memo A. 4074 of the 15<sup>th</sup> Instant I have the honor to state that on referring to my Inspection Report for September 1871 I find that I did not travel on any of the days of my halt at Head Quarters consequently I must have returned on the dates previous to the halts inspecting and measuring works enroute. viz<sup>d</sup> on the 9<sup>th</sup> I was at Sanoor 10<sup>th</sup> miles from Head Quarters and on the 2<sup>nd</sup> I was at Hakeelpat 13 miles from Head Quarters returning to Chingleput from those places after my work was done. I have the honor &c

I have the honor  
Sir

Sir  
Your most Obedt Servant

Messiah  
Executive Engineer  
B/4724 Range

4 Range Office  
Camp Sutherland  
Madras & Co. Ltd  
18th January 1872

N<sup>o</sup>. 4244.

Sup<sup>r</sup> Engrs Office 4<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>n</sup>  
Madras 24 January 1872

From,

Colonel C. T. Walker R.E.  
Superintending Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>n</sup>

To,

Colonel J. Carpendale R.E.  
Secretary to Government P.M.D.  
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to your Memo  
N<sup>o</sup>. 79 dated 11<sup>th</sup> January 1871, I have the  
honor to enclose an original letter from  
the Executive Engineer N<sup>o</sup>. 4 Range  
Chingleput District, in which that Officer  
now furnishes the information you  
require regarding the dates on which  
he returned to his Head Quarters  
on each occasion of the two hulls made  
by him in September last.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient

Wm. C. Walker  
Sup<sup>r</sup> Engr 4<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>n</sup>

From

The Engrs

4 Range

To The Engrs

11<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>n</sup>

Dated 11<sup>th</sup> January 1872

N<sup>o</sup>. 355

Enclosure from

Mr. Walker

Informing that he

did not travel on

any of the days of his

hull at 11<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>n</sup>

in September last

Wm. C. Walker

P.M. 37/72.

For Mr. Walker

Public Works Department  
 Madras Government  
 No 562  
 Proceedings of the  
 Read the following Papers  
 From the Controller of P.W. Accounts No 2247 of 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1871.  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. — " 3990 of 6<sup>th</sup> January 1872.  
 Read also the following letter  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. H.C. No 4244 of 24<sup>th</sup> January 1872

The Government are  
 not prepared in the face  
 of the opinion expressed  
 by the Supg Engineer of  
 the 4<sup>th</sup> Division to  
 admit Mr. deggath's claim  
 to Travelling allowance  
 for the two halts made  
 by him between the  
 10<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup>  
 and 27<sup>th</sup> September last.

28  
 3  
 Supg Engr 4<sup>th</sup> Div. in the copy of  
 Controller's letter for Mr. deggath's information  
 four enclosures  
 Dec 4/3/72

Public Works Department  
 Madras Government  
 No 562  
 Proceedings of the  
 Read the following Papers  
 From the Controller of P.W. Accounts No 2247 of 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1871.  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. — " 3990 of 6<sup>th</sup> January 1872.  
 Read also the following letter  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. H.C. No 4244 of 24<sup>th</sup> January 1872

Do. 11<sup>th</sup> January 1872  
 Public Works Department  
 Madras Government  
 No 562  
 Proceedings of the  
 Read the following Papers  
 From the Controller of P.W. Accounts No 2247 of 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1871.  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. — " 3990 of 6<sup>th</sup> January 1872.  
 Read also the following letter  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. H.C. No 4244 of 24<sup>th</sup> January 1872

Do. 11<sup>th</sup> January 1872  
 Public Works Department  
 Madras Government  
 No 562  
 Proceedings of the  
 Read the following Papers  
 From the Controller of P.W. Accounts No 2247 of 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1871.  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. — " 3990 of 6<sup>th</sup> January 1872.  
 Read also the following letter  
 From the Supg Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div. H.C. No 4244 of 24<sup>th</sup> January 1872

370  
Govt. of Madras.  
PUBLIC WORKS.

To *The Superintending Eng<sup>r</sup>*  
*at "Barrack"*

Date.

Recd.

Encl. 20)

G. O. of March 1872, No. 562.

Nos. 8-9 & 10-11.

Mr. R. S. Leggett. The  
claim of Mr. R. S. Leggett  
for travelling allowance for  
the two trips made by him  
between the 10<sup>th</sup> & 13<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup>  
& 27<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> last declined  
to be admitted.