

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th February 1872.*

No. 488. Read the following letter from the Acting Superintending Engineer, 5th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Palandorai, 7th February 1872, No. 241 :—

I HAVE the honour to submit, for the sanction of Government, a revised estimate of Rupees 2,530, in the form of a comparative statement, for "improvements to the old District Munsiff's Court-house at Kistnagherry to adapt it for a Subsidiary Jail."

1 Comparative Statement.

2. In G. O., No. 92 of 12th January 1869, an estimate of Rupees 2,050 was sanctioned for this work; the excess of Rupees 480 over this amount is attributed by the Range Officer chiefly to the following causes :—

1st.—The substitution of brick-in-chunam for brick-in-mud for the Jail building, agreeably to G. O., No. 596, of 24th February 1869, and the re-construction of a portion of the old terraced roof, which was in bad order, caused an extra outlay of Rupees 196-8-1.

2nd.—The re-construction of a portion of the old roof in order to fit it with the new roof, and the flooring and plastering of the old building, etc., not provided for in the original estimate involved an outlay of Rupees 129-1-10.

3rd.—The rates allowed in the original estimate for wood and iron work were found inadequate, as the doors, windows, iron-barred doors, etc., had to be obtained from the Public Works Workshops at Madras, besides a pair of additional teak-wood windows, all of which increased the cost by Rupees 184.

4th.—No provision was made in the sanctioned estimate for fitting iron bars for convicts' rooms, or for a gate for the Jail compound, both of which cost Rupees 68. Again, additional outlay of Rupees 23-15-2 was found unavoidable for "sundries and contingencies," the provision made for the same in the original estimate, at 5 per cent., being found insufficient to meet the cost of work establishment, etc.

3. It may be observed that the items above noticed, as well as some other small omissions in the original estimate, amount to an excess of Rupees 796-15-11; but deducting from this a saving of Rupees 316-15-11 effected in certain other items as explained in the comparative statement, the net excess over the sanctioned estimate comes to only Rupees 480, which appears to have been unavoidable.

4. The work was commenced in 1868-69 and almost completed (except asphalt-ing and fixing doors, etc.,) at an outlay of Rupees 2,074-10-10 in 1869-70, when it was, like other Sub-jails and Cutcherries in the division, stopped under the restrictive Order of Government of 23rd December 1869, No. 4,015.

5. The excess being within Rupees 500, I request that the Government will be pleased to pass the estimate now submitted, and authorize the completion of the building.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th February 1872.

No. 489. . . ORDER THEREON, 29th February 1872, No. 555.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 20.

THE revised estimate of Rupees 2,530 for improvements to the old District Munsiff's Court-house at Kistnagherry is sanctioned, and authority is granted for the completion of the building.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary to Government.

No. 241. Supt. Engrs. Office 5th Div.,
Maurice. 7th February 1872.

From
Captain J. Verdu R.E.,
Acting Supt. Engineer,
5th Division.

Colonel J. Harpendale R.E.,
Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Enclosure - one
Comparative
Statement.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the sanction of Government, a revised Estimate of Rupees 2,530, in the form of a Comparative Statement, for Improvements to the old District Munsiff's Court House at Kistnagherry, to adapt it for a Subsidiary Jail.

2. In G. O. No. 92 of 12th January 1869 an Estimate of Rupees 2050 was sanctioned for this work; the excess of Rupees 480 over this amount is attributed by the Range Officer chiefly to the following causes:-
1st The substitution of Brick in Chunan for Brick in mud for the Jail building, generally

1. G. N. No. 596 of 21st February 1869, and the reconstruction of a portion of the old terraced roof, which was in a bad order caused an extra outlay of Rupees 196-8-1.

2. The reconstruction of a portion of the old roof, and the flooring and plastering of the old Building, &c, not provided for in the original Estimate, involved an outlay of Rupees 129-1-10.

3. The rates allowed in the original Estimate for wood and iron work were found inadequate, as the doors, windows, iron barred doors, &c, had to be obtained from the Public Works Works shops at Madras, besides a pair of additional Teak wood windows - all of which increased the cost by Rupees 184.

4. No provision was made in the sanctioned Estimate for fitting iron bars for Concreto rooms, or for gates for the Jail Compound, both of which cost Rupees 68. Again additional outlay of Rupees 23-15-2 was found unavoidable for Sundries and Contingencies, the provision

provision made for the same in the original Estimate, at 5 per Cent, being found insufficient to meet the cost of work. Establishment, &c.

5. It may be observed that the items above noticed, as well as some other small omissions in the original Estimate, amount to an excess of Rupees 796-15-11, but deducting from this a saving of Rupees 316-15-11 effected in certain other items, as explained in the comparative statement, the net excess over the sanctioned Estimate comes to only Rupees 480, which appears to have been unavoidable.

6. The work was commenced in 1868-69 and almost completed except asphaltting and fixing doors &c, at an outlay of Rupees 204-20-10 in 1869-70, when it was like other Sub-jails, and Cutcheries in the Division stopped under the restrictive order of Government of 28th December 1869, No. 4015.

Civil Buildings
Salem District

5th Division, D. J. W.
Copoor Range.

New Works.
Kistnagherry Talooka.

Comparative Statement shewing the difference between the Original and Revised Estimates for the improvement to the Subsidiary Jail at Kistnagherry

Description of work.	Units.	Original Estimates			Revised Estimates			Increase		Decrease		Balance	Remarks.
		Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount		
<u>Jail.</u>													
Bricks in mud	bricks	44 $\frac{5}{7}$	2 8	110 8						10	8		
Bricks in chunam	ditto	4 $\frac{7}{12}$	3 8	16 8						44 $\frac{5}{7}$	110 8		
Flat arch work in chunam.	ditto	1649	4	7 1	79.72	3 2	279 3	75.14	262 15 7				
Terraced roof	square	884			2.15	24	8 9 7	1/2	1 9 6				
Roofing with junglewood couples, reapers, bresummers, wall plates, flat and pan tiles and chunam borders.					1.68	225	42	1.68	42				
ditto with old and new materials	ditto	4 $\frac{4}{15}$	28	116 7 8	5.5	28	154	1.17	37 8 4				
Flooring with asphalt.	ditto	1 $\frac{6}{100}$	20	32 3 2	1.61	20	32 3 2						
ditto ditto	ditto	4 $\frac{129}{100}$	18	76 8	4.32	18	77 12 2		1 4 2				
Plastering with two coats of chunam	ditto	13 $\frac{37}{100}$	2 8	33 10 6	23.6	2 8	59	10.2	25 5 6				
Flooring with 8 square bricks laid in chunam	ditto	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	8	8 6 5									
ditto with brick jelly and chunam	ditto												
Jungle wood posts for verandah	ditto				4.08	5	20 4	4.05	20 4				
Jungle wood doors with frames and iron bars half way, with iron fittings & ca complete 3' 3" x 6' 6"	Each	2	30	60			12						
Iron barred doors and frames with iron fittings & ca complete 3' 4" x 6' 4"	ditto	2	50	100			70		10				
Junglewood doors and frames with iron bars and fittings & ca complete 4' x 2' 1/2"	ditto	3	18	54									
Teak wood	ditto												
Junglewood ventilators with iron bars and screen boards & ca complete 5' x 2'	ditto	7	20	140									
Iron bars 3/4"	ditto	465	2 6	72 10 6									
Carried over				839 7									

to G.O. No 596 of 24th February 1869.

The Original Estimate only provided for an arch over 1 door but arches over 2 doors are required.

This is necessary owing to the old roof being in bad order.

A portion of the old roof had to be removed in order to make a junction with the new roof.

Increase owing to a mistake of calculations in the original Estimate.

Repairs to old building

Civil Buildings—
Salem District

5th Division, D. J. W.
Cossoor Range.

New Works.
Kistnagherry Talook.

Comparative Statement shewing the difference between the Original and Revised Estimates for the improvement to the Subsidiary Tail at Kistnagherry.

Description of work.	Units.	Original Estimate		Revised Estimates		Increase		Decrease		Balance	Remarks.
		Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Amount		
<u>Tails.</u>											
<u>Bought over—</u>											
Mud walls	bulbyds	32	6	192	26	6	156		36		Saved in the foundation.
Bricks in chunam	do	35	4	140	14.25	3	42.75	20.75	83.25		
Bricks in clay	do				14.5	2	29				
Flooring with granite bedded in sand	Squares	4 3/4	10	47 1/2	4.75	10	47.5				
Roofing	do	6 1/2	16	104	6.5	14	91		13		
Junglewood wrought and put up	bulbf.	103	1	103	90.5	1	90.5	12.5	12.5		
Smoothing and whitewashing	Sqres	12	8	96							
Plastering with one coat of chunam	do				12.5	2	25				
Sundries and Superintendence	"			27 1/4			22 8/3		4 9/1		
<u>Total</u>				<u>509 1/4</u>			<u>450</u>		<u>118 1/2</u>		
Gate	"			41 2/2	1	43	43				
<u>Total Estimate Rupees.</u>											
				<u>550</u>			<u>493</u>		<u>480</u>		
*Sanctioned in G. O. N ^o 92 dated 12 th January 1869.											

Balance requiring sanction Rupees 480.
Rupees Four hundred and eighty only.

(Signed) L. W. Paynter
Executive Engineer.

James P. C.
Officiating Superintending Engineer, 5th Div.

Cossoor Range Office
Cossoor, 2nd December 1871.

B19 186/172 A-220

27/11/1871

Curry P N Dept
 No 555

Proceedings of the Madras Government
 Under date the 9 February 1872
 Read the following

From the Dy Supg Engr 5th Div / R.E. No 24177 Feb 1872

The revised estimate
 of Rs 2530 for improve-
 ments to the old
 District Munciff's Court
 House at Kistnagherry
 is sanctioned and
 authority is granted
 for the completion of
 the building.

A. P.

16 Feb

36
 4

6 Dy Supg Engr 5th Div
 5 Controller
 11 Twd Dept

Recd 1/3/72

1872

estimated by

estimated by

5th Div. Engr. 5th M.
 Dy Supg Engr.
 Building Engr. Kistnagherry

1872
 1872

Comparative statement showing
 the difference between the Original
 and Revised Estimates for im-
 provement to the building at
 Kistnagherry.

Charged

Govt. of 1872 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To the Superintending Engineer
5th Division

Dated

Recd.

Encl. /

G. O. 29th Feb 1872, No. 555.

No. 488/489

Old District Munsiff's Court

House at Kistnagerry,

Salem Dt. Revised Estimate

Rs. 2530 for improvements
at the old Dt. Munsiff's
Court House at Kistnagerry,
sanctioned; authority
granted for completing
the building -