

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public work DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 526	Press Mis.
125/21	
Dated 26. 12. 1872.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

61

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th February 1872.*

No. 425. Read the following endorsement of the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Fort St. George, 30th January 1872, No. 106:—

From the Acting Agent and Manager of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, to the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Madras, 22nd January 1872, No. 20.

I HAVE the honour to hand you an estimate, chargeable to Revenue, for the repair of the Velapanoor bungalow, amounting to Rupees 514, and I beg you will be so good as to submit the same for sanction.

—

SUBMITTED to Government.

With the above letter the Agent of the Company submits an estimate for the repair of the Velapanoor bungalow in the Bowanassy division of the main canal, amounting to Rupees 514.

2. The bungalow in question is one of those which were recommended by the Chief Engineer of the Company to be permanently retained—*vide* his letter of the 18th April 1871, No. 514, printed with the Proceedings of this Government of the 25th August 1871; and by their Order thereon marginally noted No. 2,185, dated 25th August 1871. the Government approve of the preparation and submission of estimates for placing all the buildings coming under this category in thorough repair, with a view to their being occupied by the permanent staff employed in the care-taking and maintenance of the works.

3. The Agent proposes to charge the outlay against Revenue, but I am of opinion that it would be more correct to debit Capital on this occasion with the sum and for the following reasons:—This as well as other buildings along the line of works was built to accommodate the staff and for use as a store during the construction of the canal, and the cost was debited in the construction account under the head Contingencies against the works. The course indicated for adoption in the Chief Engineer's letter above referred to was to ascertain the actual (present) value of the buildings, to relieve "Contingencies" of this value, and to transfer it to "Buildings" in the account, so that the expenditure would be exhibited against the latter instead of the former head. The difference of course between the money expended on the houses and the standing value would remain as a permanent charge against the works under Contingencies. The buildings so transferred are however imperfect—in need of repair. The capital expenditure against them, *as represented by the amount transferred*, has not been sufficient to carry them through the period of construction in good condition, and it seems obvious that at the time they are passed into the category of works to be hereafter kept up out of "Revenue," they ought to be placed once for all in good order by the expenditure of Capital; subsequent repairs would of course have to be met as is now proposed by the Agent of the Company.

4. The objection may be urged that the period during which capital expenditure was contemplated has long passed, but in point of fact such expenditure is going on, notably on the distribution works, and there are really no other funds available.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th February 1872.*

5. Having directed attention to circumstances bearing on the question of the incidence of the proposed outlay, I beg to recommend the estimate for approval.

6. It is to be noted that the total expenditure up to date on the bungalow amounts to Rupees 5,141, of which Rupees 3,171 have been disallowed by Government in their Order of the 18th November 1869, No. 3,687.

(Signed) A. deC. SCOTT, Captain, R.E.,
for Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

No. 426.

ORDER THEREON, 26th February 1872, No. 526.

IRRIGATION, No. 36.

THE estimate of Rupees 514 is sanctioned as a charge against Capital.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation with Estimate.
" " Agent and Manager of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 20.

Madras Irrigation Co. Ltd.
Madras 22nd Jan^y 1872.

From, The Acting Agent & Manager.

M. I. & Co. Ltd.

To, The Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation
and District Secretary to Govt.
Madras.

Sir,
I have the honor to hand you an estimate, chargeable to Revenue, for the repair of the Velapanoor bungalow amounting to Rs. 511. and I beg you will be so good as to submit the same for sanction.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt^l servant,
[Signature]
Acting Agent and Manager.

From Agent and Manager Madras Irrigation and Canal Company No 20
of 22nd January 1872.

Submitted to Government.

Enclosures 2. 1. With the above letter the Agent
of the Company submits an Estimate
for the repair of the Velapanoor Bungaloh
in the Boinapally Division of the
Main Canal, amounting to Rs 514.

2. The bungalow in question is one of those which were recommended by the Chief Engineer of the Company to be permanently retained. Vide his letter of the 18th April 1871 No. 5114 printed with the Proceedings of the Government of the 25th August 1871 and by their Order thereon marginally noted the Government approve of the preparation and submission of Estimates for placing all the buildings coming under this category in thorough repair with a view to their being occupied by the permanent

N: 2185 dated 6.25th
August 1871.

W. J. B. 670

Don.
The Acting Registrar
m. J. J. C.

To. The Working Class?
No Organisation?

Dated 22 June 1872

Decemb 23rd Jan 1822

P.M. 5.37/193

demanded to be
repaired to the villa.

Edward & James A. Lee
Washington D.C. 20544

request, ranchers
for the cause.

March 26th Feb 1/92 du h. 125.

permanent staff employed in the caretaking and maintenance of the works.

3. The Agent proposes to charge the outlay against Revenue but I am of opinion that it would be more correct to debit Capital on this occasion with the sum due for the following reasons. This as well as other buildings along the line of works was built to accommodate the staff and for use as a store during the construction of the Canal and the cost was debited in the Construction Account under the head Contingencies against the works. The course indicated for adoption in the Chief Engineer's letter above referred to was to ascertain the actual (present) value of the buildings and to transfer it to buildings in the account so that the expenditure would be debited against the latter instead of the former head. The difference of course between the money expended in the houses and the standing value would remain as a permanent charge against the works.

works under Contingencies. The buildings so transferred are however imperfect in need of repair. The Capital expenditure against them is provided by the amount transferred has not been sufficient to carry them through the period of construction in good condition and it seems obvious that at the time they are passed into the category of works to be hereafter kept out of Revenue they ought to be placed under for all in good order by the expenditure of Capital. Subsequent repairs would of course have to be met as is now proposed by the Agent of the Company.

4. The objection may be urged that the period during which Capital expenditure was contemplated had long passed but in point of fact such expenditure is going on rapidly in the distribution works and there are really no other funds available.

5. Having directed attention to circumstances bearing on the question of the incidence of the proposed outlay I beg to recommend the Estimate for approval.

6. It is to be noted that the total

Cp 537.

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To the Chief Eng for
Irrigation

Dated

Recd.

Encl 1/

G. O. 26th Feb 1872 526.

Nos. 4254426

Velamoor Bangalore. The

Sub. of Rs. 574 for the re-
pair of the m. & l. of the
Bongaloor at Velamoor
in the Bangalore Dist.
Sanctioned as a charge
against Capital.

Michael: