

(1) Number of pages \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Date of repair \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.****Government of Madras.***Public works* **DEPARTMENT.**

|              |                          |
|--------------|--------------------------|
| G.O. No. 511 | Press<br><del>Mis.</del> |
| 395-96       |                          |
| Dated 23. 2  | 1872.                    |

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

No. 395. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department, dated 4th December 1871, No. 5:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 31st October 1871, No. 4,558.  
Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 5th August 1871, No. 169.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23d May 1870, No. 3,532, I have the honour to forward a revised statement, furnished by the General Deputy Collector, together with copy of his letter, dated 11th instant, No. 32, affording the requisite information called for by the Board.

From the Deputy Collector, to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated Proddatoor, 11th July 1871, No. 32.

In accordance with the instructions in foot-note to the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd May 1870, No. 3,532, I beg to submit a revised statement of Inam lands taken up for the Irrigation Canal and of fresh lands, etc., to be granted in lieu of them, with the explanation detailed below.

2. The original statement (herewith returned) was prepared by me with reference to the decisions recorded by the late Deputy Collector Royappah Pantulu in each case.

3. *Vellala*.—In Case No. 2 in this village the Inamdar was not satisfied with the proposed compensation, namely, reduction of Rupees 4-4-0 in quit-rent, in satisfaction of the land assessed at Rupees 6-1-8 taken up. The Inamdar having since applied for money compensation for the difference, the same is entered in the revised statement.

4. *Gopayapalli*.—Case No. 3.—The estimated value of Rupees 80-10-0 entered in this case is not correct. The value was calculated with reference to the sales of certain lands that took place in the village in 1869 at 30 Rupees per acre. The lands are not of the same description as those taken up for the canal. The former are very superior and well manured lands, while the latter are very inferior and non-manured lands. The proposed compensation of Rupees 34-8-0 was calculated at Rupees 12-8-0 per acre on the extent of the lands taken up. This is fair value. This is entered as value of the lands taken up in the revised statement. The value was fixed by Royappah Pantulu after inspecting the lands.

5. *Jillala*.—Case No. 1.—The land taken up is the property of two individuals. One individual alone having selected fresh land in lieu of her Inam taken up, it was entered in the statement. The other person is still looking for fresh land to be selected in lieu of his share in the Inam. When he selects fresh land it will be entered in a separate statement. Now his share of Inam is omitted from the revised statement so as to give no room to confusion.

6. *Canagondoor*.—Case No. 7.—The Inamdar has since selected fresh land for the difference. It is entered in the revised statement.

7. *Dhoor*.—The estimated value of dry lands entered in the original statement at Rupees 60 per acre is the price of best lands sold in the village. This should not have been entered in the statement. The rates at which the money compensation was calculated were fixed by Royappah Pantulu carefully, after inspecting the lands and consulting with appointed arbitrators. The Inamdars concerned gave written consent to Royappah Pantulu agreeing to receive the compensation proposed. Necessary corrections are made in the revised statement by entering the proposed compensation as estimated value of the Inam.

8. *Chintagunta*.—Case No. 4.—The estimated value is not given by mistake in its proper column. The proposed money compensation was fixed by the late Deputy Collector after inspecting the land and making careful enquiry. It appears that the Inamdar has improved the land by manuring it. The assessment of 4 Annas and 2 Pies per acre was levied on this Inam about 70 years ago. In several cases, though not in all, such an old assessment cannot stand as proper data to fix the valuation of the lands.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
23rd February 1872.*

9. *Cottoor*.—The estimated value was not given in its proper column by mistake. The Inams taken up in this village are of very inferior quality. The land is fit only for quarrying stones. The Inams are of immemorial waste. Hence the lands are valued from 15 to 2½ Rupees with reference to the quality of the soil.

10. *Modameadipalli*.—The estimated value was not entered in its proper column by mistake. The inequalities in the rates of proposed compensation are owing to the late Deputy Collector Royappah Pantulu having inspected the lands personally, and fixed the value thereof with reference to the quality of the soil, etc. The No. 6 comprises Personal Inam of immemorial waste. It is not worth anything. It was not enfranchised. The valuation fixed by Royappah Pantulu is Rupee 1 per acre. The Inamdar gave his written consent to relinquish his right in the Inam for the proposed compensation. I am unable therefore to alter the compensation.

11. In the next case, the Personal Surva Inam is lying waste from time immemorial. The Inamdar gave a written consent to Royappah Pantulu to sell his right in the Inam for the proposed compensation. The Inam was not enfranchised.

12. In Cases Nos. 10 and 11 the Personal Inams are of immemorial waste. They were enfranchised. Royappah Pantulu has proposed the compensation with reference to the quality of the soil. The Inamdars gave a written consent to relinquish their right in the Inams for the proposed compensation.

13. In Cases Nos. 12 and 14 it is true there is no quit-rent on the Inams taken up, but the quit-rent proposed to be reduced is on the Service Inams of the Inamdars, in the village of Gotoor, in Cuddapah Taluq. It is certainly very injurious to the Village Service to grant reduction in quit-rent on the Service Inam of one village for the Service Inam taken up in another village. But during Royappah Pantulu's time, such reductions were made, I find, in several cases. The Cases Nos. 12 and 14 are therefore omitted from the revised statement. The Inamdars are directed to select fresh lands.

14. I beg to represent that the course adopted by Royappah Pantulu seems to have been founded on the passage in paragraph 2 of the Board's Standing Circular Order No. XVII. of 1866, which runs as follows:—"The compensation will in such cases be in the permanent form of a further grant of land, or a reduction of quit-rent (if any be payable) on the rest of the Inam." It is clear from this passage that reduction of quit-rent is only to be made *on the rest of the Inam*, if any, and not on the Inam in another village, which is held by the Inamdar.

(True Copy)

(Signed) W. D. HORSLEY,  
Acting Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Statement.

SUBMITTED for the sanction of Government.

2. In lieu of acres 195-24-2½ assessed at Rupees 296-7-10, taken up for the Irrigation Canal, it is proposed to grant compensation as follows:—

I.—A fresh grant of Acres 152-8-13½ assessed at Rupees 185-7-2 subject to a quit-rent of Rupees 3-11-0.

II.—Reduction of quit-rent, Rupees 45-2-7.

III.—Money compensation once for all Rupees 401-13-3.

3. The Board take this opportunity of asking (with reference to Government Order, dated 25th October 1870,) if it is absolutely essential to print these elaborate figured statements. They have not done so in this case pending further orders.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. B. PENNINGTON,  
Acting Secretary.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
23rd February 1872.*

THE proposed compensation is sanctioned. The Government do not deem it necessary that these statements should be printed, but they should be written in the Collector's Offices on good substantial paper, and not on such flimsy material as has been used in this instance, and should be carefully preserved.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. WELLESLEY,  
Under Secretary to Government.

No. 396. ORDER THEREON, 23rd February 1872, No. 511.

IRRIGATION, No. 33.

COMMUNICATED to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary to Government.

C/2666

Govt. of 1872 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

2<sup>nd</sup> Chief Eng<sup>r</sup> for  
Irrigation

Noted

Recd.

Encl.

G. 23<sup>rd</sup> Feby 1872 511.

Nos. 295 & 296

Original. Mr. J.

The Rev. Sept. Santuram  
payment of compensation  
for certain lands taken  
up for the Irrigation  
Canal in the Tadapatri  
St. Com. Who has been  
in possession.