

(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 470

Press
Min

321-22

Dated 17

2

1892

Not to be folded or roughly handled

10
11

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
19th February 1872.*

No. 321. Read the following letter from the Officiating Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Tanjore, 2nd February 1872, No. 858:—

I HAVE the honour to inform you that the Officer in charge of No. I Range, Tanjore, has been summoned to appear before the Principal Sadr Amin's Court, Tanjore, on the 5th March next, in a case preferred by Adakalem Pillay for the recovery of Rupees 446-13-11 due on two certificates granted by Mr. Overseer Walton and Chedumbaram Pillay for earthwork supposed to have been done on the 1st section of the Boodalore Railway feeder; and to request the sanction of Government for defending the case at the public expense.

2. The circumstances under which the certificates were granted to the contractor are fully explained in Captain Oakes's letter accompanying G. O., No. 2,511, dated 3rd October 1871; the contractor claims the full amount of the contract certificates granted to him, while the Range Officer and the Superintending Engineer, after careful examination of the work and accounts, state that he has already been over-paid. The question now raised appears to be whether Government is liable for claims which have been certified by subordinate officers though disallowed by the Range Officer. It appears to me that the Range Officer's measurements, with which the Superintending Engineer and Government were satisfied, will be sufficient to prove to the Court that the contractor has no real claim to the money, and I think that there can be no doubt of the power of the Range Officer to disallow quantities entered in contract certificates in excess of work actually done by his subordinates.

No. 322. ORDER THEREON, 19th February 1872, No. 470.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 25.

SANCTION is granted for defending the suit, in the first instance, at the public expense.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Ed. E. C. Shunker.

No 558

Supy Engrs Office 6th Division
Tanjore 2nd February 1872
3N

From,

Captain J. C. Hasted. R.E.
Offg Supy Engineer 6th Div

To,

Colonel J. Carpendale. R.E.
Secretary to Government & Mr
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the officer in charge of N.I. Range Tanjore has been summoned to appear before the Principal Sub Jm Amaras before the Principal Sub Jm Amaras Court Tanjore on the 5th March next in a case preferred by Adakalam Pillay for the recovery of Rs 446-13-11 due on 2 Certificates granted by Mr. Overton Walton and Chedumbaram Pillay for earth work supposed to have been done on the 1st section of the Boadalore Railway Trestle and to request the sanction of Government for defending the case at the Public Expense.

2— The circumstances under which the Certificates were granted to the Contractor are fully explained in Captain Baker's letter accompanying

accompanying G.O. No. 2511, dated 23rd October 1911,
 the Contractor claims the full amount of
 the Contract Certificates granted to him, while
 the Range Officer and the Supt Engineer
 after careful examination of the work &
 accounts state that he has already been
 over-paid. The question now raised
 appears to be, whether Government is liable
 for claims which have been certified by
 Subordinate officers, though disallowed
 by the Range Officer. It appears to me
 that the Range Officer's measurements
 with which the Superintending Engineer
 and Government were satisfied, will
 be sufficient to prove to the Court that the
 Contractor has no real claim to the money
 and I think that there can be no doubt
 of the power of the Range Officer to dis-
 allow quantities entered in Contract
 Certificates in excess of work actually
 done, by his Subordinates.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedt Servt

J. A. A. Capt. R. E.

Offg Supt Engineer B. D. No.

31 Oct/11 M. 28-29

To
H. B. Paul
Contractor

Reside 20/2/11

The work in the field measure, as the public
 opinion
 (No. 490)
 sanction is given for depending

Accounting G.O. No 2511 dated 2nd October 1911

P. W. 1872 Depart
From, S. No 589.
The Offg. Sub-Inspector
Sixth Division.

To, The Secretary to
Capt. & P. W.
Fort Saint George.

By No 858
Dated 2nd } February 72
Recd 5.

Requests permission
to defend at the Public
Expense, a Suit filed
against the Department
by a Constable in the
Tanjore Range for the Re-
covery of Rs. 446-13-11

No 470-19-11
With

130
O/S 589
Govt. of 1872 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Superintending
Eng^r. of Division

Dated
Recd. Encl.

G. O. 19 Feb. 1872 470.

Nos. 321 & 322
Sub. Sanction ^(anted)

for the defence of the
Arch installed against
the Officers in charge of
No. 1 Range, Bangalore, by
a Contractor for the re-
covery of Rs. 24,000-11