M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public (Misc.) DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 845 Press —Mis.—

24-23

Dated 3 4 1923.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 3rd April 1872.

No. 22. Read the following letter from the Controller of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Fort St. George, 15th March 1872, No. 3,075 :—

With reference to Circular No. 22, dated 28th June 1871, of the Public Works Accountant-General, and in continuation of my letter No. 2,880, dated 23rd February 1872, I have the honour to submit a statement of items of unauthorized outlay which appeared in the accounts of Range Officers for the month of December 1871.

2. Items Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5, which have also been entered in my Statement of Objectionable Items for December 1871, exhibit expenditure incurred for the first time. The appropriation return for February, in which the Superintending Engineer promised to provide funds, and to communicate sanction to the estimate for Item No. 1, has not yet been received. The reply to the audit note on Item No. 2, which was expected, has since come to hand, and from it it appears that the estimate for the work has been returned to the Range Officer for certain correction, and that on re-transmission of the document, application will be made to the Local Fund Board for an allotment.

3. As respects Item No. 4, the Superintending Engineer states that he has requested the President of the Local Fund Board to address the Board of Revenue for the necessary allotment of funds. With regard to the emergent work appearing as Item No. 5, I beg to intimate that the Superintending Engineer reports that the estimate has been sanctioned by the Local Fund Board, and that the President has been requested to place the necessary funds to the credit of the Range Officer in communication with the Board of Revenue. From the Board's Proceedings, however, of a subsequent date, this office has learnt that a cheque was issued by the President of the Local Fund Board direct to the Range Officer to meet the expenditure in question, a course which the Board considered irregular, and directed the withdrawal of the cheque.

4. Item No. 3 has also been shown in the Statement of Objectionable Items for December 1871, and noticed in my special report No. 2,880, dated 23rd February 1872. No appropriation, however, has yet been made to cover outlay.

5. The reply of the Superintending Engineer on Item No. 6, since received, states that supplemental estimates have been submitted to Government, and additional funds applied for, to meet excess outlay. In Proceedings of Government, No. 634, dated 8th March 1872, a further assignment of Rupees 10,000 is sanctioned for works at Cochin, but there is no information indicative of the specific estimates against which this appropriation has been made. Upon this point, therefore, the orders of Government are solicited. The additional allotment would seem to have reference specially to the "Cruz Milagre Gap," for which there is an estimate of Rupees 24,824.

6. I have omitted from the statement forwarded herewith the marginally noted item, as the expenditure incurred on the work is to be recovered, pending instructions of Government in reply to my letter No. 2,994, dated 8th March 1872.

	Rs.
Neilgherry.—Constructing a seed-house and herbarium in the Government Botanical Gardens at Ootacamund ...	334

7. An objectionable item* appearing in the accounts for December 1871 under "Miscellaneous advances" has also been omitted. I make this allusion to it, as the Range Officer had incurred expenditure without the authority of the Superintending Engineer, which the rules provide should be previously obtained for a disbursement of the nature in question. The Superintending Engineer's reply to the audit note is as follows:—

"The Range Officer had no authority, but under the exceptional circumstances of the case, the advance was at once made, and the fact reported to Government in letter No. 3,691, dated 23rd December 1871. The adjustment will be made when funds are obtained from the Local Fund Board, *vide* G. O., No. 57, dated 11th December 1871."

**Southern Range, Tinnevelly.*—Paid in satisfaction of decree against Government in Suit No. 355 of 1869, filed by Contractor Nina Pillay in the District Munsif's Court, Tinnevelly ... 592 Rs.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 3rd April 1872.

Statement of unauthorized outlay appearing in the accounts of the under-mentioned Ranges for the month of December 1872.—

Number of Item.	Range.	District.	Items.	Estimate.	Total Expenditure up to 31st December 1872.	Grant for 1871-72.	Expenditure in 1871-72 up to 31st December 1872.	Expenditure in December 1871-72.	Remarks.
			Imperial.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	East Coast Canal	Chingleput ...	Repairs to works connected with the Madras Water Works which were injured by heavy rainfall in November 1871.	...	289	...	289	289	*Vide* Statement of Objectionable Items for December 1871.
			Local.						
2	Kurnool ...	Kurnool ...	Closing breaches in the Nunkoorku road.	...	495	...	495	495	
3	Tindevanum ...	Tindevanum ...	Do. do. in the road from Tindevanum to Salem frontier by Tiroonamalay.	...	276	...	276	61	Do. and special report No. 2,880, dated 23rd February 1872.
4	Do. ...	Do. ...	Do. do. in the road from Ginjee to Chaipel.	...	312	...	312	312	*Vide* Statement of Objectionable Items for December 1871.
5	Neilgherry ...	Neilgherry ...	Emergent repairs to roads	...	212	...	212	212	
6	Palghaut ...	Malabar ...	Cochin Harbour Protective Works.	23,380	23,504	22,675	23,504	428	

(Signed) S. BROCK,
Controller of Public Works Accounts.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
15th March 1872.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 3rd April 1872.

No. 23. ORDER THEREON, 3rd April 1872, No. 885.

ACCOUNT, No. 3.

COMMUNICATED to the Financial Department in continuation of G. O., No. 619, dated 9th March 1872.

2. The expenditure on Item 1 has been separately dealt with in this department.

3. With reference to paragraph 5 of the Controller's letter it will be intimated that the sum of Rupees 10,000 sanctioned in G. O., No. 634, dated 8th March 1872, for closing the Cruz Milagre Gap should be considered as an appropriation to the "Cochin Harbour Works" pending the issue of orders on the estimates which are now under the review of the Master Attendant, Madras.

4. The letter quoted in paragraph 6 was transferred to the Financial Department on the 13th March 1872.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J CARPENDALE, Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary to Government.

Office of the Controller of Public Works Accounts,
Fort Saint George, 15th March 1872.

No. 3075

From,

The Controller of P.W. Accounts,

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to Circular No. 22, dated 28th June 1871, of the Public Works Accountant General, and in continuation of my letter No. 2880, dated 23rd February 1872, I have the honor to submit a Statement of items of unauthorized outlay which appeared

necessary allotment of funds-
With regard to the emergent
work appearing as item No. 5,
I beg to intimate that the
Superintending Engineer reports
that the Estimate has been
sanctioned by the Local
Fund Board, and that
the President has been re-
quested to place the ne-
cessary funds to the credit
of the Range Officer in
communication with the
Board of Revenue. From
the Board's Proceedings
however of a subsequent date,
this office has learnt that
a Cheque was issued by

the President of the Local
Fund Board direct to the
Range Officer to meet the
expenditure in question, a
course which the Board
considered irregular, and
directed the withdrawal
of the Cheque.-

4. Item No. 3 has also
been shewn in the State-
ment of objectionable items
for December 1871, and noticed
in my Special Report No.
2,880, dated 23d February 1872.
No appropriation however has
yet been made to cover
outlay.-

5. The reply of the
Superintending Engineer on item

the Accounts for December 1871 under "Miscellaneous Advances" has also been omitted. I make this allusion to it, as the Range Officer had incurred expenditure without the authority of the Superintending Engineer, which the rules provide should be previously obtained, for a disbursement of the nature in question. The Superintending Engineer's reply to the Audit Note is as follows.-

"The Range officer had no authority, but under the exceptional circumstances of the case, the advance was

was at once made, and the fact reported to Government in letter No. 3691 dated 23rd December 1871. The readjustment will be made when funds are obtained from the Local Fund Board. Vide G.O. No. 571 dated 11th December 1871."-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
S. Wood
Controller of A.W. Accounts.

P. W. 1872 Despatch
No. 1363.

From,
The Controller of Accounts,
To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort St. George.

Dated 15th
Recd 16th } March 1872.

No. 3175

Submitting with remarks a Statement of items of unauthorized outlay which appeared in the Accounts of Range Officers for December 1871.

No. 885 - 3rd April 72

Statement of unauthorized outlay appearing in the accounts of the undermentioned Ranges for the Month of December 1872.

No. of Item	Range.	District	Items.	Estimate	Total expenditure up to 31st December 1872.	Count for 1871-72	Expenditure in 1871-72 up to 31st December 1871-72		Remarks
			Imperial						
1	East Coast Canal	Chingleput	Repairs to works connected with the Madras Water works which were injured by heavy rain fall in November 1871						
			Local						
2	Kurnool	Kurnool	Closing breaches in the Nundikotkur Road			289	289	289	Vide Statement of objectionable Items for December 1871
3	Sindevanam	Sindevanam	—do—do— in the Road from Sindivanum to Salem by Tirvonamalay Frontier			495	495	495	
4	—do—	—do—	—do—do— in the Road from Ginjee to Chaifel			275	275	4	G. and Special Report of 22nd 23rd February 1872
5	Neilgherry	Neilgherry	Emergent repairs to Roads			312	312	312	Vide Statement of Objectionable Items for December 1871
6	Palghat	Malabar	Cochin Harbour Protective Works		23,350	82	82	82	
					23502	22,675	23502	428	

Office of the Controller of Public Works Accounts
Fort Saint George 15th March 1872

To The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort Saint George

Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Enclosure in a letter
from the Controller of
P.W. Accounts to the
Secretary to Govenment
P.W. Department date
15th March 1872 No 30

P. W. 1363/72
G.A. 1885-3-April/72

634 dated 8th March 1872
for closing the Cuvvy
Milageed Gap should
be considered as and
appropriation to the
"Cochin Harbour Works"
pending the issue
of orders on the Estimates
which are now under
the review of the Master
Attendant, Madras.

The letter quoted
in paragraph 6 was
transferred to the
Financial Dept. on
the 8th March 1872.

Yours 20/6

30
3

The Secl. Dept.
Secy. P.W.D.
Secy. Dept. 4/4/72

No 1363

Govt. of (1872) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Financial Secretary

Date 2

Read Encl /

G O 3d April 72. No. 885.

Nos. 21 & 23

Recommended Plan.

Passing orders on letters from
Supt. Nilgiris & Br. Supts.,
submitting, with remarks,
a Statement of sanctioned
Plan in the certain
Cases during December
1871.