M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2906 Press
186, 187, Mis.

Dated 9 - 12 - 1872.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Read the following papers :—

No. 186. From the Consulting Architect to Government, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 25th November 1872, No. 193.

I HAVE the honour to state that I recently visited Madura, and in accordance with the directions contained in G. O., No. 1,839, dated 5th August, have arranged with the Superintending Engineer to submit an estimate for rendering the old Palace safe and durable.

2. With regard to the first paragraph of the above quoted letter, I beg to explain that no portion of the Budget grant was to be set aside for decorative works other than those already commenced, the wagon vault and the octagonal room ; the other parts restored were to be paid for from monies realized by the sales of existing buildings.

3. In G. O., No. 1,839, the three estimates marginally noted were sanctioned. The last mentioned included a sum of Rupees 1,750 for establishment. The Superintending Engineer in paragraph 2 was requested to bring the Overseer on the permanent establishment, and in this way the estimates were brought to within 730 Rupees of the Budget grant, as this order was subsequently cancelled the sanctioned estimates exceed the Budget grant by Rupees 2,479.

	Rs.
Iron Tie-bars for bed-room	5,230
Restoring lower portion of wagon vault	1,265
Completing A	5,984
	12,479

Provided by Financial G. O., No. 1,481, of 31st October.

4. In addition to the Budget grant we have a sum of Rupees 5,530 realized from the sales of the Range Office and Deputy Collector's Cutcherry, but of this sum Rupees 3,300 have been expended in purchasing the houses and opening out the new Court to the south, and a further sum of 2,970 will be required to provide accommodation for the employés thus turned out—

	Rs.
Range Office	1,270
Deputy Collector's Office	1,700
	2,970

In addition to this the Judge has represented that the new Court will be very much exposed without a verandah on the south. This addition will probably cost some 1,500. All these works, if executed, will cause an excess on works executed by the abovementioned sales money of Rupees 2,240. This sum, added to the excess of Rupees 2,479 over the Budget grant, amounts to Rupees 4,719.

5. I learn from the Superintending Engineer that the expenditure for locating the Range Office and Deputy Collector's Cutcherry in the building cannot be avoided.

6. Taking the above facts into consideration, I think it would be better to defer for the present the work of tieing with iron bars the room known as Tiro mala Naick's bed-room, and to finish entirely the works actually in hand. This part of the building is in no worse condition than any other part of the structure,

and it is highly desirable that a liberal sum should be at once expended over the whole building.

7. In the early part of the official year a hope was entertained that the Collector's Cutcherry would meet with a purchaser which would at once place some 25,000 or 30,000 Rupees at our disposal. I now learn from the Collector that he cannot effect a sale in any way until he vacates the building. As soon as the Judge moves into his new Court I think it would be advisable to bring the Collector into the present Judge's Court. A small grant would be necessary for building a Treasury and otherwise altering the Court, but this grant might be made provisional on its being paid back from the sales money.

8. I believe the sum realized would be sufficient to tie and complete the principal parts of the structure, leaving the works of restoration to proceed gradually.

No. 186A. *Notes by the Chief Engineer.*

The sum of Rupees 2,480, mentioned in paragraph 3, has been provided by Financial Order No. 1,481 of 31st October.

2. No estimate has been received for the Range Office, and its construction where proposed by Mr. Chisholm prohibited by G. O., No. 2,763, of 25th November.

3. Plan and estimate for Deputy Collector's Cutcherry received, but cannot be disposed of for want of the assurance of the concurrence of the Collector in the arrangement proposed.—See paragraph 3 of G. O., No. 1,839, of 5th August.

4. Plan and estimate for the Judge's verandah not received.

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer.

27th November 1872.

No. 187. Order thereon, 9th December 1872, No. 2,906.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 7.

Forwarded to the District Engineer of Madura, endorsed with Notes by the Chief Engineer.

2. Lieutenant-Colonel Roberts will see that the orders of Government are strictly carried out, and the works sanctioned in Government Orders Nos. 1,487 of 15th June and 1,839 of 5th August, completed in the current year without fail.

3. Propositions for immediately utilizing the sum of Rupees 2,230 available by sale of existing buildings cannot be acted upon when sent up without plans and estimates approved by the Heads of Departments concerned. And the attention of Lieutenant-Colonel Roberts and Mr. Chisholm is again called to paragraph 4 of G. O., No. 1,839, of 5th August, and paragraph 2 of G. O., No. 2,236, of 26th September, inculcating the systematic co-operation of all parties concerned in working out the details of the Madura Palace works.

4. The Collector will be instructed in the Revenue Department, to move into the present Judge's Court, which the present occupant will vacate as soon as his new quarters are ready. The verandah required in addition to previous arrangements for the comfort of the Judge and the Court servants will be planned

at once, and carried out when sanctioned from Provincial Funds in anticipation of its cost being met by the sale of the Collector's Cutcherry, which will be hastened. Mr. Chisholm will ascertain and provide for the requirements necessary to adapt the Court-house for the Collector's temporary use.

(True Extract.)
(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Madura.
,, Consulting Architect.
,, Revenue Department.

"Judl Dept"

Exd. S. T. Austin.

No. 193 Consulting Architects Office
Madras 25th Novr 1872

From

R. F. Chisholm Esqre F.R.I.B.A.
Consulting Archt to Govt

To

Coll. G. W. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir

I have the honor to state that I recently visited Madura and in accordance with the directions contained in G.O. No. 1839 dated 5th August have arranged with the Superintending Engineer to submit an Estimate for rendering the old Palace safe and durable.

2 With regard to the first para of the above quoted letter I beg to explain that no portion of the Budget Grant was to be set aside for decorative works other than those already commenced, the wagon Vault, and the Octagonal room, the other parts restored were to be paid for from monies realized by the sales of existing buildings-

3 In G.O. No. 1839 the three estimates

* marginally noted were sanctioned
The last mentioned included a sum
of 1750 for establishment. The Supt.
Engineer in para 2 was requested to
bring the Overseer on the permanent
establishment and in this way the
Estimates were brought to within 730
Rupees of the Budget grant, as this
Order was subsiquently cancelled, the
sanctioned Estimates exceed the Budget
grant by Rupees 2479.0.0.

4. In addition to the Budget grant
we have a sum of Rupees 5530.0.0
realized from the sale of the Range
Office and Deputy Collectors Cutcherry
but of this sum Rupees 3300 have been
expended in purchasing the houses
and opening out the New Court to the
South, and a further sum of 2970 will
be required to provide Accommodation
for the employees thus turned out.

Range Office	1270.0.0
Deputy Collectors Office	1700.0.0
	2970.0.0

In addition to this the Judge has
represented that the New Court will
be very much exposed without a
Verandah on the South. This addition
will probably cost some 1500/ all

Remove Cutcherry for Bulsum. 5230-0-0
Existing Cutcherry portion of
wagon Vault 1265-0-0
Completing 5984-0-0
12479-0-0

* Provided by Financial G.O. N° 1481 of 31st Oct.

these works if executed will cause an
excess on works executed by the above
mentioned sales money of Rupees 2240
This sum added to the excess of Rupees
2479. over the Budget grant amounts
to Rupees 4719.

5. I learn from the Superintending
Engineer that the expenditure for locating
the Range Office and Deputy Collectors
Cutcherry in the building cannot be
avoided.

6. Taking the above facts into consider-
I think it would be better to defer for
the present the work of fixing with iron
bars the Room known as Veeramala Nach
Bedroom, and to finish entirely the
works actually in hand. This part of
the building is in no worse condition
than any other part of the structure, and
it is highly desirable that a liberal
sum should be at once expended over
the whole building.

7. In the early part of the Official
year a hope was entertained that the
Collectors Cutcherry would meet with a
purchaser which would at once place
some 25.000 or 30.000 Rupees at our disposal
I now learn from the Collector that he
cannot effect a Sale in any way until

he vacates the building. As soon as the Judge moves into his New Court. I think it would be advisable to bring the Collector into the present Judges Court. A small grant would be necessary for building a Treasury and otherwise altering the Court but this grant might be made provisional on its being paid back from the sales money.

8 I believe the sum realized would be sufficient to fit and complete the principle parts of the structure. leaving the works of Restoration to proceed gradually.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most Obedt servt

Chisholm. F.R.I.B.A.

Consulting Archt to Govt

Notes by the Chief Engineer.

The sum of Rs 2480 mentioned in para 3. has been provided by Financial Order, No 1481 of 31st October.

No Estimate has been received for the Range Office; and its construction where proposed by Mr Chisholm prohibited by G.O. No 2768 of 25th November.

Plan and Estimate for Deputy Collectors Cutcherry received, but cannot be disposed of pr want of the clearance of the encumbrance of the Collector in the arrangement proposed. See para. 3 of G.O. No 1839 of 5th August.

Plan and Estimate for the Judge's Verandah not received.

GWW
27.11.72

Public Works Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following.

From

The Comg. Architect & Chief Engr. dated 19th & 25th Nov. 1839

Forwarded to the District Engineer of Madura, endorsed with notes by the Chief Engineer

Lieutenant Bpl. Roberts will see that the orders of Government are strictly carried out and the works sanctioned in Government Order No. 1489 of 15th June and 1839 of 5th August, Completed

It is very desirable that the sale of the Collectors Cutcherry should at once be carried out. To delay longer will perhaps be to lose the purchase. I would give sanction to move the Collector at once into the Judges Court. & move the Judge into his own premises Viz Cutcherry. I would desire Mr Chisholm to give a simple estimate of the Masonry

Public Works Department
Rec'd Nov. 1839
Examined & Entered

28-5-19

From
The Commanding Engr.
To Government

&c &c

The Secretary
to Govt. P.W.D.

Dated 28th November 1839
Received 2nd December 1839

Regarding Cutcherry
Fairs &c at
Madura.

No 2905-19 "Recd"
1-Dec 1839

639 folios

Public Works Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following.

From

The Comg. Architect & Chief Engr. dated 19th & 25th Nov. 1839

Forwarded to the District Engineer of Madura, endorsed with notes by the Chief Engineer

Lieutenant Bpl. Roberts will see that the orders of Government are strictly carried out and the works sanctioned in Government Order No. 1489 of 15th June and 1839 of 5th August, Completed

It is very desirable that the sale of the Collectors Cutcherry should at once be carried out. To delay longer will perhaps be to lose the purchase. I would give sanction to move the Collector at once into the Judges Court. & move the Judge into his own premises Viz Cutcherry. I would desire Mr Chisholm to give a simple estimate of the Masonry

Public Works Department
Rec'd Nov. 1839
Examined & Entered

28-5-19

From
The Commanding Engr.
To Government

&c &c

The Secretary
to Govt. P.W.D.

Dated 28th November 1839
Received 2nd December 1839

Regarding Cutcherry
Fairs &c at
Madura.

No 2905-19 "Recd"
1-Dec 1839

639 folios

completed in the
current year with-
out fail.

Propositions for
immediately utilizing
the sum of Rs. 2230
available by Sale
of existing buildings
cannot be acted
upon now sent
up without Plans
and Estimates ap-
proved by the
Heads of Depart-
ments Concerned.
And the attention
of Lieutenant
Colonel Roberts
and Mr Chisholm
is again called
to

and attention is requisite
for the accomplishment
of this pay for the work
Consent by telegraph. From
what I heard, in Madura
last year, it is of real importance
to lose no time in effecting
these alterations of the Act work
before the —— PM

to para 4 of G.O.
No. 1839 of 5th August
and para 2 of
G.O. No. 2286 of
26th September;
~~to calculating~~ inculcating the
systematic co-opera-
tion of all parties
concerned, in work-
ing out the details
of the Madura
Palace Works.

The Collector
will be instructed,
Dept, to move
into the Judges Court, &
the present occu-
pants will be
[illegible]
ters are ready.

(3)

The Petitioner
requires all
duties & necessary
arrangements
for the conveyance
of the Judge & his
Court servants
will be planned
at once, & carried
out when necessary
funds & material
funds in certain
portion of the costs
being taxed after
sale of the Estate
& his Petitioner shall
still be hastened.
The Petitioner
will ascertain
upon the Judge for the
requirements
necessary & obtain
the Court house
for the collection
temporary use.

Yours

To the District Engineer, Illesa ✓
" Consulting Architect
Rem. Secretary
Judl Depts ✓

Depts 11/12/72

57
5

No. 5819.

Govt. of [1872] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The District Engineer
Madura

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G. O. 9th Dec. 1872, No 2906.

Rec. 18/8/18

Teroomala Naicki Palace

& Madura. Passing orders
on letter from the Consulting
Architect regarding the
submission of estimate
for works in connection
with the restoration of
Teroomala Naicki Palace.