

- (1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referee _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	3355	Press
		Mis.
Dated	22-10-	18-2

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
23rd October 1872.

considered necessary to pull down and re-build was Rupees 3,010; and, lastly, while the work was stopped by order of Government and funds could not be found for completing it, the Superintending Engineer in the ordinary course of duty visited it and decided what was to be done.

6. A revised estimate for the entire building was sanctioned in G. O. No. 453 of 17th February 1872; work was commenced in April, and before I passed the place in June 1872 the brick walls had been demolished, and the rubble masonry ones had made some progress.

I am therefore unable to inspect the walls in question, with a view to forming an opinion as to "the possibility of completing the work as designed by removal of cracked arches, etc.," because those walls no longer exist.

7. I beg to bring specially to notice that the revised estimate was for the whole building, and not merely for pulling down part of what had been already constructed and completing it on a new plan. Consequently, the difference between the original and revised estimates, Rupees 3,370, represents exactly the loss to Government by the alteration of plan. This consists of the value of the old walls, Rupees 3,040, and the cost of demolition Rupees 330.

Original Estimate	...	...	Rs. 19,780
Revised Estimate	...	...	23,150
Difference...			3,370

According to the revised estimate, the new walls of rubble masonry, 21 inches thick, will cost the same as the old ones, which were of brickwork 15 inches thick.

No. 417. ORDER THEREON, 23rd October 1872, No. 2,500.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 22.
His Excellency the Governor in Council is glad to receive the information that, of the outlay of Rupees 8,863 incurred on the new Taluq Cutcherry at Yellamunchelly, Rupees 3,010 represented the net loss on defective brickwork; which amount with Rupees 330 for demolition is included in the sum of Rupees 22,150 for building a Cutcherry in stone and chunam, sanctioned in G. O. No. 453 of 17th February 1872.

2. Had this information been clearly given in the Superintending Engineer's letter submitting the revised estimate, the Local Revenue Officers would not have been led into the inaccuracies contained in their report.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.
Board of Revenue.
Revenue Department.

By E. C. Shanley.

No. 2327
From

Colonel J. H. Fischer M.E.
Acting Superintending Engineer
1st Division

To
Colonel J. H. Fischer M.E.
Secretary to Government D. P. W.
MADRAS

SIR,

Referring to G.O. of the 14th August 1872 No 1936, I have the honor to forward in original, Major Beatty's report on the Yellamunchelly Cutcherry, from which it will be observed, I had correctly represented the loss to Government by the change I had recommended.

As Mr. Thornhill was at Yellamunchelly early in February last, and inspected this structure with the Collector and Principal Assistant, it is to be regretted the Revenue Board were unable to submit the matter for the consideration and orders of Government before the 14th August 1872.

I made the changes proposed, after considerable deliberation, knowing well the loss the Government had to incur in the

Submission on 8th Jan on Collection of the cost of 17th report

x To be returned

In first instance, and consulted two professional
officers on the matter, and had Mr. Whithill
inspect the school house at the same station,
built about 6 years ago. He would most likely
have agreed with me that, when good building
stone was available, brick and chum work
should not be adopted, particularly in a
building designed for official object and
purpose. Hence the letter.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obt. servant

Wm. T. L. L. L.

A. G. Sub. Engineer etc. D.

From the Supr, Engr (Here enter No 2327) 25th Sept
1872

This Excellency the Governor in Council is glad to receive the information that of the outlay of Rs. 8863 incurred on the new Talook Cutcherry at Yellamanchelli, Rs. 3040 represented the net loss on defective brickwork, which amount with Rs. 330 for demolition is included in the sum of Rs. 22,150 for building a Cutcherry in

1872. Dec 20
 From Mr. J. H. H. H.
 The Superintendent,
 First Dist.

The Secretary to Government
Department Public

Dated 25 September 1944 }
 Received. 20 October }

NO. 107
ENCLO.

Subject.

Thomads in 1831 and
in 1832

Office of the University

Explanations on the

Adelphi

11-250-25" width

No. 1: *Therapsida* *Scand. (1871)*

A. J. Stephens Engineer 104 Dth