M.R.O.—77,000—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages.....

(2) Date of repair.....

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public (Y&L) DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2353 Press
46 41 Mis.

Dated 22 : 0 : 1814.

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

the first instance and consulted the Professional Officers on the Establishment who inspected the School House at the same Station built about 6 Years ago, he considered the Estimate agreed with the Actual cost of the Building when good Bricks and Chunam work should not be adopted, for the Building designed for Medical Officers purpose from the Com.

From the Comr to Govt

Dated

Govt Order thereupon

Mr. Stracheys Letter dated 8th

Ad Chief Engineer 1st Dn

Talook Cutcherry at Yellamanchelly Rs. 3040 represented the net loss on defective brickwork, which amount with Rs. 330 for demolition is included in the sum of Rs. 22,150 for building a Cutcherry in

No 2510.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 23 October 1872
Read the following

From the Supdt Engr 1st Dist (Afore entered No 2327 25th Octr 1872)

To His Excellency the
Governor in Council

I am glad to receive the
information that of
the ordinary charges
incurred on the new
Road to Cuddalore
Tellamanchilly &c
3040 rupees and
not less on deposits
Chickaroor, which amount
with Rs 330 for demo-
lition is included in
the sum of Rs 22,150
for building a Culvert

Dept of Public Works
From The Superintending Engr
First Div
No 2327
Dated 25th September 1872
Received 2nd October

To
The Secretary to Govt
Department Public Works

NO. 2327
ENCLO.

Subject.

Forwards in original
Major Beatty's Report
on the Ellamanchilly
Cuddalore with Estimate
& explanation on the
subject.

No 2500-23rd Octr/72.

No 416
Rated to Coll & Collector

in Stone and Chunam.
sanctioned in G G
No 438 of 17th Feby
1872.

Had this information
been clearly given in
the Superintending Engrs
letter submitting the Re
vised Estimate, the cri
ticisms of the Local
Revenue Officers [and
Revenue Board would
probably have been much
less condemnatory
of the proceedings of
the Public Works Officers
with reference to the work.
But it is to be regretted
that the Collector and

Since duly re-
ceived
" would have not
have led into
the inaccuracies
contained in
this letter."

Collector should have
made Statements as
to the length of time
that the Culchery had
been under construction,
and the amount of loss
that its reconstruction
with other material
would involve, calculated
to mislead the Revenue
Board and Govern-
=ment; and the inaccu-
=racy of which they
might at any time
have ascertained from
Major Beatty or Mr.
Lasker. Such random
Statements are to be
deprecated as con
=ducive to ill feeling
between

between the Officers
of the two Departments,
and productive of
mischievous references —

G.W.C.

46
4

For Flag Ships 1st Div. South Sides
4 " 2d Div.
8 " Rear Div.

Desp. 28/10/92