

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2085-86	Press
12-14	Mis.
Dated 2 9	18 7/2

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 12. Read the following letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 14th August 1872, No. 427:—

WITH reference to the Orders of Government marginally noted, I have the honour to request that the Government of India may be moved to give more particular instructions as to the intention and scope of the half-yearly meetings directed to be held for the

authorization of establishments, and as to the power of sanction vested in the Consulting Engineer for Railways at those meetings, as well as generally at other times.

2. I am unable to gather, from the instructions communicated with the Government Orders referred to above, whether the schedule of establishments which, under the orders of the Government of India, accompanies the Budget Estimate, or the list of proposed establishments as arranged at the half-yearly meetings, is intended to be henceforth the vehicle for application for increases of salary or for additional establishments, or whether these applications must, as heretofore, be separately submitted, neither can I gather whether any and if any what power of sanction is intended to be vested in the Consulting Engineer for Railways.

3. G. O. No. 3,215 of 22nd December 1871, merely directs that "Minutes of the official meetings for the purpose of authorizing establishments may be punctually submitted in the first month of each half-year;" and in the absence of any further orders, I should have concluded that the establishments as proposed at these meetings must provide for promotion and increase of salaries, and that the Consulting Engineer had the power of sanctioning the establishments for the half-year, submitting the same to Government for confirmation.

4. But G. Os. Nos. 520 and 1,348 of the 26th February and 29th May respectively, direct as follows:—

1st.—That no higher pay than that drawn by the permanent incumbent of any office on the 1st January 1871 shall be passed after the 31st March 1872 without the sanction of the Government of India.

G. O. No. 520, paragraph 4.

2nd.—That no increase to the strength of any establishment is to be sanctioned until the traffic or work to be performed shall have actually increased to such an extent as to make it absolutely essential. To which is added, in G. O. No. 1,053 of 23rd April 1872, paragraph 15, that in such cases the sanction of the Consulting Engineer shall be held to be necessary before any new appointments are made.

3rd.—That in preparing the lists for the half-year ending 31st December 1871, the existing establishments should be thoroughly investigated in relation to the present and past work, the present and past cost, and any probable increase of work, no increase being permitted without a reference to the Government of India.

G. O. No. 1,348.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
2nd September 1872.*

5. The order as to the first of the above three points is clear and unmistakable; but with regard to the second and third points, I am left in doubt as to whether or not I am empowered to sanction an increase of establishment in any case in which I am satisfied that increase of work has rendered it necessary. G. O. No. 520 of 26th February 1872, taken in connection with G. O. No. 3,215 of 22nd December 1871, and G. O. No. 1,053 of 23rd April 1872, paragraph 15, seems clearly to imply that I am so empowered, whilst G. O. No. 1,348 of 29th May 1872, seems to imply, equally clearly, that I am not so empowered. If it is intended that the proposed half-yearly establishment lists should, before being acted upon, receive the sanction of the Government of India, it will, I submit, be necessary to send these lists forward at least two months before the commencement of the half-year to which they relate.

No. 13. ORDER THEREON, 2nd September 1872, No. 2,085.

RAILWAY, No. 2.

ORDERED that this letter be forwarded to the Government of India.

(Here enter No. 2,086, dated 2nd September 1872.)

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
OOTACAMUND, 2nd September 1872.

No. 14.

No. 2,086.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

RAILWAY, No. 3.

No. 602-E. R., 9th December 1871.
G. O. No. 3,215, dated 22nd December 1871.
No. 122-E. R., 9th February 1872.
G. O. No. 520, dated 26th February 1872.
No. 499-A. R., 6th April 1872.
G. O. No. 1,053, dated 23rd April 1872.
No. 678-G. R., 9th May 1872.
G. O. No. 1,348, dated 29th May 1872.
No. 1,130-G. R., 22nd July 1872.
G. O. No. 1,852, dated 6th August 1872.

SIR,—With reference to the letters from the Government of India marginally noted, I am directed by His Excellency the Governor in Council to submit the accompanying letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways, No. 427, dated 14th August 1872, and to solicit instructions on the various points noticed therein by Lieutenant-Colonel Shaw-Stewart.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary to Government.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

RAILWAY DEPARTMENT.

CONSULTING ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

From

No. 427
Leitch Col. J. H. M. Shaw Stewart, P.E.,
 Consulting Engineer for Railways,

To

Colonel J. W. Walker, P.E.,
 Secretary to Government,
 Public Works Department,
 Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to the Order of

22nd December 1871, N^o. 3215. Government marginally noted;
 26th February 1872, N^o. 520. I have the honour to repeat
 23rd April do N^o. 1053.
 29th May do N^o. 1348 that the Government of India
 6th August do N^o. 1852.

may be moved to give more
 particular instructions as to the intention
 and scope of the half-yearly meetings di-
 rected to be held for the authorisation of
 establishments, and as to the power of
 sanction vested in the Consulting Engineer
 for Railways at these meetings, as well as

generally,

generally, at other times.

2. I am unable to gather from the instructions communicated with the Government Orders referred to above, whether the schedule of establishments which under the orders of the Government of India accompanies the Budget Estimates, or the list of proposed establishments as arranged at the half-yearly meetings, is intended to be henceforth the vehicle for applications for increases of salary or for additional establishments, or whether these applications must, as heretofore, be separately submitted. neither can I gather whether any, and if any, what power of sanction is intended to be vested in the Consulting Engineer for Railways. G.O. No. 3215, of 22nd

December

7
December 1871, merely directs that "Minutes of the Official Meetings . . . for the purpose of authorising establishments . . . may be punctually submitted in the first month of each half-year; and in the absence of any further orders I should have concluded that the establishments as proposed at these meetings must provide for promotion and increase of salaries, and that the Consulting Engineer had the power of sanctioning the establishments for the half-year, submitting the same to Government for confirmation." But G.O. No. 520 and 4348 of the 26th February and 29th May respectively, direct as follows.

1. That no higher pay than that drawn by the permanent incumbents of any office on the 1st January 1871, shall be passed

520

passed after the 31st March
1872 without the sanction of
the Government of India.

2. That no increase to the strength
of any establishment is to
be sanctioned until the
traffic or work to be per-
formed shall have actually
increased to such an ex-
tent as to make it ab-
solutely essential. - (To

which is added, in G.O.
N^o 1053 of 23rd April 1872
para 15, that in such cases
the sanction of the Com-
-muting Engineer shall be

held to be necessary before
any new appointments are
made.

G.O. N^o 1348.

3. That in preparing the lists for the
half-year ending 31st December 1871
the existing establishments should
be thoroughly investigated in re-
lation to the present and past
work, the present and past cost,
and any probable increase of work,
no increase being permitted with-
out a reference to the Government
of India.

5. The order as to the first of
the above three points is clear and un-
mistakable, but with regard to the second
and third points I am left in doubt as
to whether or not I am empowered to sanc-
tion an increase of establishment in any
case in which I am satisfied that increase
of work has rendered it necessary. G.O. N^o 520
of 26th February 1872 taken in connection
with G.O. N^o 5215 of 22nd December 1871, and

G.O.

G.O. No. 1053 of 29th April 1872 para 15 seems clearly to imply that I am so empowered, whilst G.O. No. 1348 of 29th May 1872 seems to imply equally clearly, that I am not so empowered. If it is intended that the proposed half-yearly establishment lists should, before being acted upon, receive the sanction of the Government of India, it will I submit be necessary to send these lists forward at least two months before the commencement of the half-year to which they relate.

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. M. Macleod,
Cons. Engineer for Railways.

Machras
August 1872

Requesting that
copy of India may be
sent to you more
particular instructions
as to the publication of
half-yearly establishment
lists may be obtained

No. 2085-2-24/1872
No. 427

To
The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department,
Fort Saint George.
From
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways,
1872.

J. M. 1872
D. of L. for the
No. 3971.

1872.

RAILWAY DEPARTMENT.

P. M. Sept
No. 2085
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 2nd September 1872
Read the following

From the Cons. Engineer
for Railways

(Here enter No. 127/1872
August 1872)

Ordered that
this letter be forwarded
to the Govt of India.

In this regard
Desp- 7/9/72

RC E No. 7

No. 2086.
The Secretary to the
Government of India

Sir,
With reference to
the letters from the Govern-
ment of India mar-
ginally

marginally noted, I am
 directed by His Excellency
 the Governor in Council
 to submit the accompany-
 ing letter from the Con-
 sulting Engineer for
 Railways No 427, dated
 24th August 1872, and to
 solicit instructions on the
 various points noticed therein
 by Lieut Colonel Shaw
 Stewart.

GMW *[Signature]*

No 602 C. P. 9th Decr 1871.
 G.O. No 3215/22nd Decr 1871
 No 122 C. P. 9th Feb 1872
 G.O. No 520 of 26th Feb 1872
 No 499 C. P. 6th April 1872
 G.O. No 1053 of 23rd April 1872
 No 658 C. P. 9th May 1872
 G.O. No 1348 of 29th May 1872
 No 1130 C. P. 22nd July 1872
 G.O. No 1852 of 16th Aug 1872

*Soliciting instructions
 on the points raised by the
 Genl. as to the intention & scope
 of the half yearly meetings
 for the authorization of ex-
 penses & as to the power
 of sanction vested in him
 at those meetings as well as
 generally at other times.*

Dec 27/72 with 7 ed. of
 G.O. 2095 of 1872 -

paper book 17 numbers

31.0

C/23971

Govt. of 1872 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways

&

To the Secretary to the
Govt. of India

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 2nd September 1872. No. 2085-86.

Nos. 12-13 & 14

Half yearly Meetings.

(Railways) - Paper on the
letter from the Secretary to
the Govt. of India may
be moved to give more par-
ticular instructions as to
the intention & scope of the
Meetings for the authoriza-
tion of establishments
& the power of sanctioning
the same.